Exhibit 7

In The Matter Of:

BENJAMIN ASHMORE CGI GROUP, INC. and CGI FEDERAL INC.

KELLY L. BRYSON - Vol. 1 August 28, 2014

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

1345 Avenue of the Americas 17th Floor New York, NY 10105 Phone: 212.557.7400 Fax: 212.367.6178

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----x
BENJAMIN ASHMORE,

Plaintiff,

-against- 11 CIV 8611 (AT)

CGI GROUP, INC. and CGI FEDERAL INC.,

Defendants.

August 28, 2014

11:15 a.m.

Deposition of KELLY L. BRYSON,
pursuant to notice, held at the offices
of Bond Schoeneck & King, PLLC, 600 Third
Avenue, New York, New York, before Gail
F. Schorr, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
Notary Public within and for the State of
New York.

Merrill Corporation - New York www.merrillcorp.com/law

```
1
 2
      APPEARANCES:
 3
      HERBST LAW PLLC
      Attorneys for Plaintiff
 4
            420 Lexington Avenue
            New York, NY 10170
 5
            ROBERT L. HERBST, ESQ.
      BY:
 6
            (rherbst@herbstlawny.com)
 7
      BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC
 8
      Attorneys for Defendants
            111 Washington Avenue
 9
            Albany, NY 12210-2280
10
      BY: STUART F. KLEIN, ESQ.
            (sklein@bsk.com)
11
12
      ALSO PRESENT:
13
      BENJAMIN ASHMORE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 5 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 3

1		
2	VELLA I DOVOM	
	KELLY L. BRYSON,	
3	called as a witness, having been	
4	first duly sworn by the Notary	
5	Public (Gail F. Schorr), was	
6	examined and testified as follows:	
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. HERBST:	11:15:0
8	Q. Good morning, Ms. Bryson.	11:15:0
9	A. Good morning.	11:16:
10	Q. My name is Herbst, and I	11:16:1
11	represent Mr. Ashmore who's here. Do you	11:16:
12	recognize him?	11:16:
13	A. No.	11:16:
14	Q. You never met him?	11:16:
15	A. I don't think so.	11:16:
16	Q. So I'm going to ask you a	11:16:2
17	series of questions today. If at any	11:16:3
18	time you haven't heard the question fully	11:16:
19	just ask me to repeat it.	11:16:
20	A. Okay.	11:16:3
21	Q. If at any time you haven't	11:16:3
22	understood the question, let me know,	11:16:3
23	I'll try to repeat it or restate it.	11:16:4
24	Okay?	11:16:
25	A. Yes.	11:16:

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 6 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	raye 1	1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. If you don't, then we will	11:16:44
3	assume that you have heard and understood	11:16:52
4	the question.	11:16:55
5	A. Okay.	11:16:55
6	MR. KLEIN: Before, I just	11:16:59
7	want to put on the record, before	11:17:00
8	Mr. Herbst gets into his	11:17:02
9	questioning, Ms. Bryson is being	11:17:04
10	produced as excuse me.	11:17:07
11	MR. HERBST: We'll state on	11:17:35
12	the record, we're going to	11:17:36
13	interrupt Ms. Bryson's deposition	11:17:37
14	to take Mr. Yashchin's deposition.	11:17:40
15	(Recess taken: 11:18 a.m.)	11:18:07
16	(Whereupon the deposition	11:57:29
17	resumed at 12:15 p.m.)	11:57:35
18	KELLY L. BRYSON,	
19	resumed, having been previously	
20	duly sworn, was examined and	
21	testified further as follows:	
22	CONTINUED EXAMINATION	
23	BY MR. HERBST:	12:15:06
24	Q. Ms. Bryson, you recognize	12:15:06
25	you're still under oath?	12:16:25

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 7 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	3	1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Okay.	12:16:26
3	Q. Now, what's your date of	12:16:27
4	birth?	12:16:32
5	A. April 26th, 1980.	12:16:32
6	MR. KLEIN: Just before we	12:16:36
7	started, and I think this is where	12:16:37
8	I got cut off when the other	12:16:39
9	witness arrived, I just want to put	12:16:40
10	on the record that Ms. Bryson is	12:16:42
11	here pursuant to a very limited	12:16:44
12	30(b)(6) deposition notice and that	12:16:46
13	it is CGI's position that Judge	12:16:49
14	Cott specifically laid out the	12:16:53
15	scope of what is and is not	12:16:54
16	permissible during Ms. Bryson's	12:16:57
17	deposition.	12:17:00
18	MR. HERBST: That is CGI's	12:17:09
19	position and I'm not going to waste	12:17:11
20	time commenting further.	12:17:13
21	Q. How far did you go in school?	12:17:15
22	A. I have a Bachelor's degree and	12:17:24
23	some additional graduate course work.	12:17:27
24	Q. And a Bachelor's degree in	12:17:29
25	what?	12:17:32
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
i		•

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 8 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		12:17:32
2	A. Environmental and business	
3	economics.	12:17:33
4	Q. What year did you get that?	12:17:34
5	A. 2002.	12:17:44
6	Q. Just in terms of the work	12:17:45
7	history after you got that degree, did	12:17:46
8	you go to work?	12:17:48
9	A. Yes.	12:17:48
10	Q. Where?	12:17:49
11	A. My first employment was	12:17:50
12	through a temp agency at well, through	12:17:53
13	a temp agency, but I worked for	12:17:56
14	PricewaterhouseCoopers.	12:17:59
15	Q. In what part of that company?	12:17:59
16	A. What was their consulting	12:18:02
17	practice at the time, their government	12:18:04
18	consulting practice at the time.	12:18:11
19	Q. And where did you get your	12:18:12
20	degree? Where did you go to college?	12:18:16
21	A. Rutgers.	12:18:17
22	Q. Just briefly, where were you	12:18:23
23	born and raised?	12:18:25
24	A. Cumberland County, New Jersey.	12:18:26
25	Q. How long did you work in the	12:18:30

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 9 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Γ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	consulting practice as a temp at	12:18:32
	3	Pricewaterhouse?	12:18:37
	4	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:18:39
	5	form. I don't think she testified	12:18:41
	6	she was a temp.	12:18:41
	7	A. I was ultimately there for	12:18:41
	8	about a year.	12:18:46
	9	Q. And then what?	12:18:47
	10	A. Then I worked for a few months	12:18:49
	11	at America Online. I worked two years at	12:18:51
	12	Accenture. I worked a year at Booz-Allen	12:18:54
	13	& Hamilton. And then in 2006 I was hired	12:19:00
	14	at CGI and I have been there since.	12:19:03
	15	Q. At AOL in the few months you	12:19:04
	16	were there what did you do?	12:19:14
	17	A. Just kind of generic financial	12:19:15
	18	analysis, trend analysis.	12:19:16
	19	Q. And at Accenture what did you	12:19:19
	20	do?	12:19:27
	21	A. More government consulting.	12:19:27
	22	Q. What was your compensation at	12:19:30
	23	Accenture, approximately?	12:19:32
	24	A. I think I started in the low	12:19:34
	25	forties and left there in the low	12:19:40

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 10 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	Tage 8	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	sixties.	12:19:42
3	Q. And Booz Allen, how long did	12:19:44
4	you work there?	12:19:49
5	A. One year.	12:19:49
6	Q. And what was your compensation	12:19:50
7	there?	12:19:52
8	A. In the mid-sixties.	12:19:52
9	Q. And what did you do there?	12:19:58
10	A. Consulting, government	12:19:59
11	consulting.	12:20:01
12	Q. Then you went to work for	12:20:04
13	whom?	12:20:07
14	A. CGI.	12:20:08
15	Q. As what?	12:20:08
16	A. I started as a, I guess you	12:20:09
17	would call it a project controller.	12:20:14
18	Q. In what part of the company?	12:20:19
19	A. The government consulting	12:20:21
20	practice.	12:20:22
21	Q. Where were you based?	12:20:26
22	A. Fairfax, Virginia.	12:20:27
23	Q. And do you continue to be	12:20:28
24	based in Fairfax?	12:20:30
25	A. I was based in Fairfax,	12:20:31

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 11 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Virginia, up until the summer of 2011	12:20:32
3	when I relocated to Baltimore. Now I	12:20:36
4	primarily work from home, but I guess I'm	12:20:43
5	still technically based in Fairfax.	12:20:45
6	Q. When you say Baltimore, was	12:20:49
7	that in the CGI offices in Baltimore?	12:20:58
8	A. No.	12:21:02
9	Q. It was at home?	12:21:02
10	A. Yes.	12:21:03
11	Q. I forgot to ask you. What's	12:21:03
12	your home address?	12:21:06
13	A. 12 Meridian Lane and that's	12:21:07
14	Towson, Maryland 21286.	12:21:19
15	Q. And how long did you stay in	12:21:23
16	the government consulting practice at	12:21:30
17	CGI? Are we talking CGI Federal at that	12:21:33
18	point?	12:21:36
19	A. Yes.	12:21:36
20	Q. How long?	12:21:37
21	A. I probably stayed in that role	12:21:38
22	for two or three years before I moved	12:21:40
23	into doing financial and management	12:21:43
24	planning full time, pricing.	12:21:46
25	Q. You said something about	12:22:04
l		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 12 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	pricing?	12:22:06
3	A. Right, pricing has pretty much	12:22:07
4	always been a part of my job and then I	12:22:10
5	think in 2011 I became a pricing manager	12:22:13
6	at which point that became my full time	12:22:17
7	position.	12:22:20
8	Q. So what was your title, what	12:22:30
9	was your position in 2009/2010?	12:22:32
10	A. We don't we're not a very	12:22:37
11	position title oriented company. But my	12:22:39
12	official CGI title was consultant and	12:22:43
13	then senior consultant.	12:22:46
14	Q. What was your compensation in	12:22:55
15	so you went from consultant to senior	12:22:57
16	consultant in that period of '09 and '10?	12:23:01
17	A. Yes, it might have been '09,	12:23:05
18	2010.	12:23:07
19	Q. So what was your compensation	12:23:08
20	as a consultant?	12:23:10
21	A. 75-ish.	12:23:13
22	Q. And as a senior consultant?	12:23:15
23	A. Well, you don't we don't	12:23:17
24	get raises based on title promotion, but	12:23:19
25	I would assume at that point I was making	12:23:22

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 13 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	mid-eighties.	12:23:24
3	Q. So what accounted for the	12:23:26
4	raise if it wasn't the promotion?	12:23:32
5	A. Annual merit.	12:23:33
6	Q. You went from senior	12:23:44
7	consultant to pricing manager?	12:23:45
8	A. Yes, and I received a title,	12:23:48
9	an actual title promotion I guess it was	12:23:50
10	the beginning of 2013.	12:23:55
11	Q. Oh. So you became pricing	12:23:59
12	manager in 2011 but you didn't receive a	12:24:01
13	title promotion raise until 2013?	12:24:04
14	A. Well title promotion, title	12:24:07
15	promotion till 2013. I mean you get	12:24:11
16	generally a raise every year in the part	12:24:13
17	of the annual process. But they're not,	12:24:17
18	they're not tied together.	12:24:19
19	Q. So in 2011 what was your	12:24:20
20	compensation, approximately?	12:24:27
21	A. 90, 98, 100, right around	12:24:30
22	there.	12:24:35
23	Q. And in 2012?	12:24:35
24	A. 105.	12:24:40
25	Q. And 2013?	12:24:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 14 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	(Instruction not to answer.)	12:24:48
3	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to have	12:24:48
4	her refuse to answer questions	12:24:50
5	about her further compensation.	12:24:53
6	MR. HERBST: Why is that?	12:24:55
7	It's relevant.	12:24:57
8	MR. KLEIN: No, it's not	12:24:57
9	relevant. The scope of her	12:24:59
10	deposition is to how the bids in	12:25:00
11	Exhibit 18 or the prices in Exhibit	12:25:02
12	18 were derived. What Ms. Bryson's	12:25:03
13	compensation was in 2013, two years	12:25:06
14	after Mr. Ashmore, actually three	12:25:09
15	years after Mr. Ashmore was	12:25:13
16	terminated is not relevant,	12:25:15
17	especially in light of the fact	12:25:17
18	that the judge was very careful as	12:25:18
19	requiring what, if any,	12:25:22
20	compensation information CGI was	12:25:24
21	required to produce.	12:25:26
22	Therefore, I'm going to direct	12:25:26
23	Ms. Bryson not to answer any	12:25:29
24	further questions regarding her	12:25:30
25	salary.	12:25:31

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 15 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	MR. HERBST: I think it goes	12:25:32
3	to potential bias and credibility.	12:25:33
4	She's a witness testifying today.	12:25:36
5	I think I'm entitled to know what	12:25:38
6	her current compensation is.	12:25:40
7	Q. What is your current	12:25:42
8	compensation?	12:25:43
9	(Instruction not to answer.)	12:25:44
10	MR. KLEIN: I direct you not	12:25:44
11	to answer that question.	12:25:45
12	MR. HERBST: Will you mark	12:25:52
13	those two questions for a ruling,	12:25:53
14	please.	12:25:55
15	Q. In 2009 and 2010, when your	12:26:18
16	salary was 75,000, or was it your	12:26:22
17	salary or compensation 75,000?	12:26:25
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:26:29
19	form. You can answer if you can	12:26:30
20	understand.	12:26:31
21	A. I don't recall exactly when my	12:26:33
22	salary was 75,000. It was in the 2008 to	12:26:36
23	2009 range. I would sometimes receive	12:26:42
24	additional compensation, but that was	12:26:49
25	variable year to year.	12:26:51

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 16 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		=
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. And what form would that	12:26:53
3	additional compensation be when you got	12:26:55
4	it?	12:26:57
5	A. In the since I have been at	12:26:57
6	CGI I have received both profit	12:27:00
7	participation and commission.	12:27:02
8	Q. How was your profit	12:27:09
9	participation calculated?	12:27:11
10	A. It's calculated based on the	12:27:12
11	business unit you reside in upon their	12:27:14
12	business results.	12:27:17
13	Q. And how is your commission	12:27:17
14	calculated?	12:27:23
15	A. It's at management discretion.	12:27:24
16	Q. Is there a percentage	12:27:26
17	commission?	12:27:28
18	A. It's all management	12:27:29
19	discretion. I don't I believe there's	12:27:33
20	a percentage set aside and then	12:27:37
21	management decides how that percentage is	12:27:40
22	allocated amongst the team that they were	12:27:44
23	deciding to give commission to.	12:27:48
24	Q. So in the year 2009 did you	12:27:51
25	get a profit participation?	12:27:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 17 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		•
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I believe so.	12:27:54
3	Q. Approximately how much?	12:28:00
4	A. I want to say in the \$3,000	12:28:02
5	range, but I'm not certain.	12:28:08
6	Q. And commission, did you get a	12:28:10
7	commission?	12:28:11
8	A. No.	12:28:14
9	Q. How about 2010, did you get	12:28:14
10	profit participation?	12:28:16
11	A. Yes.	12:28:17
12	Q. Approximately how much?	12:28:17
13	A. I don't recall. Likely in the	12:28:18
14	same neighborhood.	12:28:21
15	Q. And commission?	12:28:22
16	A. I received some commission in	12:28:24
17	2010. Actually, it was probably 2011 and	12:28:27
18	2012.	12:28:31
19	Q. How much?	12:28:32
20	(Instruction not to answer.)	12:28:34
21	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to, once	12:28:34
22	again, going to object to anything	12:28:35
23	that goes to the 2010 time period	12:28:38
24	for anything related to Ms.	12:28:39
25	Bryson's salary consistent with the	12:28:41

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 18 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

r			1		
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON			
	2	court order. And my further	12:28:43		
	3	recollection is is that the salary	12:28:45		
	4	information that CGI was required	12:28:46		
	5	to produce was only in the	12:28:47		
	6	2009/2010 time period.	12:28:51		
	7	MR. HERBST: Again, this is	12:28:52		
	8	the witness testifying. She's	12:28:53		
	9	already testified to her	12:28:55		
	10	compensation in 2011 and 2012. So	12:28:56		
	11	I think I'm entitled to ask.	12:28:58		
	12	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	12:29:01		
	13	direct her not to answer.	12:29:02		
	14	MR. HERBST: Mark that for a	12:29:04		
	15	ruling.			
	16	Q. Whenever it was you testified	12:29:06		
	17	you withdrawn.	12:29:13		
	18	Was your commission tied to	12:29:22		
	19	sales when you got it?	12:29:23		
	20	A. It was tied to a winning	12:29:24		
	21	opportunity, yes.	12:29:32		
	22	Q. To a what opportunity?	12:29:33		
	23	A. A winning opportunity.	12:29:34		
	24	Q. A winning opportunity?	12:29:35		
	25	A. Yes.	12:29:37		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 19 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON		
2	Q. That you participated in?	12:29:37	
3	A. Yes.	12:29:38	
4	Q. And it came about because of	12:29:39	
5	your efforts?	12:29:40	
6	A. Yes.	12:29:41	
7	Q. What opportunity was that?	12:29:41	
8	A. There were several. The only	12:29:42	
9	two that I can remember the name of was	12:29:54	
10	there was a US courts opportunity and	12:29:56	
11			
12	Treasury Department.	12:30:01	
13	MR. HERBST: Let the record	12:30:17	
14	reflect that Mr. Klein whispered	12:30:18	
15	something to the witness.	12:30:28	
16	MR. KLEIN: Let the record	12:30:29	
17	reflect throughout the deposition	12:30:30	
18	Mr. Ashmore is whispering things to	12:30:31	
19	Mr. Herbst as well.	12:30:35	
20	Q. How much did the US courts	12:30:46	
21	opportunity produce for CGI?	12:30:48	
22	A. I don't know.	12:30:50	
23	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	12:30:51	
24	object, and once again, I'm going	12:30:52	
25	to have anything unrelated to the	12:30:53	

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 20 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_	10.50 10	- -
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	scope of this deposition Ms. Bryson	12:30:55
3	is not going to answer. I don't	12:30:58
4	see what this has to do with the	12:30:59
5	limited scope of the 30(b)(6)	12:31:01
6	deposition that Judge Cott ordered	12:31:02
7	remember.	12:31:08
8	MR. HERBST: Did you get the	12:31:09
9	answer?	12:31:10
10	THE REPORTER: She said I	12:31:11
11	don't know.	12:31:12
12	Q. Do you know how much the US	12:31:12
13	Treasury opportunity produced?	12:31:14
14	(Instruction not to answer.)	12:31:16
15	MR. KLEIN: Same objection,	12:31:16
16	I'm going to direct the witness not	12:31:17
17	to answer the question.	12:31:19
18	MR. HERBST: Mark that for a	12:31:21
19	ruling.	12:31:22
20	Q. When did you learn that you	12:31:41
21	would be asked to testify in this case?	12:31:43
22	A. Earlier in the calendar year.	12:31:45
23	I don't recall the exact date.	12:31:50
24	Q. Can you tell us approximately	12:31:51
25	what month?	12:31:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 21 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. April-ish.	12:31:53
3	Q. Since April who have you	12:31:57
4	consulted about your testimony or with	12:32:00
5	respect to your testimony?	12:32:05
6	A. Mr. Klein and Ms., is it Ms.	12:32:06
7	Homes?	12:32:10
8	MR. KLEIN: Helms.	12:32:11
9	A. Helms.	12:32:13
10	MR. KLEIN: Once again, the	12:32:13
11	conversations that you've had with	12:32:15
12	me and Ms. Helms are privileged	12:32:15
13	communications.	12:32:17
14	MR. HERBST: Why don't you	12:32:18
15	wait for the question.	12:32:19
16	Q. Approximately how many	12:32:20
17	conversations have you had with Mr. Klein	12:32:21
18	about this?	12:32:24
19	A. Maybe a half dozen.	12:32:26
20	Q. How many with Ms. Helms?	12:32:28
21	A. Fewer. They were all she	12:32:32
22	was either joining Mr. Klein and I or not	12:32:35
23	present. So less than, I don't know,	12:32:38
24	maybe three or four, maybe not even that	12:32:41
25	many.	12:32:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 22 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Other than Mr. Klein and Ms.	12:32:44
3	Helms, have you talked to anyone else	12:32:57
4	about your testimony?	12:32:59
5	A. No.	12:33:00
6	Q. Approximately how long was the	12:33:00
7	first of the six meetings that you had?	12:33:07
8	Was it a meeting or a telephone	12:33:09
9	conversation?	12:33:11
10	A. Telephone conversation.	12:33:11
11	Q. How long was the first one?	12:33:12
12	A. Maybe 30 minutes.	12:33:15
13	Q. And the second, was it a	12:33:21
14	telephone conversation or a meeting?	12:33:23
15	A. Telephone conversation.	12:33:24
16	Q. How long?	12:33:25
17	A. Probably in the same range, 30	12:33:27
18	minutes.	12:33:29
19	Q. And the third conversation,	12:33:35
20	telephone or in person?	12:33:36
21	A. Telephone. I would say	12:33:37
22	approximately 30 minutes. I'm also not	12:33:40
23	sure about the six meetings. I know we	12:33:43
24	had one meeting that was about an hour,	12:33:45
25	an hour and a half, but in general our	12:33:48

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 23 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	meetings have been 30 minutes or less.	12:33:50
3	MR. KLEIN: Let the record	12:33:55
4	reflect today was the first time I	12:33:56
5	met Ms. Bryson in person.	12:33:58
6	MR. HERBST: Would you read	12:34:16
7	back the answer.	12:34:17
8	(Record read as requested.)	12:34:18
9	Q. Approximately when was that	12:34:18
10	meeting for the hour, hour and a half?	12:34:20
11	A. Early summer, maybe May, June.	12:34:22
12	It was prior to when we thought I would	
13	be here originally.	
14	Q. And where was that meeting?	12:34:36
15	A. It was a telecon. I mean I	12:34:40
16	took it from my home.	12:34:43
17	Q. Did you take all of these	12:34:46
18	meetings from your home?	12:34:47
19	A. Yes.	12:34:48
20	Q. And after that hour and a half	12:34:53
21	meeting what additional meetings did you	12:35:05
22	have, or telecons?	12:35:08
23	A. I think we've talked maybe	12:35:10
24	twice more and just to let me know that	12:35:12
25	it was still happening.	12:35:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 24 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Did you have a substantive	12:35:19
3	discussion?	12:35:21
4		12:35:24
	-	12:35:27
5	meetings.	
6	Q. When was the last one?	12:35:29
7	A. Yesterday.	12:35:31
8	Q. And when was the one before	12:35:32
9	that?	12:35:33
10	A. Last week.	12:35:33
11	Q. And the one before that?	12:35:38
12	A. I don't recall.	12:35:39
13	Q. Have you reviewed any	12:35:42
14	documents in preparation?	12:35:54
15	A. Yes.	12:35:57
16	Q. What documents have you looked	12:35:57
17	at?	12:35:59
18	A. The proposal files, some of	12:36:00
19	the email correspondence I believe you	12:36:04
20	have hard copies of, and the rate	12:36:07
21	worksheets.	12:36:09
22	Q. Anything else?	12:36:12
23	A. Also indirect rate calculation	12:36:18
24	workbook. I believe that's it.	12:36:23
25	Q. What are the document proposal	12:36:38

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 25 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON		
2	files? What are they?	12:36:39	
3	A. Those would be the files that	12:36:41	
4	we shared with our prime and/or that they	12:36:43	
5	shared with us.	12:36:48	
6	Q. How extensive are those files?	12:36:59	
7	A. Not very.	12:37:02	
8	Q. Are they on the computer?	12:37:03	
9	A. Yes.	12:37:04	
10	Q. When you say not very?	12:37:11	
11	A. I would say less than 20 pages	12:37:13	
12	total.	12:37:17	
13	Q. Was that with respect to a	12:37:25	
14	particular proposal or proposals?	12:37:29	
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:37:32	
16	form.		
17	A. It was with respect to the	12:37:33	
18	opportunity that this deposition is	12:37:37	
19	referencing, the HUD opportunity.	12:37:40	
20	Q. And the email correspondence,	12:37:46	
21	what email correspondence did you review?	12:37:52	
22	A. It was the email	12:37:54	
23	correspondence that was tying up and	12:37:56	
24	receiving internal approval for this	12:37:59	
25	opportunity.	12:38:02	

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 26 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	1430 21	Ī
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. And how extensive was that	12:38:09
3	all in a file?	12:38:21
4	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:38:23
5	form.	12:38:24
6	Q. An electronic file that you	12:38:25
7	consulted?	12:38:27
8	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:38:27
9	form.	12:38:28
10	A. Yes.	12:38:29
11	Q. How extensive was that file?	12:38:29
12	A. Three to four pages.	12:38:31
13	Q. All right. And what are the	12:38:34
14	rate worksheets?	12:38:37
15	A. Those are the worksheets that	12:38:38
16	I used to calculate the rates for the HUD	12:38:40
17	opportunity.	12:38:45
18	Q. How many pages approximately	12:39:09
19	was that?	12:39:10
20	A. Well it's two tabs in an Excel	12:39:11
21	workbook. You could print it out in	12:39:16
22	probably as many or few pages as your ICE	12:39:18
23	could tolerate.	12:39:21
24	MR. HERBST: Could you read	12:39:29
25	that back.	12:39:30

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 27 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		12:39:31
2	(Record read as requested.)	12:39:31
3	Q. The indirect rate calculation	
4	workbook, what is that workbook, indirect	12:39:54
5	rate calculation workbook?	12:39:58
6	A. That's a workbook that we used	12:40:00
7	to calculate indirect rates for that	12:40:02
8	fiscal year for submission to the	12:40:05
9	government.	12:40:07
10	MR. HERBST: Repeat that.	12:40:23
11	(Record read as requested.)	12:40:25
12	Q. And how extensive was that	12:40:36
13	workbook?	12:40:38
14	A. There are many tabs in it. I	12:40:38
15	would greater than a dozen tabs. How	12:40:41
16	many pages it may print out to I have no	12:40:46
17	idea.	12:40:48
18	Q. Can you give us an estimate?	12:40:48
19	I won't hold you to it, but approximately	12:40:51
20	how many pages?	12:40:53
21	A. Gosh, if you printed it out in	12:40:54
22	its entirety, maybe 30, 40 pages.	12:41:00
23	Q. And that was also for the same	12:41:08
24	opportunity, this HUD opportunity?	12:41:13
25	A. Yes.	12:41:14

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 28 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

			3
1		WELLY I DDYCON	
	•	KELLY L. BRYSON	10.41.15
2	Q.	Now, which HUD opportunity was	12:41:15
3	it?		12:41:17
4	Α.	My files call it eBuy. It may	12:41:18
5	go by diff	erent names.	12:41:24
6	Q.	Spell that, please.	12:41:26
7	A.	Little E, capital B-u-y.	12:41:27
8	Q.	Sorry, E capital B?	12:41:32
9	Α.	u-y.	12:41:35
10	Q.	eBuy?	12:41:36
11	Α.	Yes.	12:41:39
12	Q.	Were you aware that there were	12:41:39
13	two HUD op	portunities at that time?	12:41:41
14		MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:41:43
15	form.		12:41:44
16	Α.	I don't recall that now if I	12:41:44
17	was.		12:41:48
18	Q.	But if there were two HUD	12:41:48
19	opportunit	ies would you have been the	12:41:50
20	person inv	olved in preparing these	12:41:51
21	sheets?		12:41:55
22	Α.	Most likely, yes.	12:41:55
23	Q.	What are your duties what	12:41:56
24	were your	duties and responsibilities in	12:41:59
25	2009 and 2	010 with respect to	12:42:02
ı			

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 29 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	opportunities like this HUD opportunity?	12:42:05
3	A. Primarily development of	12:42:08
4	rates, participation in cost narratives,	12:42:13
5	and generation of profit and loss	12:42:17
6	statements or estimates.	12:42:21
7	Q. What is a cost narrative or	12:42:52
8	narratives?	12:42:55
9	A. Cost narrative?	12:42:56
10	Q. Yes.	12:42:58
11	A. That's the document that	12:42:58
12	describes our method well, it	12:43:00
13	describes our methodology for developing	12:43:04
14	our rates and it contains price or cost	12:43:06
15	information, but they're highly variable	12:43:12
16	depending on the requirement of the	12:43:15
17	specific solicitation.	12:43:18
18	Q. Now, all the documents you	12:43:32
19	consulted, did you turn them over to Mr.	12:43:33
20	Klein or Ms. Helms?	12:43:35
21	A. He has them, yes.	12:43:37
22	Q. He does, okay.	12:43:38
23	MR. HERBST: Have they all	12:43:42
24	been produced, everything she	12:43:43
25	testified she consulted?	12:43:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 30 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	MR. KLEIN: I don't know all	12:43:46
3	the tabs that she's referring to.	12:43:49
4	We produced the documents that	12:43:50
5	assist in providing answers to the	12:43:52
6	questions that we maintain are	12:43:54
7	within the scope of the 30(b)(6)	12:43:57
8	deposition.	12:43:58
9	MR. HERBST: I will request	12:44:00
10	the documents that she says she	12:44:02
11	consulted for the deposition and if	12:44:04
12	you'd mark that as a request,	12:44:10
13	that's our first request.	12:44:11
14	(Request made.)	12:44:11
15	Q. Other than these documents you	12:44:29
16	just described to us, have you reviewed,	12:44:30
17	looked at or consulted any other	12:44:33
18	documentation in preparation for your	12:44:35
19	testimony?	12:44:36
20	A. I don't believe so.	12:44:36
21	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 17,	
22	previously marked and shown to	
23	witness.)	
24	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 18,	
25	previously marked and shown to	

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 31 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	witness.)	12:45:15
3	Q. So I'd like to show you what	12:45:15
4	has been marked as plaintiffs's Exhibit	12:45:17
5	17, dated $11/12/13$, which is probably the	12:45:20
6	date of the deposition in which it was	12:45:24
7	marked. And Plaintiffs' Exhibit 18 which	12:45:26
8	bears I'm sorry, that's 6/12/13 is the	12:45:29
9	date, not 11 or 12.	12:45:33
10	So the first question is have	12:46:21
11	you let's take 17. Have you seen that	12:46:22
12	document before?	12:46:27
13	A. I don't believe so. In	12:46:27
14	looking at page 2, it looks like I was	12:46:31
15	not involved in the opportunity.	12:46:37
16	Q. So your answer is you don't	12:46:54
17	believe you've seen that document before?	12:46:56
18	A. I don't recognize this, no.	12:46:57
19	Q. Now, just looking at the	12:47:15
20	document can you tell us what it is, what	12:47:16
21	that document is?	12:47:19
22	A. This is a template that we	12:47:21
23	used at the time for our executive	12:47:25
24	briefing process to receive approval to	12:47:29
25	submit opportunity to the government, our	12:47:33

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 32 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	proposal to the government.	12:47:39
3	MR. KLEIN: Mr. Herbst, I'm	12:47:42
4	just going to put on the record I'm	12:47:44
5	going to give you very limited	12:47:45
6	leeway with this document. I	12:47:47
7	maintain, as Judge Cott said in his	12:47:49
8	August 26th, 2014 order, that the	12:47:51
9	court ruled at the April hearing	12:47:52
10	that plaintiff could take a, quote,	12:47:54
11	unquote, very limited 30(b)(6)	12:47:57
12	deposition in order to unpack what	12:47:58
13	Exhibit 18 really means. We're	12:47:59
14	talking about Exhibit 17, but I'll	12:48:01
15	give you a little bit of leeway	12:48:03
16	especially since Ms. Bryson said	12:48:05
17	she doesn't believe she was even on	12:48:06
18	this opportunity.	12:48:09
19	MR. HERBST: I don't agree	12:48:11
20	with the characterization, but I'm	12:48:13
21	not going to debate it here. We	12:48:16
22	all know who lost that motion.	12:48:18
23	Q. Now you mentioned this was	12:48:20
24	used in the executive briefing process;	12:48:41
25	is that right?	12:48:44
1		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 33 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	12:48:44
3	Q. Is that also called an	12:48:46
4	executive step review process?	12:48:49
5	A. Yes.	12:48:49
6	Q. What is the purpose of the	12:49:00
7	document?	12:49:01
8	A. The purpose of the document,	12:49:01
9	to my understanding, is to provide a	12:49:04
10	briefing to the executive team of what	12:49:09
11	the opportunity is, any risks that we may	12:49:11
12	need to be aware of, overview of the	12:49:18
13	profit and loss. It's generally	12:49:23
14	considered to be the, a gate review, if	12:49:28
15	you will, before submitting a proposal to	12:49:31
16	the government to receive approval to	12:49:33
17	submit.	12:49:36
18	MR. KLEIN: Mr. Herbst, you're	12:49:38
19	running close to that line where	12:49:40
20	I'm going to ask the witness not to	12:49:42
21	answer, I want to give you the	12:49:43
22	heads up.	12:49:46
23	Q. Is the pricing function that	12:49:47
24	you testified is a part of your duties	12:49:50
25	and responsibilities, is that involved in	12:49:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 34 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	this executive step review process?	12:49:56
3	A. Yes, we have a portion of it.	12:49:59
4	Q. So would you please explain	12:50:01
5	how the process works?	12:50:02
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	12:50:06
7	form. You can answer. You're	12:50:07
8	talking generally?	12:50:09
9	MR. HERBST: Yes.	12:50:10
10	THE WITNESS: You said I can	12:50:12
11	answer?	12:50:13
12	MR. KLEIN: You can answer.	12:50:13
13	He said generally how what's the	12:50:14
14	question?	12:50:16
15	Q. Generally how the process	12:50:17
16	works?	12:50:19
17	A. Generally how the process	12:50:19
18	works is an opportunity team will receive	12:50:21
19	a request for proposal from the	12:50:26
20	government. They will work on a	12:50:28
21	solution. They will pull in other groups	12:50:30
22	within CGI as necessary, such as pricing,	12:50:33
23	legal, HR. Several days to a week before	12:50:37
24	the due date of the proposal they will	12:50:43
25	hold this executive step review or step C	12:50:46

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 35 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	WELLY I DDYCON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	review, to brief the executives and	12:50:50
3	receive final approval to submit.	12:50:54
4	Q. Is it true that essentially	12:50:59
5	there were five steps to this process?	12:51:01
6	(Instruction not to answer.)	12:51:03
7	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	12:51:03
8	object and direct her not to	12:51:05
9	answer. This is not a deposition	12:51:07
10	about the step review process.	12:51:08
11	This is about how rates were	12:51:10
12	calculated.	12:51:11
13	MR. HERBST: But this is in my	12:51:12
14	view a necessary predicate to how	12:51:14
15	the rates are calculated. And 3, 4	12:51:16
16	and 5 are the documents that you,	12:51:41
17	the topics that you agreed to which	12:51:44
18	includes all information contained	12:51:46
19	in Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 and 18	12:51:48
20	and the specific components of that	12:51:51
21	information.	12:51:53
22	MR. KLEIN: I disagree with	12:52:00
23	your characterization as to what	12:52:02
24	CGI agreed to produce and not	12:52:04
25	produce. This issue was subject to	12:52:06

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 36 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	recent briefing in letter briefs to	12:52:08
3	the judge, Judge Cott, and Judge	12:52:10
4	Cott in his decision on page 5	12:52:13
5	said, the court ruled at the April	12:52:16
6	hearing that plaintiff could take	12:52:18
7	a, quote, unquote, very limited,	12:52:19
8	end quote, 30(b)(6) deposition in	12:52:22
9	order to unpack what Exhibit 18	12:52:24
10	really means and how the numbers	12:52:26
11	represented therein are calculated.	12:52:28
12	MR. HERBST: You're missing	12:52:32
13	the line where he says, Plaintiff's	12:52:33
14	application regarding the scope of	12:52:35
15	the deposition is except as to	12:52:37
16	topics to 3, 4 and 5 to which you	12:52:42
17	did not object. And 5 says only	12:52:44
18	information contained in	12:52:47
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 and 18 and	12:52:48
20	the specific components of that	12:52:49
21	information, how such information	12:52:51
22	component is and was generated and	12:52:53
23	calculated, including, without	12:52:54
24	limitation, the categories of	12:52:56
25	direct, indirect labor costs,	12:52:58

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 37 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	<u> </u>	7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		12:53:00
2	overhead, profit or other metric in	
3	the hourly rates listed in	12:53:03
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17 and 18 and	12:53:05
5	it goes on, and it goes on.	12:53:08
6	So you are in our view	12:53:10
7	unwarrantedly taking a too narrow	12:53:19
8	view of the ruling.	12:53:26
9	MR. KLEIN: I will give you	12:53:28
10	limited leeway to ask Ms. Bryson	12:53:29
11	some questions, but the focus of	12:53:31
12	her deposition is how the essential	12:53:33
13	rates in Exhibit 18 were derived.	12:53:35
14	To the extent you're talking about	12:53:39
15	generic step review information, I	12:53:40
16	have no clue that the witness has	12:53:42
17	knowledge of these questions, but	12:53:46
18	to the extent that the focus and	12:53:48
19	the purpose behind your questions	12:53:49
20	is to understand how the prices are	12:53:51
21	derived, I will allow that. But to	12:53:53
22	the extent it's a fishing	12:53:54
23	expedition to ask about step	12:53:56
24	reviews which we opposed and which	12:53:57
25	the court agreed with, we refuse to	12:53:59

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 38 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	_	1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	allow her to answer those	12:54:02
3	questions.	12:54:03
4	MR. HERBST: I'll just	12:54:04
5	indicate to you that at page 57 of	12:54:06
6	the hearing transcript before Judge	12:54:10
7	Cott he also said that with respect	12:54:13
8	to Exhibit 17 there must be someone	12:54:16
9	who could articulate that, whether	12:54:20
10	it's driven by a deposition and so	12:54:24
11	forth, we can explain it in the	12:54:26
12	form of Exhibit 17.	12:54:28
13	So I intend to ask the	12:54:29
14	questions and if you, you know, you	12:54:33
15	can instruct the witness not to	12:54:37
16	answer, but I don't but I will	12:54:38
17	follow up and get these questions	12:54:41
18	answered with respect to number 5.	12:54:46
19	MR. KLEIN: Just to read	12:54:49
20	further, if we're going to be	12:54:51
21	reading transcripts, later in the	12:54:52
22	transcript on that same day, Judge	12:54:55
23	Cott at page 66 said "Here is what	12:54:57
24	we are going to do, gentlemen, I am	12:55:00
25	going to allow Mr. Herbst if he	12:55:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 39 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	wishes to take a 30(b)(6)	12:55:04
3	deposition so that he can unpack	12:55:06
4	what this chart in Exhibit 18	12:55:07
5	really means and how these numbers	12:55:09
6	are calculated from CGI's	12:55:11
7	perspective."	12:55:14
8	MR. HERBST: That's fine. But	12:55:14
9	we're entitled to pursue topic 5,	12:55:16
10	all the information contained in	12:55:18
11	Exhibit 17. So what was the last	12:55:21
12	question?	12:55:24
13	(Record read as requested.)	12:55:25
14	MR. KLEIN: To the extent that	12:55:39
15	you know I'm going to give you a	12:55:41
16	limited leeway.	12:55:42
17	A. Yes, there are steps A through	12:55:43
18	E.	12:55:45
19	Q. And what is A?	12:55:45
20	A. That is a bid/no bid review.	12:55:49
21	Actually, sorry, I take that back. That	12:55:54
22	is a is a that is the review at	12:55:57
23	which we've identified an opportunity and	12:56:06
24	we put initial resources toward vetting	12:56:09
25	the opportunity. It's an opportunity of	12:56:14

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 40 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	vetting review.	12:56:16
3	Q. So basically it's the	12:56:17
4	beginning of the pursuit of a potential	12:56:19
5	opportunity, right?	12:56:21
6	A. Yes, yes.	12:56:22
7	Q. And B?	12:56:22
8	A. B is typically held once an	12:56:23
9	RFP or other solicitation is received	12:56:26
10	from the government and that is the point	12:56:29
11	at which we decide definitively to commit	12:56:31
12	resources to pursue the opportunity.	12:56:34
13	Q. And C?	12:56:36
14	A. Is the point at which we've	12:56:38
15	done the solutioning, we are imminently	12:56:40
16	prepared to submit the proposal and it's	12:56:44
17	a final gate check before submission.	12:56:46
18	Q. Of the bid?	12:56:49
19	A. Yes.	12:56:49
20	Q. And D?	12:56:50
21	A. D is honestly not held very	12:56:51
22	often. It's considered a negotiation	12:56:56
23	step if necessary. A lot of times it's	12:56:59
24	not applicable.	12:57:03
25	Q. Is it fair to say that that's	12:57:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 41 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	a step that considers whether to enter	12:57:05
3	into negotiations with the client after	12:57:07
4	the bid is submitted?	12:57:09
5	A. Yes.	12:57:10
6	Q. And E?	12:57:10
7	A. E is contract signing.	12:57:11
8	Q. Now what role do you have in	12:57:13
9	each of those five steps? Let me ask you	12:57:17
10	something just so we can get the	12:57:22
11	groundrules straight.	12:57:24
12	Did the role that you have in	12:57:26
13	this process in 2009 and 2010, is it any	12:57:28
14	different than the role you have today in	12:57:33
15	substance?	12:57:35
16	A. Not substantively.	12:57:36
17	Q. Because sometimes, you know,	12:57:39
18	I'll ask a question in the present tense.	12:57:41
19	As long as you understand I'm primarily	12:57:44
20	interested in the process in 2009 and	12:57:47
21	2010.	12:57:51
22	A. Sure.	12:57:51
23	Q. But if it hasn't changed we're	12:57:52
24	on solid ground.	12:57:54
25	MR. KLEIN: I just want to	12:57:56

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 42 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	clarify, the intent of Mr. Herbst's	12:57:58
3	questions if I understand it is to	12:58:00
4	find out about what transpired at	12:58:02
5	the time period that Mr. Ashmore	12:58:07
6	was employed at CGI?	12:58:08
7	MR. HERBST: Yes, but you know	12:58:10
8	something, this is my deposition,	12:58:12
9	Mr. Klein, so I would be most	12:58:13
10	grateful if you have an objection	12:58:14
11	you can state it, but I'd	12:58:16
12	appreciate if you let me ask my	12:58:19
13	questions.	12:58:20
14	MR. KLEIN: That's fine, you	12:58:21
15	can ask your questions, I just want	12:58:22
16	to make sure I understood what you	12:58:23
17	were saying.	12:58:25
18	Q. All right. What role do you	12:58:26
19	have in step A, if any?	12:58:30
20	A. If a meeting is held I am	12:58:32
21	sometimes invited, but as far as	12:58:36
22	preparing materials or being an active	12:58:39
23	participant, I'm generally just learning	12:58:43
24	about the opportunity at that point.	12:58:46
25	Q. And how about in B, committing	12:58:48

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 43 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	financial resources to the pursuit?	12:58:54
3	A. Generally the same as step A.	12:58:55
4	If it's a particularly large opportunity	12:59:02
5	we may have done some preparatory	12:59:05
6	financial analysis, but I would say	12:59:09
7	that's the exception.	12:59:12
8	Q. What about the pursuit budget	12:59:13
9	preparation in step B, are you involved	12:59:31
10	in that?	12:59:32
11	A. That was never a part of my	12:59:33
12	official responsibilities, but I have	12:59:35
13	often been asked to participate as part	12:59:37
14	of that process. But it was it was	12:59:39
15	never something that I was officially	12:59:44
16	responsible for. It's something that I	12:59:46
17	would provide help if I was able to.	12:59:48
18	Q. And when you were asked, you	12:59:52
19	are asked, what specific tasks or help do	12:59:54
20	you do?	12:59:59
21	A. Generally I just help them do	12:59:59
22	the math. They would provide me a list	13:00:01
23	of resources, a list of hours, and I	13:00:03
24	would sometimes provide rate information,	13:00:06
25	but generally help them with the math.	13:00:11

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 44 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. What math is involved?	13:00:12
3	A. Really just rates times hours	13:00:31
4	equals estimated budget. We have plug	13:00:34
5	numbers to use for most resources, but if	13:00:43
6	it was an opportunity where we knew	13:00:45
7	specific personnel then I could pull that	13:00:47
8	information.	13:00:49
9	Q. What's your role in step C,	13:00:57
10	the process to submit the bid?	13:01:00
11	A. That is where I would review	13:01:02
12	any of the financial documents that were	13:01:07
13	applicable to that solicitation.	13:01:10
14	Sometimes it's a profit and loss	13:01:13
15	statement. Sometimes it's a list of	13:01:15
16	rates. It varies from opportunity to	13:01:18
17	opportunity, but generally consists of	13:01:24
18	explaining what financially we are	13:01:26
19	committing the company to.	13:01:29
20	Q. You mean what financial	13:01:31
21	investment, what amounts of money you're	13:01:37
22	committing the company to?	13:01:38
23	A. I wouldn't say necessarily	13:01:40
24	investment, but what we're looking at in	13:01:42
25	terms of, again, profit, loss.	13:01:46

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 45 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. But when you say committing	13:01:49
	3	the company to some financial thing that	13:01:51
	4	you're committing the company to, what?	13:01:54
	5	A. Well, for example, if we	13:01:55
	6	submit again, it depends on the bid.	13:01:57
	7	If we're submitting a fixed price bid,	13:02:01
	8	then we would be committing the company	13:02:04
	9	likely to some kind of investment. If	13:02:06
	10	we're just submitting a list of rates,	13:02:08
	11	then we're only committing to selling X	13:02:10
	12	person at Y dollars. I mean it's	13:02:13
	13	Q. And in the cost and materials	13:02:16
	14	contract that's what you'd be doing, the	13:02:19
	15	latter?	13:02:21
	16	A. Yes.	13:02:22
	17	Q. What is a cost and materials	13:02:26
	18	contract?	13:02:27
	19	A. I'm not familiar specifically	13:02:27
	20	with that specific term. Typically we	13:02:29
	21	call it time and materials.	13:02:32
	22	Q. Time and materials. What's	13:02:33
	23	involved in a time and materials	13:02:37
	24	contract?	13:02:39
	25	A. Time and materials contract	13:02:39

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 46 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	generally consists of labor hour a	13:02:42
	3	labor rate for labor hours and any	13:02:45
	4	materials or other direct costs that are	13:02:48
	5	necessary for the completion of that	13:02:49
	6	project. It could be software, travel,	13:02:52
	7	notebooks, any of a number of things.	13:02:56
	8	Q. What role do you have in the	13:03:07
	9	D, step D, enter negotiations with the	13:03:10
	10	client post bid submission?	13:03:12
	11	A. It really depends. If they	13:03:14
	12	are asking us questions about our pricing	13:03:16
	13	or we need to change, if we're if we	13:03:19
	14	receive a request for a best and final	13:03:26
	15	offer or proposal revisions that has a	13:03:29
	16	cost impact, then I would participate.	13:03:33
	17	If they're just asking clarification	13:03:35
	18	questions, then I would not participate	13:03:37
	19	in that.	13:03:38
	20	Q. How about step E, the	13:03:44
	21	execution of the contract?	13:03:46
	22	A. I'm generally not involved in	13:03:47
	23	that.	13:03:48
	24	Q. Now if you would just look at	13:03:57
	25	17, on the second page there's a list of	13:04:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 47 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	people involved on page 2, right, those	13:04:13
3	are the people involved in the	13:04:17
4	opportunity?	13:04:18
5	A. Yes.	13:04:19
6	Q. And they're generally listed	13:04:19
7	in this part of this kind of document,	13:04:21
8	this executive step review, right?	13:04:26
9	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:04:28
10	form.	13:04:29
11	A. Yes.	13:04:29
12	Q. Now, do you have I take it	13:04:30
13	you don't have any role in selecting the	13:04:36
14	people who are involved in an	13:04:38
15	opportunity, or do you?	13:04:40
16	A. No.	13:04:41
17	Q. But when you are given a list	13:04:41
18	of employees like this, do you generally	13:04:44
19	know the business, you know, the division	13:04:46
20	or business unit in which they are, in	13:04:48
21	which they are primarily working?	13:04:52
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	13:04:55
23	A. Yes.	13:04:56
24	Q. I see here that the business	13:05:00
25	unit is listed along with their roles,	13:05:02

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 48 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	right?	13:05:04
3	A. Yes.	13:05:04
4	Q. Is that typical?	13:05:04
5	A. Yes, for the time, yes.	13:05:06
6	Q. For that time period you're	13:05:08
7	saying that's typical?	13:05:11
8	A. Yes.	13:05:12
9	Q. Has that changed?	13:05:13
10	A. I'm not sure.	13:05:14
11	Q. Okay. Now the next page is	13:05:16
12	called client profile. That's a typical	13:05:49
13	part of this executive step review?	13:05:51
14	A. Yes.	13:05:54
15	Q. And does it generally list the	13:05:54
16	government agency that is looking for the	13:05:57
17	opportunity that the company is trying to	13:06:04
18	bid on?	13:06:06
19	A. Yes.	13:06:07
20	Q. If you look at this one, it	13:06:07
21	says key relationships this is a HUD	13:06:26
22	contract, right?	13:06:28
23	A. Yes.	13:06:29
24	Q. Under key relationships there	13:06:31
25	are three people listed?	13:06:33

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 49 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	13:06:35
3	Q. Do you know any of these	13:06:35
4	people?	13:06:36
5	A. No.	13:06:36
6	Q. What's the purpose of this	13:06:37
7	box? What does key relationships mean?	13:06:41
8	A. Those are the government	13:06:44
9	personnel with whom CGI has a	13:06:51
10	relationship, but I'm not I'm not	13:06:53
11	involved in preparing these documents so	13:06:56
12	I can't, I can't really get to I can't	13:06:59
13	answer any further than that.	13:07:04
14	Q. Okay, that's fine. Now, one	13:07:05
15	of the persons listed is Deb Lear, do you	13:07:07
16	see that?	13:07:10
17	A. Yes.	13:07:11
18	Q. Second person?	13:07:11
19	A. Yes.	13:07:12
20	Q. I know you haven't met her	13:07:12
21	according to the testimony you just gave,	13:07:14
22	right?	13:07:16
23	A. Yes.	13:07:18
24	Q. But have you seen her name on	13:07:18
25	pursuits in executive step reviews before	13:07:26

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 50 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		13:07:29
2	as someone having a key relationship with	
3	HUD?	13:07:32
4	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:07:33
5	form.	13:07:34
6	A. I don't recall her name	13:07:34
7	specifically, but I also have not worked	13:07:35
8	a lot of HUD proposals.	13:07:37
9	Q. Fair enough. Well does your	13:07:41
10	work involve pursuits for only certain	13:07:49
11	governmental agencies and not others, or,	13:07:56
12	you know, is there some distinguishing	13:08:00
13	fact among government agencies that you	13:08:03
14	could articulate where, you know, you do	13:08:05
15	more of one agency than another?	13:08:08
16	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:08:11
17	form.	13:08:12
18	A. At the time, I would say at	13:08:13
19	the time there weren't specific sectors	13:08:17
20	that we were aligned to, but that has	13:08:20
21	since changed and I do have a sector now	13:08:23
22	that I'm aligned to and I primarily only	13:08:25
23	work that sector's proposals.	13:08:28
24	But a HUD was never somewhere	13:08:30
25	that we on the Federal side did a lot of	13:08:34

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 51 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	work anyway, so there just weren't that	13:08:37
3	many HUD opportunities. A lot of the HUD	13:08:40
4	work was bid out of a different part of	13:08:44
5	the business.	13:08:47
6	Q. What part of the business was	13:08:48
7	it?	13:08:49
8	A. The BPS business, the BPS	13:08:49
9	business unit.	13:08:53
10	Q. What does BPS stand for?	13:08:54
11	A. Business process services.	13:09:01
12	Q. And what distinguished BPS	13:09:03
13	from CGI Federal?	13:09:06
14	A. BPS was a business unit within	13:09:07
15	CGI Federal.	13:09:11
16	Q. So what would be the analog	13:09:12
17	within CGI Federal for BPS that	13:09:14
18	A. I worked in what was called	13:09:17
19	the ISIT part of the business, which is	13:09:19
20	more technology, solution driven,	13:09:23
21	software driven, transformation driven,	13:09:27
22	where the BPS is business process	13:09:30
23	services, so performing inspections or	13:09:32
24	really outsourcing type work and a lot of	13:09:35
25	that is not done at the Federal level,	13:09:38

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 52 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 50

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		12.00.20
2	it's done at the state and local or the	13:09:39
3	commercial level.	13:09:41
4	Q. You said inspections and what	13:09:43
5	else that BPS did?	13:09:45
6	A. Generally outsourcing type	13:09:47
7	services.	13:09:51
8	Q. So these were two different	13:09:51
9	business units within CGI?	13:09:59
10	A. Yes.	13:10:01
11	Q. And can you tell with respect	13:10:01
12	to Plaintiff's 17 whether this was coming	13:10:04
13	out of Federal whether it was coming	13:10:07
14	out of BPS or ISIT?	13:10:10
15	A. I can't be certain.	13:10:17
16	Q. Take a look at the next page.	13:10:20
17	I see there is an answer. There seems to	13:10:24
18	be an answer on the top of the next page?	13:10:26
19	A. Okay, yes.	13:10:28
20	MR. KLEIN: Object to the form	13:10:29
21	of the question.	13:10:31
22	MR. HERBST: I'll fix that up.	13:10:32
23	Q. Labeled opportunity profile,	13:10:33
24	do you see that?	13:10:35
25	A. Yes.	13:10:35

Merrill Corporation - New York

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 53 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So the lead business unit at	13:10:36
3	least is BPS, right?	13:10:38
4	A. Yes.	13:10:39
5	Q. Now, are there ever	13:10:39
6	opportunities where both BPS and ISIT are	13:10:41
7	involved?	13:10:45
8	A. Yes.	13:10:45
9	Q. What would those be?	13:10:45
10	A. Those would typically happen	13:10:47
11	if, as I mentioned a lot of the typical	13:10:52
12	BPS work is state and local or commercial	13:10:59
13	in nature. If Federal HUD, for example,	13:11:01
14	had an opportunity that's usually when	13:11:07
15	ISIT would get involved because the	13:11:10
16	majority of our work is with the federal	13:11:13
17	government and we're the ones that have	13:11:17
18	the understanding of how to respond to a	13:11:18
19	government RFP and what that means versus	13:11:21
20	a majority of the BPS folks are more used	13:11:25
21	to responding to state and local RFPs,	13:11:28
22	so.	13:11:34
23	MR. KLEIN: I'm just going to	13:11:34
24	put my objection on THE record that	13:11:36
25	once again I think you're drifting	13:11:37

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 54 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	from the scope of even if Exhibit 5	13:11:39
3	is something that CGI agreed to or	13:11:41
4	category 5 is something CGI agreed,	13:11:43
5	I think we are getting close to	13:11:46
6	that fine line of deviating beyond	13:11:48
7	the scope of the deposition.	13:11:50
8	MR. HERBST: I don't, but	13:11:56
9	again, I don't want to debate with	13:11:57
10	you.	13:12:03
11	Q. I just want to understand. In	13:12:03
12	2009/2010 you were in the ISIT part of	13:12:06
13	the organization, or did your duties	13:12:09
14	encompass both BPS and ISIT?	13:12:10
15	A. I was aligned to ISIT. I	13:12:14
16	would say 90 plus percent of my work was	13:12:16
17	ISIT.	13:12:19
18	Q. Do you know who your same	13:12:20
19	number, the same person doing your duties	13:12:22
20	for the BPS unit within CGI would be, the	13:12:25
21	pricing manager for those opportunities?	13:12:30
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	13:12:32
23	A. It's my understanding they	13:12:33
24	don't they don't have a pricing	13:12:34
25	function the way that ISIT did. They	13:12:38

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 55 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

			1
1	K	ELLY L. BRYSON	
2	rely more on t	heir finance department in	13:12:42
3	general to do	their pricing. They don't	13:12:46
4	have pricing s	pecialists, to my	13:12:49
5	knowledge.		13:12:51
6	Q. Is	there any reason for that?	13:12:52
7	A. I d	on't know. I think they're	13:12:53
8	just more cros	s functional. I don't I	13:12:55
9	can't I don	't know.	13:12:59
10	Q. Now	, the next line under the	13:12:59
11	BPS says HCV p	rogrammatic consulting	13:13:19
12	services. Do	you know what HCV stands	13:13:25
13	for?		13:13:27
14	A. No.		13:13:27
15	Q. Do	you know what the	13:13:28
16	opportunity wa	s?	13:13:28
17	A. No.		13:13:29
18	Q. Not	hing in this document would	13:13:29
19	be able to tel	l you what it was?	13:13:32
20	A. I m	ean not, not any more than	13:13:35
21	what's just wr	itten here.	13:13:39
22	Q. It	says account manager	13:13:40
23	Benjamin Ashmo	re?	13:13:48
24	A. Yes		13:13:49
25	Q. Wha	t would the account	13:13:49

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 56 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	manager's duties be, generally?	13:13:51
3	A. Generally, they're the person	13:13:53
4	that has the relationship with the client	13:13:55
5	executives, but I would say that those	13:13:59
6	duties would often vary depending on the	13:14:03
7	size of the account. If it's a very	13:14:06
8	large account their duties would be	13:14:08
9	different, if it was a very small account	13:14:10
10	like HUD.	13:14:13
11	Q. When you say if it's a very	13:14:13
12	small account like HUD, then what?	13:14:15
13	A. Then it would, in my	13:14:18
14	experience it's typical that an account	13:14:20
15	manager of a very small account would be	13:14:22
16	more actively involved in delivery,	13:14:25
17	actual labor hours versus someone in a	13:14:29
18	very large account is probably more	13:14:33
19	involved in strategy and less doing the	13:14:36
20	actual work work.	13:14:41
21	Q. And it says BU executive	13:14:43
22	owner, business unit executive owner, is	13:14:47
23	that what that means?	13:14:49
24	A. Yes.	13:14:49
25	Q. Richard Schmitz. What is the	13:14:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 57 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	business unit executive owner? What's	13:14:54
3	that person's role or what does that	13:14:56
4	mean?	13:14:59
5	A. I'm really not sure what that	13:14:59
6	means in this context. That's typically	13:15:03
7	a vice president that was responsible for	13:15:06
8	under whom the subject opportunity's	13:15:11
9	umbrella fell under. But their	13:15:17
10	involvement or how active they were I	13:15:20
11	don't know.	13:15:23
12	Q. On the right-hand side it says	13:15:23
13	TCV, term. What does that stand for?	13:15:27
14	A. Total contract value.	13:15:30
15	Q. So this was anticipated to be	13:15:32
16	an \$8.6 million, or \$8.7 million	13:15:34
17	contract?	13:15:39
18	A. Yes. For the, over the total	13:15:39
19	of the four years.	13:15:42
20	Q. Then the next sheet under	13:15:43
21	pursuit team lists the team who were	13:15:52
22	assigned to that, right?	13:15:54
23	A. Yes.	13:15:55
24	Q. To the opportunity?	13:15:55
25	A. Yes.	13:15:56

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 58 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Г			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. And then the next page is	13:15:57
	3	pursuit budget, right?	13:16:02
	4	A. Yes.	13:16:04
	5	Q. What's the purpose of that	13:16:04
	6	page?	13:16:06
	7	A. That page provides an estimate	13:16:07
	8	of the amount of money that we at CGI are	13:16:11
	9	expecting to spend in pursuit of this	13:16:17
	10	opportunity in sales activities, whether	13:16:20
	11	that's preparing the proposal, it could	13:16:24
	12	be printing costs, there could be travel.	13:16:26
	13	Q. Are you involved in generating	13:16:31
	14	pursuit budgets in	13:16:34
	15	A. Not typically.	13:16:36
	16	Q. Not typically?	13:16:37
	17	A. No.	13:16:37
	18	Q. Do you have some knowledge	13:16:38
	19	about how it's done, what the process is?	13:16:41
	20	A. Again, it's what we were	13:16:43
	21	referring to earlier. I would	13:16:46
	22	occasionally help with the rates or the	13:16:48
	23	math, but in terms of determining who was	13:16:49
	24	on the team or their level of commitment	13:16:52
	25	or how many hours that they were expected	13:16:55

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 59 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 57

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	to spend, no, I was not involved in that.	13:16:57
3	Q. Let's just talk about the	13:17:00
4	rates and the math, all right, on this	13:17:02
5	page.	13:17:04
6	A. Okay.	13:17:04
7	Q. So let's take Mr. Ashmore, the	13:17:04
8	first one. He's the first one of four	13:17:07
9	people listed, right?	13:17:09
10	A. Yes.	13:17:11
11	Q. Can you tell me why the four	13:17:11
12	people listed on that page do not really	13:17:23
13	correspond to the people listed on the	13:17:27
14	pursuit team on the previous page?	13:17:29
15	A. I don't recognize two of the	13:17:34
16	four names here, so no, I can't, I can't	13:17:37
17	answer that definitively.	13:17:44
18	Q. And the two that you do not	13:17:45
19	recognize, would that be Laura Lampe and	13:17:46
20	Joyce Changery?	13:17:49
21	A. Yes.	13:17:51
22	Q. You don't know what business	13:17:51
23	units they were from?	13:17:53
24	A. No.	13:17:54
25	Q. All right, so let's just talk	13:17:55

Merrill Corporation - New York

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 60 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	about Mr. Ashmore primarily first. It	13:17:58
3	says what's supposed to be in the	13:18:03
4	hours column where it says 20?	13:18:05
5	A. The hours that they're	13:18:08
6	expected to work, or their estimated	13:18:09
7	hours for that part of the pursuit	13:18:12
8	process.	13:18:14
9	Q. Is that a total hour number	13:18:15
10	for the entire pursuit process?	13:18:17
11	A. Well there's four different	13:18:19
12	swim lanes here.	13:18:25
13	Q. Four different columns along	13:18:26
14	the top you mean?	13:18:27
15	A. Yes.	13:18:28
16	Q. So in other words, he's	13:18:29
17	supposed to, he's expected or anticipated	13:18:30
18	to spend 20 hours managing the sale?	13:18:33
19	A. Yes.	13:18:35
20	Q. 60 hours in solution and	13:18:36
21	proposal development?	13:18:37
22	A. Yes.	13:18:39
23	Q. Is that 60 or 80?	13:18:40
24	A. It's 60.	13:18:41
25	Q. 20 hours in other, right?	13:18:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 61 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	13:18:47
3		13:18:47
	Q. And then 20 hours in close,	
4	due diligence, right?	13:18:49
5	A. Yes.	13:18:50
6	Q. So that takes care of the	13:18:50
7	hours. Now the rate listed is 125 an	13:18:52
8	hour for him?	13:19:00
9	A. Yes.	13:19:01
10	Q. How is that rate calculated?	13:19:01
11	A. I'm not sure. In that	13:19:03
12	circumstance I can't be sure.	13:19:08
13	Q. Well how is it generally	13:19:10
14	calculated? You say you usually help	13:19:11
15	with rates on stuff like this?	13:19:13
16	A. I occasionally help with	13:19:15
17	rates.	13:19:16
18	Q. Occasionally, right. So when	13:19:16
19	you do help with the rates, how do you	13:19:18
20	calculate or help calculate the rates	13:19:20
21	that go into this?	13:19:23
22	MR. KLEIN: I just want to	13:19:25
23	clarify because I'm a little	13:19:27
24	confused. Are you talking about at	13:19:27
25	the pursuit budget stage?	13:19:30

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 62 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	MR. HERBST: I don't know, but	13:19:45
3	the witness can help us clarify if	13:19:45
4	that is something that you need to	13:19:48
5	clarify in order to answer the	13:19:50
6	question. I would normally	13:19:52
7	consider that a speaking objection,	13:19:57
8	but I believe in good faith that	13:19:58
9	you were attempting to clarify. So	13:19:59
10	let me hear the answer, or the	13:20:02
11	question again, please.	13:20:06
12	(Record read as requested.)	13:20:07
13	Q. When I say this, I'm talking	13:20:13
14	about the rates in this pursuit budget.	13:20:15
15	A. If the request is made of me	13:20:18
16	to use the specific people that are	13:20:21
17	listed and their actual rates, then I	13:20:25
18	would look up their salaries and I would	13:20:28
19	divide by 2200 hours per year and I would	13:20:31
20	add an amount for fringe that would	13:20:36
21	and the sum of those numbers would total	13:20:39
22	their internal cost rate.	13:20:41
23	But often for this purpose,	13:20:45
24	for opportunity budget purposes they	13:20:51
25	would use kind of generic rates which are	13:20:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 63 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	what I suspect is what you're seeing	13:20:57
3	here. Because a lot of that information	13:21:00
4	is obviously proprietary, you don't want	13:21:02
5	to show up to a step review and have	13:21:05
6	everybody see what your salary is and	13:21:08
7	that's why the rates were genericized.	13:21:10
8	Q. Let's unpack that answer a	13:21:18
9	little bit. If you were asked, if you	13:21:19
10	were going to go the specific person's	13:21:25
11	salary route, you would look up their	13:21:30
12	salaries. Where would you go to look up	13:21:33
13	their salaries?	13:21:35
14	A. HR database.	13:21:35
15	Q. The HR database?	13:21:37
16	A. Yes.	13:21:38
17	Q. And that has everybody's	13:21:39
18	salary in it?	13:21:41
19	A. Yes.	13:21:41
20	Q. You divide by 2200 which is	13:21:41
21	the number of hours, right?	13:21:56
22	A. Yes.	13:21:57
23	Q. Then you said you would add	13:21:57
24	fringe?	13:22:00
25	A. Yes.	13:22:01

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 64 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. What is fringe?	13:22:01
3	A. Fringe is a percentage that we	13:22:03
4	add on to salary costs that is intended	13:22:08
5	to account for things such as vacation,	13:22:12
6	holiday, medical insurance.	13:22:17
7	Q. Vacation, holiday, medical	13:22:24
8	insurance. Anything else?	13:22:25
9	A. There's a component of it for	13:22:32
10	profit participation.	13:22:34
11	Q. I just want to make sure I	13:22:38
12	understand you. The profit participation	13:22:40
13	component is included in fringe?	13:22:42
14	A. An estimate is included in	13:22:44
15	fringe. We never know the actual profit	13:22:46
16	participation amount until we know and	13:22:48
17	that's we're a year behind so we have	13:22:54
18	to do we generally did an adjustment.	13:22:58
19	But in general, at the time we	13:23:00
20	used a 33 percent fringe factor.	13:23:03
21	Q. That's the only factor you've	13:23:12
22	ever used during those years, 2009/2010?	13:23:17
23	A. When estimating fringe at the	13:23:20
24	time for ISIT work, yes, that's what we	13:23:22
25	used.	13:23:24

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 65 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_	1456 00	, =
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. How about for CGI Federal	13:23:25
3	work?	13:23:28
4	A. That's the same. It would	13:23:28
5	have been the same.	13:23:30
6	Q. It would be the same?	13:23:31
7	A. Yes.	13:23:32
8	Q. How about BPS work?	13:23:32
9	A. I'm not sure.	13:23:35
10	Q. So the ISIT fringe percentage	13:23:36
11	would be the same whether it was a HUD	13:23:38
12	contract or an HHS contract, it wouldn't	13:23:44
13	matter; is that right?	13:23:48
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:23:51
15	form.	13:23:52
16	A. Yes, at that time if we were	13:23:52
17	calculating something that was looking at	13:23:54
18	fringe, yes, that was a generic number	13:23:56
19	that we used. We didn't calculate fringe	13:23:58
20	specifically as a separate component at	13:24:01
21	the time.	13:24:05
22	Q. So are you fairly confident	13:24:05
23	that with respect to this \$125 rate	13:24:14
24	well, withdrawn.	13:24:19
25	Can you tell whether or not	13:24:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 66 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	WELLY I DOVCOM	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	this \$125 rate would include the	13:24:21
3	person's, Mr. Ashmore's fringe including	13:24:27
4	all those components or whether it	13:24:30
5	wouldn't?	13:24:31
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:24:32
7	form.	13:24:33
8	A. The intention is that these	13:24:34
9	should be fringe loaded rates. Again,	13:24:35
10	these are generic rates, so yes, my	13:24:37
11	understanding is that these would be	13:24:40
12	fully fringe loaded rates.	13:24:41
13	Q. Now, you said that you think	13:24:42
14	these are generic rates, so we've sort of	13:24:46
15	departed from the set of questions I was	13:24:50
16	asking you earlier which is if you were	13:24:55
17	using actual rates?	13:24:56
18	A. Right. Then yes, I would use	13:24:57
19	fringe.	13:25:01
20	Q. You would use fringe, but	13:25:01
21	would the actual rate also be 33 percent	13:25:03
22	or would you try to ascertain how much	13:25:05
23	vacation, holiday, medical insurance and	13:25:11
24	an estimate of profit participation?	13:25:15
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:25:18

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 67 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form. You can answer.	13:25:19
3	A. I'm not sure I understand your	13:25:21
4	question. We just use 33 percent. That	13:25:22
5	was estimate of fringe that we used at	13:25:24
6	the time.	13:25:29
7	Q. Okay. Whether it's actual	13:25:29
8	salaries that you were looking up or	13:25:34
9	whether you were using generic rates?	13:25:36
10	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:25:38
11	form.	13:25:40
12	A. Yes, if I were using a generic	13:25:40
13	salary or a salary estimate, I would	13:25:42
14	still use the same fringe amount	13:25:43
15	regardless of if I was using an estimated	13:25:46
16	salary versus an actual salary.	13:25:48
17	Q. Because I thought when you	13:25:49
18	were testifying earlier you said if you	13:25:51
19	wanted to get an estimate of profit	13:25:53
20	participation you would consult last	13:25:54
21	year's profit participation of that	13:25:56
22	particular employee as an estimate?	13:26:00
23	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:26:02
24	form.	13:26:03
25	A. No. No. I'm sorry if that	13:26:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 68 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	was not clear.	13:26:05
3	Q. That's not true?	13:26:06
4	A. No. The	13:26:07
5	MR. KLEIN: She answered.	13:26:12
6	A. I'm sorry. I'm good.	13:26:13
7	Q. I thought you were	13:26:15
8	A. I'm good.	13:26:16
9	Q. Now would the compensation	13:26:17
10	other than profit participation be	13:26:27
11	included in fringe, such as commission if	13:26:30
12	a person were on commission, as well as	13:26:35
13	profit participation?	13:26:37
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:26:38
15	form.	13:26:40
16	A. There are a number of	13:26:43
17	non-salary compensation tools, some bonus	13:26:47
18	items may live in fringe. I'm not sure	13:26:53
19	exactly where commission lives. I don't	13:26:56
20	know if that lives in fringe or if it	13:26:58
21	lives in a sales budget.	13:27:00
22	Q. What other non-salaried	13:27:14
23	elements of compensation on occasion live	13:27:17
24	in fringe?	13:27:20
25	A. Things like a hiring bonus or	13:27:21

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 69 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	retention bonus, one time bonus. I mean	13:27:24
3	there are a million different probably	13:27:30
4	types of bonuses a person could receive	13:27:32
5	for any different number of reasons, and	13:27:34
6	those could live in fringe. They could	13:27:36
7	live elsewhere.	13:27:39
8	Q. Besides bonus and commission	13:27:43
9	which you've already said might be in	13:27:46
10	fringe or might be in the sales budget,	13:27:48
11	what else, anything?	13:27:50
12	A. I don't know.	13:27:51
13	Q. You can't think of anything	13:27:56
14	right now?	13:27:57
15	A. I can't think of anything	13:27:58
16	else.	13:27:59
17	Q. What about stock options,	13:27:59
18	would that live in fringe?	13:28:01
19	A. I'm not sure.	13:28:03
20	Q. Who would know that?	13:28:07
21	A. Who would know that? I would	13:28:08
22	probably direct you to the CGI Federal	13:28:17
23	controller.	13:28:20
24	Q. Who would that be?	13:28:21
25	A. Scott Pfost.	13:28:22

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 70 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 68

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Now, since you testified these	13:28:31
3	could either be actual rates or generic	13:28:39
4	rates but you think they're generic	13:28:41
5	rates, how do you, if you were going to	13:28:42
6	decide to use a generic rate, how would	13:28:44
7	you calculate the generic rate, where	13:28:46
8	would you go to look to find it?	13:28:48
9	A. I wouldn't do that. They were	13:28:49
10	rates that were already in the template	13:28:51
11	and you just dropped down and it would	13:28:52
12	say if this, if you're representing a	13:28:55
13	vice president then this is a reasonable	13:28:58
14	rate, if you're representing a senior	13:29:00
15	manager. I mean it's been a very long	13:29:02
16	time since I've been involved in anything	13:29:05
17	like this. I don't recall with any	13:29:08
18	specificity more than what I've already	13:29:11
19	said.	13:29:14
20	Q. Well, in 2009/2010, besides	13:29:14
21	you, would there have been anybody else	13:29:18
22	who would be able to answer that question	13:29:21
23	with more specificity?	13:29:23
24	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	13:29:27
25	object to the form. Are you	13:29:28

1-800-325-3376

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 71 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	talking about this specific	13:29:29
3	document, or are you talking about	13:29:31
4	what she did because I think	13:29:32
5	there's some confusion here?	13:29:34
6	MR. HERBST: No, I don't think	13:29:35
7	there's any confusion, but you are,	13:29:37
8	again, you're interrupting the	13:29:39
9	witness when she's trying to answer	13:29:40
10	the question. You're attempting to	13:29:42
11	guide her.	13:29:44
12	MR. KLEIN: No, because you're	13:29:45
13	asking a question about a document	13:29:46
14	that she testified that she did not	13:29:48
15	prepare.	13:29:50
16	MR. HERBST: I'm asking her	13:29:51
17	when she uses generic rates.	13:29:55
18	MR. KLEIN: And she said she	13:29:57
19	doesn't do that. You can ask your	13:29:59
20	next question. Or whatever the	13:30:01
21	question is.	13:30:03
22	MR. HERBST: I'm going to go	13:30:04
23	back and I'm going to ask that the	13:30:05
24	question be reread to the witness.	13:30:06
25	(Record read as requested.)	13:30:08

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 72 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 70

		•
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. What is the question exactly?	13:30:21
3	MR. HERBST: Would you read	13:30:26
4	back the previous question.	13:30:27
5	(Record read as requested.)	13:30:28
6	A. So the question is, in terms	13:30:51
7	of this opportunity pursuit budget	13:30:55
8	worksheet who determined what the generic	13:30:59
9	rates were?	13:31:01
		13:31:01
10	Q. Yes.	
11	A. I don't know the answer to	13:31:02
12	that. I would refer you to the PMO,	13:31:04
13	they're the ones in charge of this	13:31:10
14	template.	13:31:11
15	Q. PMO, what does that stand for?	13:31:12
16	A. Program or project management	13:31:14
17	office.	13:31:19
18	Q. And in 2009, 2010, who ran	13:31:19
19	that?	13:31:27
20	A. Kathleen Landers.	13:31:31
21	Q. Is she still employed?	13:31:32
22	A. As far as I know.	13:31:34
23	Q. At CGI?	13:31:35
24	A. As far as I know.	13:31:36
25	Q. What is her position now?	13:31:37

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 73 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	WHILM I DDWGOV	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I don't I don't know. I	13:31:39
3	Q. Is she still involved in	13:31:41
4	program or project management?	13:31:44
5	A. I don't know.	13:31:47
6	MR. HERBST: I will request,	13:31:54
7	Mr. Klein, if you identify her and	13:31:55
8	what her duties are. Just so that	13:31:56
9	we can list that as a request.	13:32:01
10	(Request made.)	13:32:01
11	Q. Okay. Now I think you	13:32:04
12	testified that generic rates would	13:32:20
13	involve titles and templates like vice	13:32:30
14	president or senior manager, right?	13:32:33
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:32:35
16	form.	13:32:36
17	A. Right.	13:32:36
18	Q. Now this page doesn't seem to	13:32:37
19	identify what their title or template	13:32:39
20	would be, so how would anybody fill in	13:32:43
21	the generic, would use generic rates as	13:32:49
22	opposed to actual rates?	13:32:52
23	A. Typically the person that	13:32:54
24	completes this is the opportunity pursuit	13:32:58
25	leader, and they should have the	13:33:02

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 74 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	knowledge of what it is everyone is doing	13:33:05
3	and what their approximate seniority or	13:33:07
4	level is. And since they don't have	13:33:10
5	access to salary information, that's why	13:33:13
6	the generic rates are there. So they can	13:33:16
7	say I know Joe is a senior subject matter	13:33:19
8	expert, I may not know his salary, but I	13:33:30
9	know generally he's a senior SME, so I'm	13:33:33
10	going to plug in \$125 an hour.	13:33:36
11	And again, that's why this	13:33:41
12	template, to my understanding, is written	13:33:44
13	to be generic because the person	13:33:46
14	responsible for filling this out isn't	13:33:49
15	going to know salary information.	13:33:52
16	Q. Except in Mr. Ashmore's case,	13:33:55
17	he was the project manager, right?	13:33:58
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:34:02
19	form.	13:34:03
20	A. Yeah, I believe he was the	13:34:03
21	pursuit manager on this opportunity.	13:34:06
22	Q. Account manager, that's the	13:34:08
23	same as pursuit manager, right?	13:34:10
24	A. Not necessarily.	13:34:12
25	Q. Where would the pursuit	13:34:13

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 75 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	manager be listed here?	13:34:15
3	A. Opportunity pursuit leader is	13:34:26
4	on slide the fourth slide on the	13:34:29
5	right-hand side.	13:34:32
6	Q. Do you see a page number on	13:34:33
7	the bottom where it says 4 confidential,	13:34:35
8	for example?	13:34:40
9	A. No, it's cut off.	13:34:40
10	Q. Okay, I see it, on the page	13:34:41
11	headed opportunity profile?	13:34:43
12	A. Correct.	13:34:45
13	Q. So he might know his own	13:34:45
14	salary rate, right?	13:34:48
15	A. Right.	13:34:49
16	Q. But he wouldn't necessarily	13:34:50
17	know what fringe to put in, right, and	13:34:52
18	all that stuff?	13:34:55
19	A. He may not know what fringe to	13:34:55
20	put in. He may not know you know, he	13:34:57
21	will likely be working with a number of	13:35:00
22	people that don't work for him, for	13:35:02
23	example, a technical writer or a desktop	13:35:04
24	publisher, which he would likely not know	13:35:07
25	their salary information. So that's	13:35:09

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 76 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 74

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		13:35:11
2	where the generic rates are helpful.	
3	Q. And he might or might not know	13:35:13
4	what Panos Kyprianou makes, right, his	13:35:18
5	salary?	13:35:22
6	A. Right.	13:35:22
7	Q. So he would have to call you	13:35:22
8	or someone else to ask?	13:35:24
9	A. Or he could use a generic	13:35:25
10	rate.	13:35:27
11	Q. Where would he go to get a	13:35:27
12	generic rate?	13:35:28
13	A. Again, I'm not certain. I	13:35:29
14	believe that they were built into the	13:35:30
15	template, but I'm not certain.	13:35:32
16	Q. All right.	13:35:32
17	A. I mean and there is a footnote	13:35:42
18	down here that says estimated hourly rate	13:35:44
19	based on role.	13:35:46
20	MR. KLEIN: Let's take a two	13:36:08
21	minute bathroom break.	13:36:09
22	(A recess was taken.)	13:36:12
23	Q. You know you're still under	13:44:31
24	oath?	13:44:32
25	A. Yes, sir.	13:44:32

Merrill Corporation - New York

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 77 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Now I want to ask you about,	13:44:34
3	right, Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 marked in a	13:44:40
4	previous deposition. And I want to	13:44:44
5	direct your attention to the second page	13:44:51
6	of that. Do you see it's an email from	13:44:55
7	Mr. Ashmore on May 21st, 2010 at 11:17	13:45:03
8	a.m. to Scott Pfost and Rob Bowell,	13:45:07
9	right?	13:45:11
10	A. Yes.	13:45:11
11	Q. Pfost being the controller?	13:45:11
12	A. Yes.	13:45:14
13	Q. And Rob Bowell who is who? He	13:45:15
14	was who?	13:45:18
15	A. He was one of the vice	13:45:19
16	presidents.	13:45:21
17	Q. Why was he on this email?	13:45:21
18	A. For approval purposes.	13:45:23
19	Q. What role did he have that his	13:45:25
20	approval was required for an opportunity	13:45:28
21	like this?	13:45:30
22	A. He was one of the account or	13:45:30
23	sector VPs that could approve	13:45:33
24	Q. You say he was one of the	13:45:36
25	what?	13:45:38

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 78 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Account or sector VPs who	13:45:38
3	would approve.	13:45:41
4	Q. When you say account, you	13:45:43
5	mean, what account?	13:45:48
6	A. He would have been I'm not	13:45:49
7	sure of his exact role. I just know that	13:45:53
8	he was a vice president at the time that	13:45:59
9	lived in this account.	13:46:02
10	Q. What is an account vice	13:46:03
11	president or account manager? What does	13:46:05
12	he do?	13:46:07
13	A. Well like I said earlier, it	13:46:08
14	really depends on the size of the account.	13:46:10
15	A very large account could have several	13:46:14
16	VPs with varying responsibilities. And	13:46:16
17	likewise, there could be one VP in charge	13:46:18
18	of a number of smaller accounts. There's	13:46:23
19	not a specific.	13:46:25
20	Q. What's a sector VP as opposed	13:46:26
21	to an account VP?	13:46:29
22	A. They would typically be in	13:46:30
23	charge of a business unit. So, for	13:46:34
24	example, there was, there was a sector VP	13:46:38
25	in charge of BPS or a sector VP in charge	13:46:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 79 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	of ISIT.	13:46:47
3	Q. Have you seen this exhibit	13:46:49
4	before?	13:46:50
5	A. Yes.	13:46:50
6	Q. And when did you first see it?	13:46:51
7	A. It looks like 11/17 on Friday,	13:46:54
8	May 21st, 2010.	13:46:58
9	Q. Why was it sent to you? Why	13:47:00
10	were you included as a cc on it?	13:47:03
11	A. Typically pricing is included	13:47:05
12	as a courtesy to let us know that OMF	13:47:06
13	approval has been requested and so if	13:47:13
14	there are any questions that the	13:47:15
15	approvers have that we're copied on them	13:47:18
16	directly instead of getting it third hand	13:47:21
17	later. It's easier just to keep	13:47:25
18	everybody that has a role in the pursuit	13:47:29
19	on the email chain.	13:47:31
20	Q. What does OMF stand for?	13:47:32
21	A. Operation management	13:47:34
22	framework.	13:47:36
23	Q. What does that mean?	13:47:37
24	A. That's just another word for	13:47:38
25	I mean that's shorthand and often	13:47:42

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 80 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	interchanged for the executive step	13:47:46
3	review process or step C approval.	13:47:49
4	MR. KLEIN: Rob, we did this a	13:47:58
5	year ago with some of these	13:48:01
6	documents, but it's our understanding	13:48:02
7	that some of the funky characters and	13:48:04
8	question marks and things of that	13:48:07
9	nature that may appear on this	13:48:08
10	document were not on the document	13:48:10
11	when Mr. Ashmore sent the email.	13:48:12
12	Rather, in some printing that's where	13:48:15
13	those characters appeared.	13:48:18
14	Q. Did you review this document	13:48:37
15	again in preparation for your testimony?	13:48:39
16	A. Yes.	13:48:40
17	Q. When?	13:48:40
18	A. Back when we were initially	13:48:41
19	preparing for the deposition, which	13:48:47
20	again, I'm not sure, but I believe was	13:48:50
21	somewhere in the April/May time frame.	13:48:52
22	Q. And then again?	13:48:55
23	A. More recently in preparation	13:48:56
24	for today.	13:48:58
25	Q. How recently?	13:49:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 81 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Within the last two weeks.	13:49:01
3	Q. What determines the labor	13:49:13
4	category into which employees are placed	13:49:14
5	when CGI is pursuing an opportunity?	13:49:17
6	MR. KLEIN: Could you read	13:49:30
7	that back.	13:49:30
8	(Record read as requested.)	13:49:31
9	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:49:32
10	form.	13:49:33
11	A. The description and any	13:49:33
12	associated qualifications for the labor	13:49:38
13	category are what determines who may be	13:49:41
14	eligible. As far as placing specific	13:49:44
15	members into a labor category, that is	13:49:48
16	often the responsibility of the project	13:49:50
17	or account team.	13:49:53
18	Q. Do you have any role in that?	13:49:56
19	A. No.	13:49:57
20	Q. So once they're placed in the	13:49:58
21	category then you helped provide the	13:50:01
22	rates and the math?	13:50:02
23	A. Correct.	13:50:03
24	Q. What determines the labor	13:50:08
25	category into which employees are placed	13:50:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 82 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	once CGI Federal has won an opportunity	13:50:12
3	and is performing the work of the	13:50:15
4	contract and invoicing the client?	13:50:16
5	A. It's the same process. There	13:50:17
6	may be a client or a prime vetting	13:50:21
7	process also where we propose a resource	13:50:24
8	and a category, the prime may say no, I	13:50:29
9	don't like that person, but the process	13:50:32
10	doesn't change.	13:50:35
11	Q. Are there any procedures at	13:50:36
12	CGI Federal to ensure that the employees	13:50:38
13	identified in labor categories at the bid	13:50:41
14	stage are the same as when they're	13:50:44
15	invoicing the client after the bid is,	13:50:46
16	the opportunity is won?	13:50:49
17	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:50:52
18	form.	13:50:53
19	A. What I would I would answer	13:50:53
20	that to say that there are often	13:50:59
21	requirements for what are called key	13:51:04
22	personnel that have a time commitment or	13:51:06
23	a length of service commitment that when	13:51:11
24	we are bidding an opportunity, if we are	13:51:14
25	proposing someone as key, it's expected	13:51:17

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 83 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that they show up, that they are the	13:51:19
3	person that we are delivering.	13:51:22
4	If a person is not proposed as	13:51:23
5	key, then no, we have no responsibility	13:51:25
6	to deliver that particular person.	13:51:28
7	Q. And where in the documentation	13:51:30
8	would we find the designation of a person	13:51:38
9	as key?	13:51:42
10	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:51:43
11	form.	13:51:44
12	A. Typically, that would be	13:51:44
13	included as part of our proposal	13:51:47
14	submission in an org chart or something	13:51:49
15	like that.	13:51:54
16	Q. Now, with respect to the	13:51:56
17	second page of Plaintiff's Exhibit 18,	13:52:01
18	you see there are names of specific	13:52:04
19	individuals listed on the right-hand side	13:52:06
20	for certain categories?	13:52:09
21	A. Yes.	13:52:10
22	Q. Is that an indication that	13:52:11
23	those are the people who were expected to	13:52:13
24	perform the work if the bid is won?	13:52:17
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:52:22

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 84 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	13:52:23
3	A. My understanding and	13:52:23
4	recollection is that these are	13:52:24
5	representative names of people who could	13:52:25
6	perform the work, but not that we would	13:52:27
7	necessarily be delivering.	13:52:31
8	Q. What makes you think that as	13:52:34
9	you sit here now?	13:52:39
10	A. Just my recollection of this	13:52:40
11	particular deal. Also, you'll notice in	13:52:43
12	the document it says we are providing a	13:52:47
13	rate card.	13:52:50
14	Q. Where is that?	13:52:53
15	A. The highlighted section in the	13:52:53
16	bold area.	13:52:56
17	Q. The preliminary rate card?	13:52:56
18	A. Preliminary rate card. And so	13:52:58
19	we've not that says to me that we have	13:53:01
20	not negotiated any specific scope of work	13:53:04
21	or specific tasking. So these people may	13:53:07
22	not be appropriate for the ultimate scope	13:53:11
23	that we end up with.	13:53:14
24	MR. HERBST: Let's have this	13:53:53
25	one marked as Bryson Exhibit 7.	13:53:55

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 85 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	14.50	· =
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2		13:53:57
	(Bryson Exhibit 7 for	13.33.37
3	identification, document titled	
4	Part III - Oral technical quote	
5	presentation, business consulting	
6	services blanket purchase agreement	
7	in support of: The Department of	
8	Housing and Urban Development's	
9	(HUD's) Transformative Initiative.)	13:54:38
10	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	13:54:38
11	this as Bryson Exhibit 8.	13:54:39
12	(Bryson Exhibit 8 for	13:54:43
13	identification, document titled	13:54:43
14	Sample Task Order 4: Housing Choice	13:54:43
15	Voucher Program Phase III,	13:54:43
16	Recommended Solution Analysis.)	13:54:44
17	MR. KLEIN: Before you ask any	13:54:44
18	questions, Mr. Herbst, would you	13:54:45
19	happen to be aware of the Bates	13:54:47
20	numbers, where in the production	13:54:48
21	the documents came?	13:54:50
22	MR. HERBST: I am not, I'm not	13:54:51
23	even aware, I'm not aware of that	13:54:53
24	so I cannot help you. But	13:54:56
25	afterwards I will endeavor to find	13:54:58

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 86 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	out, if you prompt me because I may	13:55:00
3	forget.	13:55:10
4	MR. KLEIN: The next question	13:55:11
5	is do you know if these documents	13:55:12
6	came from the CGI production?	13:55:13
7	MR. HERBST: I don't, but I'm	13:55:15
8	happy to try to answer that	13:55:16
9	question as well.	13:55:18
10	Q. Let's look at Bryson 7 first.	13:55:22
11	Are you familiar with this?	13:55:25
12	A. No.	13:55:26
13	Q. You've never seen this	13:55:26
14	document before?	13:55:27
15	A. Not that I recall.	13:55:28
16	Q. How about Bryson 8?	13:55:28
17	A. No.	13:55:31
18	Q. Since you do have a	13:55:33
19	recollection of this opportunity and	13:55:38
20	you've looked at documents relating to	13:55:41
21	this opportunity, can you by looking at	13:55:43
22	the documents attest to the fact that	13:55:48
23	these documents relate to that	13:55:50
24	opportunity?	13:55:52
25	A. Yes. I mean the titles are	13:55:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 87 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	the same.	13:55:57
3	Q. Do you have a recollection if	13:55:57
4	these involved, this was a HUD	13:56:02
5	opportunity?	13:56:04
6	A. Yes.	13:56:04
7	Q. And do you have a recollection	13:56:04
8	it involved a transformation initiative	13:56:07
9	for HUD?	13:56:10
10	A. Yes, again, I mean that's the	13:56:11
11	title of the opportunity.	13:56:13
12	Q. I mean you testified before	13:56:14
13	about transformation opportunities and	13:56:16
14	initiatives. Since you have some	13:56:20
15	recollection apart from documents about	13:56:21
16	the opportunity, do you remember that	13:56:23
17	that's what this opportunity involved?	13:56:25
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:56:28
19	form.	13:56:29
20	A. I mean I often don't get	13:56:29
21	involved specifically in the detailed	13:56:31
22	scope.	13:56:37
23	Q. I understand. I'm just asking	13:56:38
24	whether you have a recollection that this	13:56:39
25	opportunity did involve a transformation	13:56:41

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 88 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 86

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	initiative?	13:56:46
3	A. Yes.	13:56:46
4	Q. And do you have a recollection	13:56:47
5	that there was a recommended solution	13:56:51
6	analysis for Housing Choice Voucher	13:56:56
7	Program Phase III? Take a look at Bryson	13:57:02
8	8.	13:57:04
9	A. I don't recall that	13:57:08
10	specifically, no.	13:57:09
11	Q. Now, take a look at page 89 of	13:57:09
12	Exhibit 8. The number is in the lower	13:57:32
13	right-hand corner.	13:57:35
14	A. Yes.	13:57:37
15	Q. You moved right to that one.	13:57:37
16	All right. So you see Nancy Dowdy at the	13:57:39
17	bottom, right?	13:57:42
18	A. Yes.	13:57:42
19	Q. And this page is headed, or	13:57:44
20	the slide is headed rationale for	13:57:46
21	choosing key personnel, right?	13:57:49
22	A. Yes.	13:57:51
23	Q. It says key personnel, right?	13:57:52
24	A. Yes.	13:57:54
25	Q. Is it fair to assume that by	13:57:54

Merrill Corporation - New York

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 89 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
-	WHILL DOWGON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	12.50.56
2	designating these people key personnel	13:57:56
3	that CGI was basically saying these were	13:57:59
4	the folks that were going to perform the	13:58:01
5	work?	13:58:03
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:58:04
7	form.	13:58:05
8	A. CGI was not. ICF was saying	13:58:05
9	it.	13:58:07
10	Q. ICF was saying it?	13:58:07
11	A. Yes.	13:58:08
12	Q. ICF was the prime, right?	13:58:09
13	A. Yes.	13:58:11
14	Q. And CGI was the secondary?	13:58:11
15	A. Yes.	13:58:14
16	Q. Is it fair to say ICF would	13:58:14
17	not be representing to HUD that these	13:58:17
18	people were key personnel unless CGI had	13:58:19
19	identified them as such?	13:58:22
20	A. Correct.	13:58:23
21	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:58:23
22	form.	13:58:24
23	Q. Okay. So these were the	13:58:25
24	people that CGI and ICF were promising or	13:58:28
25	promised to HUD were going to do the	13:58:32

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 90 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	work, right?	13:58:35
3	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:58:36
4	form.	13:58:37
5	A. We were promising that they	13:58:37
6	would be part of the overall solution	13:58:38
7	team, but I can also say that key	13:58:41
8	personnel requirements vary. Sometimes	13:58:45
9	they're quarter personnel. Like there's	13:58:50
10	not a consistent definition of key	13:58:52
11	personnel. Some are very strict that you	13:58:54
12	must be full time on a project for a year	13:58:56
13	unless you have client permission to	13:58:59
14	change. Some are, you promise to deliver	13:59:01
15	one hour of this person at some point in	13:59:03
16	that project. And I don't know the	13:59:06
17	requirements for this particular	13:59:08
18	opportunity, what this meant in terms	13:59:09
19	of	13:59:15
20	Q. Take a look at Nancy Dowdy in	13:59:15
21	the last. She's the same person that's	13:59:17
22	listed as the second person under senior	13:59:20
23	business analyst, correct?	13:59:22
24	A. Correct.	13:59:23
25	Q. All right. So my question is	13:59:24

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 91 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	is it fair to say that in terms of this	13:59:34
3	calculation that was being done or the	13:59:38
4	rates that were being generated in	13:59:40
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, that these rates	13:59:44
6	were specifically for Dowdy as well as	13:59:46
7	Conklin?	13:59:50
8	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	13:59:53
9	form.	13:59:55
10	A. I would answer that to say	13:59:55
11	these rates were informed by the	13:59:57
12	personnel names, but they were not	14:00:02
13	derived specifically to account for any	14:00:05
14	one individual or two individuals.	14:00:07
15	Q. Why don't you spell it out for	14:00:15
16	us a little. What do you mean it was	14:00:19
17	informed by but not derived from?	14:00:21
18	A. I would look as you can	14:00:23
19	tell here, there's not an example person	14:00:24
20	or people for each category. So I, to	14:00:26
21	the extent that I was provided a	14:00:30
22	representative name I would look at that	14:00:32
23	person's salary and I would keep that in	14:00:35
24	mind when I developed the rate but I	14:00:37
25	didn't base the rate off of their salary.	14:00:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 92 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	You know, oftentimes when I'm	14:00:44
3	given personnel like this the salaries	14:00:47
4	can vary widely. For example, one sample	14:00:50
5	person could make \$80,000. The other	14:00:54
6	person could make \$120,000. And I use as	14:00:56
7	part of my judgment looking at the	14:00:59
8	description and based on my knowledge of	14:01:01
9	the labor market to develop a rate that I	14:01:04
10	believe is accurate and appropriate for	14:01:08
11	the role that we're bidding.	14:01:12
12	Q. But you're testifying, as I	14:01:13
13	understand what you just said, that for	14:01:16
14	to derive this rate of 140.11 you	14:01:21
15	would have looked at Dowdy's salary?	14:01:26
16	A. Yes.	14:01:28
17	Q. And you would have looked at	14:01:28
18	Conklin's salary?	14:01:30
19	A. Yes.	14:01:32
20	Q. Where in the database would	14:01:32
21	you have gone to look up those salaries,	14:01:34
22	the HR database you talked about before?	14:01:37
23	A. Yes.	14:01:39
24	Q. Does CGI still have the	14:01:43
25	information as to what those salaries	14:01:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 93 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
	1 KELLY L. BRYSON	
	were at the time of this in May of 2010?	14:01:47
	3 A. You would have to contact HR.	14:01:49
	4 Q. To your knowledge, they would	14:01:52
	5 have that, they would retain that	14:01:53
	6 information in their data, right?	14:01:55
	7 A. I would think so.	14:01:57
	8 Q. So you could go back and we	14:01:58
	9 could go back and figure out when you	14:02:03
1	0 looked at what the salaries were, what	14:02:04
1	they were, right?	14:02:06
1	2 A. Yes, presumably.	14:02:09
1	.3 Q. Now you said you don't know	14:02:10
1	4 whether, whether these two salaries for	14:02:12
1	5 Conklin and Dowdy were different or not	14:02:15
1	and how much different they were, right?	14:02:17
1	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:02:19
1	.8 form.	14:02:20
1	9 A. Correct, correct.	14:02:21
2	Q. So you can't really tell what	14:02:21
2	you did for the salary component of this	14:02:23
2	rate, right, you don't know whether you	14:02:25
2	actually used those two and divided them	14:02:28
2	24 or	14:02:31
2	A. I can tell you I did not do	14:02:32

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 94 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 92

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that. That's not how our rates that's	14:02:34
3	not how these rates were sorry. These	14:02:38
4	rates were derived using a methodology	14:02:41
5	that did not account specifically for any	14:02:43
6	of these individuals.	14:02:45
7	Q. But you did look at what the	14:02:47
8	rates were, at what their salaries were?	14:02:49
9	A. Yes.	14:02:51
10	MR. HERBST: I'm going to call	14:02:54
11	for, request, the salaries of	14:02:55
12	Conklin, Dowdy	14:02:57
13	Q. By the way, is that true for	14:02:59
14	Ashbrook and Ahmed, and all these people	14:03:01
15	on the list, you would have at some point	14:03:02
16	looked at what their salary was?	14:03:03
17	A. I would have looked at them.	14:03:06
18	MR. HERBST: I'm going to	14:03:08
19	request the actual salaries of all	14:03:09
20	of these people which I'm assuming	14:03:11
21	CGI still has in their database.	14:03:13
22	(Request made.)	14:03:13
23	MR. KLEIN: We'll deal with	14:03:23
24	our responses to those requests at	14:03:23
25	the time, I'm not going to put it	14:03:26

1-800-325-3376

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 95 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	on the record or anything of that	14:03:28
3	nature.	14:03:29
4	MR. HERBST: Let's not waste	14:03:29
5	time. But in light of the amount	14:03:31
6	of time left, let's do it sooner	14:03:35
7	rather than later.	14:03:37
8	MR. KLEIN: Why don't you	14:03:40
9	finish the deposition and after you	14:03:41
10	get your list of requests you'll	14:03:43
11	make a determination as to what	14:03:45
12	exactly you think you may need	14:03:46
13	MR. HERBST: I'm requesting	14:03:48
14	them, but we'll give you the list	14:03:49
15	shortly after the completion of the	14:03:51
16	deposition.	14:03:52
17	Q. So let's take that 140.11	14:04:00
18	rate. Tell me by the way, you don't	14:04:09
19	have an actual recollection of what you	14:04:12
20	did as you sit here now to derive this	14:04:14
21	rate, do you?	14:04:16
22	A. I do.	14:04:17
23	Q. You do have an actual	14:04:17
24	recollection as you sit here of all the	14:04:19
25	steps you took to derive this rate?	14:04:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 96 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	14:04:22
3	Q. Tell us first of all, who	14:04:22
4	requested these rates from you?	14:04:26
5	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:04:28
6	form.	14:04:29
7	A. I'm not sure specifically who	14:04:30
8	made the initial request.	14:04:32
9	Q. You don't remember the	14:04:33
10	request?	14:04:34
11	A. Not the initial request, no.	14:04:35
12	Q. So you can't tell us at all	14:04:36
13	who made them, who made the request?	14:04:40
14	A. No.	14:04:42
15	Q. Who would normally make the	14:04:42
16	request?	14:04:46
17	A. Either the opportunity pursuit	14:04:46
18	leader or the person that's in charge of	14:04:50
19	staffing.	14:04:53
20	Q. And can you tell, do you have	14:04:54
21	any recollection of who those people	14:04:56
22	were, the opportunity pursuit leader or	14:04:58
23	the person in charge of staffing?	14:05:00
24	A. I'm not certain for this	14:05:02
25	opportunity.	14:05:03
l		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 97 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. Well you're not certain, I	14:05:03
	3	understand, but can you shed any light on	14:05:06
	4	it or do you have any recollection of who	14:05:09
	5	they might be?	14:05:11
	6	A. My sense is it was probably	14:05:12
	7	Mr. Ashmore or someone that he worked	14:05:13
	8	closely with.	14:05:15
	9	Q. So tell us, after getting	14:05:16
	10	would this come to you by telephone or by	14:05:28
	11	email, generally?	14:05:31
	12	A. Typically by email.	14:05:32
	13	MR. HERBST: You may well have	14:05:33
	14	produced this already, but if you	14:05:36
	15	haven't, I'm going to request the	14:05:38
	16	email that shows what the request	14:05:39
	17	was.	14:05:43
	18	(Request made.)	14:05:43
	19	Q. And then you'd have an email	14:05:45
	20	exchange with that person where you would	14:05:46
	21	tell him what the rates are?	14:05:48
	22	A. Typically, yes.	14:05:49
	23	MR. HERBST: I'll ask for all	14:05:51
	24	emails that pertain to the request	14:05:54
	25	for these rates from or to Ms.	14:05:56

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 98 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Bryson or anybody else who would be	14:06:01
3	involved in the process.	14:06:02
4	(Request made.)	14:06:07
5	Q. Would there be anybody else	14:06:07
6	besides you or the opportunity pursuit	14:06:08
7	leader or the person in charge of	14:06:10
8	staffing who would be emailing about what	14:06:12
9	the rates were?	14:06:14
10	A. Possibly.	14:06:15
11	Q. Who might that be?	14:06:16
12	A. It could be the controller.	14:06:18
13	It could be the account vice president.	14:06:21
14	MR. HERBST: I'll just ask	14:06:26
15	that those accounts around this	14:06:28
16	time be checked just to see if any	14:06:30
17	of these people had any input.	14:06:34
18	(Request made.)	14:06:34
19	Q. But if they didn't have any	14:06:36
20	input it would just be the opportunity	14:06:38
21	pursuit manager and you would who provide	14:06:39
22	the rates, correct?	14:06:45
23	A. Yes.	14:06:45
24	Q. So tell us what you would do	14:06:50
25	when you got the first call, or what you	14:06:54

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 99 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	did when you got the first call asking	14:06:57
3	you for the rates?	14:06:59
4	A. What I would do is I would	14:07:03
5	request the solicitation materials.	14:07:05
6	Q. And what are those?	14:07:08
7	A. Those are the RFP documents	14:07:09
8	either from the government or the prime	14:07:11
9	contractor.	14:07:14
10	Q. And in the course of your	14:07:14
11	preparation for this testimony have you	14:07:23
12	reviewed the solicitation material?	14:07:25
13	A. I reviewed some information	14:07:27
14	from ICF.	14:07:31
15	Q. What's CF?	14:07:33
16	A. ICF, from ICF, the prime.	14:07:34
17	Q. Did that include the	14:07:37
18	solicitation material in the RFP	14:07:39
19	documents from ICF?	14:07:41
20	A. It was not a complete	14:07:42
21	solicitation package, but there were some	14:07:44
22	solicitation materials. I reviewed	14:07:47
23	specifically the part where it talked	14:07:49
24	about labor categories and descriptions.	14:07:50
25	Q. Are you confident that that,	14:07:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 100 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	what you reviewed was, what you reviewed	14:07:55
3	in preparation for the deposition was	14:07:58
4	what you reviewed at the time when you	14:07:59
5	were requested to provide the rates?	14:08:02
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:08:04
7	form.	14:08:05
8	A. Yes.	14:08:05
9	Q. And what documents	14:08:06
10	MR. HERBST: I assume these	14:08:10
11	have been provided to us, right?	14:08:12
12	Q. I'm going to show you those,	14:08:29
13	but so we can understand the process,	14:08:30
14	tell us what you did when you reviewed	14:08:32
15	these materials from ICF? What did you	14:08:34
16	look for in the materials and what did	14:08:36
17	you do?	14:08:38
18	A. I looked for the type of	14:08:38
19	contract.	14:08:40
20	Q. Meaning what?	14:08:42
21	A. Is it time and materials, is	14:08:43
22	it cost reimbursable, is it fixed price.	14:08:46
23	Q. And did you ascertain that	14:08:50
24	this was a time and materials contract?	14:08:51
25	A. My understanding was it was	14:08:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 101 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	time and materials and that we were	14:08:54
3	providing a rate card.	14:08:56
4	Q. In fact on this page of	14:09:02
5	Exhibit 18 it says time and materials and	14:09:03
6		14:09:05
7	it says pricing type, right? A. Yes.	14:09:07
8	Q. So there's no doubt in your	14:09:07
9	mind it was a time and materials	14:09:09
10		14:09:11
	contract, right?	14:09:11
11	A. Right.	14:09:12
12	Q. So you ascertained it was a	14:09:12
13	time and materials contract and that you	
14	were going to provide a rate card and	14:09:16
15	then what did you do?	14:09:18
16	A. I reviewed the labor categories	14:09:19
17	and labor category descriptions.	14:09:21
18	Q. Where did you find those?	14:09:24
19	A. In the materials provided by	14:09:25
20	ICF.	14:09:31
21	Q. And then?	14:09:32
22	A. And then I likely would have	14:09:33
23	asked if there were any representative	14:09:37
24	personnel that we planned to put into	14:09:40
25	those roles which is where these names	14:09:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 102 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	came from.	14:09:45
3	Q. And then?	14:09:50
4	A. Once I had that information I	14:09:51
5	would have started to develop the rates.	14:09:52
6	Q. What did you do to develop the	14:09:56
7	rates?	14:09:59
8	A. The first thing I do is I take	14:09:59
9	the matrix which you have a copy of over	14:10:03
10	there and I begin the mapping process.	14:10:07
11	Q. What is involved in the	14:10:13
12	mapping process?	14:10:14
13	A. So we have at CGI a list of	14:10:15
14	internal roles that at a high level align	14:10:20
15	with the type of work that each	14:10:25
16	individual does and a leverage level or a	14:10:28
17	band that aligns to their relative	14:10:33
18	seniority within CGI. And so, for	14:10:38
19	example, we could have a business analyst	14:10:42
20	level 8 and so if I am creating a labor	14:10:46
21	rate for a business analyst as I did	14:10:50
22	here, I would have created a blend of	14:10:53
23	some amount of our business analyst	14:10:56
24	internal role. If I had that document I	14:10:59
25	could show you.	14:11:04

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 103 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. We're going to get into the	14:11:05
3	documents. So then you would come up	14:11:09
4	with a blend and then what?	14:11:10
5	A. So once I came up with my	14:11:15
6	blended direct labor rate which would	14:11:17
7	account for the salary, I would add our	14:11:19
8	indirect rates.	14:11:21
9	Q. So the direct labor rate is	14:11:24
10	just the salary?	14:11:26
11	A. Yes.	14:11:27
12	Q. And then you would add the	14:11:27
13	indirect rates?	14:11:32
14	A. Yes.	14:11:32
15	Q. The indirect labor rates?	14:11:32
16	A. Indirect rates.	14:11:34
17	Q. And how would you calculate or	14:11:35
18	identify the items going into the	14:11:38
19	indirect labor rates?	14:11:42
20	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:11:44
21	form.	14:11:45
22	A. I'm not involved in	14:11:45
23	calculating the indirect rates. The	14:11:48
24	indirect rates are calculated and	14:11:51
25	submitted to the government each year and	14:11:54

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 104 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	the government reviews and provides	14:11:57
3	approval for us to use those rates. So	14:12:00
4	once we receive that approval from the	14:12:03
5	government we use those rates that have	14:12:05
6	been reviewed and approved until notified	14:12:07
7	otherwise.	14:12:10
8	Q. And what?	14:12:10
9	A. Until notified otherwise.	14:12:12
10	Either because we've moved into the next	14:12:14
11	fiscal year or there's been a material	14:12:16
12	change or the government doesn't approve.	14:12:19
13	But those rates are set typically in the	14:12:21
14	beginning of the year and that's what we	14:12:24
15	use.	14:12:26
16	Q. And where do you go to find	14:12:26
17	those rates, those indirect rates?	14:12:31
18	A. They come from our contracts	14:12:33
19	or compliance department.	14:12:36
20	Q. So you would call them up or	14:12:37
21	you would just call them up on the	14:12:41
22	computer, how would you do it?	14:12:43
23	A. I would save the file that was	14:12:44
24	submitted to the government or the rate	14:12:46
25	letter from the government that approved	14:12:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 105 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	the rates.	14:12:49
3	Q. And that would give you what,	14:12:56
4	one number for indirect rate that you	14:12:58
5	would add to the direct rate or would	14:13:00
6	there be several different numbers?	14:13:02
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:13:04
8	form.	14:13:05
9	A. For purposes of this	14:13:05
10	opportunity, there was an overhead rate	14:13:07
11	and G&A rate.	14:13:09
12	Q. Anything else?	14:13:10
13	A. There was likely a fee amount.	14:13:16
14	Q. Anything else?	14:13:22
15	A. I don't believe so.	14:13:23
16	Q. So would those three elements	14:13:23
17	be available to you in this rate letter?	14:13:28
18	A. From the government?	14:13:33
19	Q. Yes.	14:13:33
20	A. The overhead and G&A would,	14:13:34
21	yes. Fee is discretionary.	14:13:37
22	Q. Who would you consult or what	14:13:43
23	would you consult to determine what, if	14:13:47
24	any, fee amount to add?	14:13:49
25	A. I would consult with the	14:13:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 106 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	project team. I would usually propose a	14:13:53
3	number based on my experience that seemed	14:13:57
4	appropriate for the type of work and then	14:14:00
5	would work with the team to finalize that	14:14:04
6	number depending on margin goals.	14:14:06
7	Sometimes we are directed by the prime	14:14:10
8	what fee amount to include.	14:14:13
9	Q. Do you remember if you were	14:14:19
10	directed by the prime in this case?	14:14:20
11	A. I don't recall.	14:14:21
12	Q. And you don't recall what	14:14:22
13	input the team had in deriving that rate?	14:14:25
14	A. I know the final fee amount is	14:14:29
15	listed on the worksheet. I don't recall	14:14:31
16	what it was off the top of my head.	14:14:33
17	Q. But my question is do you	14:14:34
18	recall whether that's the rate you	14:14:37
19	suggested or is that the rate that the	14:14:38
20	team came up with after your suggestion?	14:14:40
21	A. I don't recall.	14:14:42
22	Q. Do you have any notes or any	14:14:42
23	emails that would determine that?	14:14:46
24	A. No, probably not.	14:14:48
25	Q. Have you looked?	14:14:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 107 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	1436 100	, 1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. No.	14:14:52
3	MR. HERBST: I'll request the	14:14:55
4	emails relating to the derivation	14:14:57
5	of the rates in this rate card	14:15:01
6	Q. This is a rate card; is that	14:15:04
7	right?	14:15:07
8	A. Yes.	14:15:07
9	MR. HERBST: I'll request that	14:15:08
10	the emails generated in this	14:15:09
11	process that the witness is	14:15:12
12	describing to come up with this	14:15:14
13	rate card be provided to us if they	14:15:18
14	haven't already, and I don't think	14:15:22
15	they have, but I'm happy to hear	14:15:24
16	about it if I'm wrong.	14:15:26
17	(Request made.)	14:15:26
18	Q. Okay. And so you get these	14:15:31
19	indirect rates and you add them to the	14:15:34
20	direct rates for the salary, right?	14:15:36
21	A. Yes.	14:15:39
22	Q. And then you come up with this	14:15:39
23	final, this rate on the left-hand column,	14:15:43
24	the site rate?	14:15:45
25	A. Correct.	14:15:49

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 108 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Now, how did you determine	14:15:50
3	what discount to put in the rate card for	14:16:08
4	the government site rate as opposed to	14:16:10
5	the contractor's site rate?	14:16:12
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:16:17
7	form.	14:16:18
8	A. At the time we just offered a	14:16:18
9	discount to overhead to represent a	14:16:20
10	government site rate, and that was	14:16:24
11	determined in conjunction with, I guess	14:16:27
12	it was our, my pricing manager. It was	14:16:33
13	determined in conjunction with a number	14:16:38
14	of people. I don't remember who exactly	14:16:40
15	was involved.	14:16:42
16	Q. Would the pricing manager	14:16:43
17	typically be involved?	14:16:45
18	A. I'm honestly not sure. As I	14:16:46
19	recall, it was something that we were	14:16:52
20	trying to be responsive to more regularly	14:16:56
21	and we ultimately came up with a number.	14:17:00
22	I don't remember, I don't think it was	14:17:03
23	specifically for this opportunity. So we	14:17:06
24	may have already determined it prior to	14:17:08
25	that and I used that. I don't remember	14:17:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 109 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	Tage 107	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	specifically.	14:17:12
3	Q. Who was the pricing manager?	14:17:12
4	A. The pricing manager at the	14:17:14
5	time looks like it was Tracey Burger.	14:17:16
6	Q. Was she your boss?	14:17:19
7	A. No.	14:17:21
8	Q. Who was your boss?	14:17:23
9	A. At the time it would have been	14:17:24
10	Raymond Godleski.	14:17:27
11	Q. What was his position?	14:17:30
12	A. What was his position? I'm	14:17:32
13	not sure. Director of financial planning	14:17:38
14	and analysis maybe. I don't know what	14:17:40
15	his title was. Director, that was his	14:17:42
16	title.	14:17:46
17	Q. Did you have to run this rate	14:17:46
18	card by either of those two people,	14:17:48
19	Burger or the last person you mentioned?	14:17:51
20	A. No.	14:17:54
21	Q. Before you disseminated it to	14:17:55
22	the pursuit opportunity manager?	14:17:57
23	A. No.	14:18:00
24	Q. Or the staffing manager, okay.	14:18:00
25	By the way, if you do it at	14:18:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 110 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	the client's site, and the client site is	14:18:18
3	the government site, right?	14:18:21
4	A. Yes.	14:18:22
5	Q. If you do it there, there's a	14:18:22
6	significant savings in cost, right,	14:18:24
7	that's why they give them a discount,	14:18:26
8	right?	14:18:29
9	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:18:29
10	Q. What's the savings in cost?	14:18:30
11	A. I'm not sure I understand	14:18:33
12	exactly.	14:18:35
13	Q. What savings, what sorts of	14:18:35
14	expenses or costs do you not have if	14:18:38
15	you're at the client's site as opposed to	14:18:40
16	your own site?	14:18:42
17	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:18:44
18	A. Typically it's real estate,	14:18:45
19	that's the biggest one.	14:18:46
20	Q. Just real estate?	14:18:49
21	A. Typically it's real estate. I	14:18:52
22	mean it could include other things. It	14:18:54
23	may not. It depends on the client.	14:18:56
24	Q. And is real estate a component	14:18:58
25	of G&A or overhead?	14:19:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 111 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I believe it's a part of	14:19:03
3	overhead.	14:19:07
4	Q. What about office costs,	14:19:10
5	besides real estate, the fact that you	14:19:21
6	don't have to use your, you know, your	14:19:23
7	workstations as opposed to the client's	14:19:25
8	workstations, that kind of thing?	14:19:27
9	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:19:29
10	A. Typically all of our members	14:19:30
11	are still provided with a laptop. I mean	14:19:32
12	certainly they're not using the restroom	14:19:37
13	or the coffee and, you know, those types	14:19:39
14	of things involved with your real estate,	14:19:43
15	but in terms of technology equipment, I	14:19:46
16	mean we don't generally treat our client	14:19:48
17	site people differently.	14:19:52
18	Q. Are CGI's labor costs, either	14:19:55
19	direct costs that you mentioned or the	14:19:59
20	indirect costs, are they audited by the	14:20:02
21	government?	14:20:04
22	A. Yes.	14:20:04
23	Q. How often?	14:20:05
24	A. I don't know.	14:20:06
25	Q. Who handles that?	14:20:07

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 112 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. The compliance it would	14:20:09
3	depend on the scope of the audit, but	14:20:13
4	generally our compliance department is	14:20:15
5	where they would start and then depending	14:20:18
6	on the scope they would reach back into	14:20:21
7	finance or pricing or contracts. It	14:20:23
8	really depends.	14:20:28
9	Q. I don't know if I asked you	14:20:29
10	who does the auditing?	14:20:30
11	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:20:33
12	form.	14:20:34
13	A. It could vary.	14:20:34
14	Q. From?	14:20:35
15	A. They could do a pre-award	14:20:36
16	audit in which case the government	14:20:39
17	contracting officer folks would come out	14:20:42
18	and look at stuff. They could do a	14:20:44
19	post-award audit. They could be auditing	14:20:46
20	our indirect rates in which it's a	14:20:48
21	completely different division. I mean	14:20:51
22	there's all kinds of audits that we're	14:20:53
23	subject to.	14:20:55
24	Q. Is there any private auditing	14:20:55
25	company like your own auditors,	14:21:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 113 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	accountants and auditors that audit your	14:21:03
3	rates?	14:21:04
4	A. That audit our indirect rates?	14:21:04
5	Q. Yes.	14:21:06
6	A. I'm not sure.	14:21:06
7	Q. Who would know that?	14:21:07
8	A. I guess someone in compliance.	14:21:08
9	Q. Who was director of compliance	14:21:10
10	at the time in 2010?	14:21:13
11	A. I would probably refer you to	14:21:17
12	Mary Crigler, C-r-i-g-l-e-r.	14:21:24
13	Q. Is she still employed?	14:21:32
14	A. Yes.	14:21:33
15	Q. As what?	14:21:34
16	A. She's in our legal department.	14:21:34
17	She may be part time now.	14:21:37
18	Q. Now let me ask you some	14:21:38
19	questions about Bryson 7. What is a	14:22:12
20	blanket purchase agreement?	14:22:20
21	A. A blanket purchase agreement	14:22:21
22	is typically a type of contract where we	14:22:26
23	agree to a list of labor categories and	14:22:30
24	rates and the government is allowed to	14:22:34
25	purchase off of that list of rates as	14:22:38

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 114 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	they see fit. Generally the government	14:22:43
3	will issue a task order under that BPA.	14:22:49
4	Q. What's that?	14:22:53
5	A. A task order is a piece of	14:22:54
6	scope. Like, for example, this was	14:22:59
7	transformation initiative, they may issue	14:23:01
8	a task order that modernizes a piece of	14:23:04
9	software or they may issue a task order	14:23:10
10	to move a piece of software to a mobile	14:23:12
11	device. But essentially what a BPA does	14:23:16
12	is create a price list.	14:23:22
13	Q. Now when CGI Federal was	14:23:25
14	awarded a BPA in a government contract,	14:23:38
15	does the CGI Federal separately negotiate	14:23:41
16	pricing on task orders?	14:23:44
17	(Instruction not to answer.)	14:23:46
18	MR. KLEIN: We're afield.	14:23:46
19	MR. HERBST: No, we're not.	14:23:47
20	MR. KLEIN: No, no, I don't	14:23:49
21	think it has anything to do with	14:23:50
22	the documents that we've been	14:23:53
23	talking about.	14:23:54
24	MR. HERBST: I think if you	14:23:58
25	let the witness answer that	14:24:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 115 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_	1800 110	1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	question she will show you that it	14:24:01
3	does.	14:24:02
4	MR. KLEIN: You're asking her	14:24:03
5	questions about a document she says	14:24:04
6	she's never seen before.	14:24:06
7	MR. HERBST: I'm asking her	14:24:08
8	about the procedures, whether CGI	14:24:09
9	Federal separately negotiates	14:24:11
10	pricing on task orders when they're	14:24:13
11	awarded a BPA.	14:24:14
12	MR. KLEIN: Could you explain	14:24:16
13	how that has anything to do with	14:24:18
14	MR. HERBST: I think she will.	14:24:20
15	MR. KLEIN: I'm asking you.	14:24:22
16	We can go off the record if you	14:24:23
17	like.	14:24:24
18	MR. HERBST: I don't want to	14:24:24
19	go off the record because I don't	14:24:25
20	think going off the record is	14:24:26
21	appropriate. I don't think your	14:24:28
22	instruction not to answer is	14:24:30
23	appropriate.	14:24:31
24	MR. KLEIN: I don't think you	14:24:33
25	provided me a compelling reason why	14:24:34

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 116 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		•
1	MELLA I DDACOM	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	14.04.27
2	this is in accordance with the	14:24:37
3	court order.	14:24:39
4	MR. HERBST: This relates to	14:24:40
5	Exhibit 18, that's why, and we're	14:24:41
6	entitled to	14:24:43
7	MR. KLEIN: Exhibit 18 is	14:24:44
8	something that she said I don't	14:24:45
9	see how it's related to Exhibit 18	14:24:47
10	especially being that she said	14:24:49
11	she's never seen it.	14:24:51
12	MR. HERBST: She's seen	14:24:53
13	Exhibit 18.	14:24:54
14	MR. KLEIN: I'm sorry, she's	14:24:55
15	seen Exhibit 18. She hasn't seen	14:24:56
16	Exhibit 7 or 8.	14:24:58
17	MR. HERBST: I'm not asking	14:25:00
18	her a question about 7 or 8. I'm	14:25:01
19	asking her a question about 18.	14:25:03
20	MR. KLEIN: I'll give you a	14:25:07
21	little leeway.	14:25:09
22	Q. You probably don't remember	14:25:09
23	the question, do you?	14:25:10
24	A. Do we separately negotiate	14:25:12
25	task orders?	14:25:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 117 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Yes.	14:25:16
3	A. Yes.	14:25:17
4	Q. Does Exhibit 18 have anything	14:25:17
5	to do with a negotiation of pricing on	14:25:22
6	one of those task orders in connection	14:25:27
7	with Exhibits 7 or 8?	14:25:29
8	A. There is a, on the last page	14:25:33
9	of Exhibit 18 there is a sample task.	14:25:36
10	Q. What is that?	14:25:43
11	A. This is a snapshot of a P&L	14:25:43
12	for a sample nine month task. I don't	14:26:06
13	know, I don't recall if this was	14:26:12
14	something that we created to be	14:26:15
15	illustrative or if this was something	14:26:18
16	that was proposed as part of the	14:26:21
17	opportunity.	14:26:26
18	Q. Okay, but what is the purpose	14:26:29
19	of the sample task or P&L?	14:26:30
20	A. I mean generally well, it	14:26:35
21	depends if it's if the government has	14:26:37
22	included sample tasks as part of its	14:26:42
23	request for proposal, then our response	14:26:47
24	to those sample tasks facilitate the	14:26:50
25	government's evaluation of our price and	14:26:55

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 118 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		14:26:57
2	understanding of the requirements.	14:26:58
3	Q. Directing your attention to	
4	Bryson 8, you see that's a sample task	14:27:01
5	order 4?	14:27:04
6	A. Yes.	14:27:04
7	Q. And is it fair to say that the	14:27:05
8	sample nine month task relates to that?	14:27:07
9	A. I don't know.	14:27:10
10	Q. Sample?	14:27:11
11	A. I don't know.	14:27:11
12	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:27:12
13	form.	14:27:13
14	Q. You're not sure?	14:27:13
15	A. I'm not sure.	14:27:14
16	Q. Would you be able to find out	14:27:15
17	by looking at it?	14:27:17
18	A. No, I don't think so.	14:27:17
19	Q. But you're not sure?	14:27:18
20	A. No, I can't recall. I mean	14:27:19
21	Q. Okay. Take a look, go back	14:27:22
22	one page. What's the opportunity name?	14:27:33
23	A. HTV, BPR/BPI services.	14:27:36
24	Q. What's HCV stand for?	14:27:42
25	A. I don't recall.	14:27:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 119 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Housing Choice Voucher	14:27:45
3	Program?	14:27:47
4	A. Okay.	14:27:47
5	Q. What's the title of the sample	14:27:48
6	task order, Housing Choice Voucher	14:27:52
7	Program?	14:27:59
8	A. Yes.	14:27:55
9	Q. All right, so is it fair to	14:27:50
10	say, if you can't say definitively, that	14:28:08
11	there's a reasonable possibility or	14:28:10
12	probability that this rate card and the	14:28:12
13	sample nine month task were prepared to	14:28:1
14	pursue the sample task order?	14:28:1
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:28:2
16	form.	14:28:2
17	A. I can say this rate card was	14:28:2
18	prepared in response to the HUD	14:28:20
19	transformation initiative BPA. I don't	14:28:2
20	feel comfortable confirming that the	14:28:30
21	sample task in this email correlates to	14:28:3
22	sample task order 4.	14:28:39
23	Q. And Bryson 7 is transformation	14:28:43
24	initiative, right, the overall project?	14:28:4
25	A. That's what it says.	14:28:4

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 120 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ı			٦
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. What's the total value of this	14:28:48
	3	proposal?	14:28:53
	4	A. It looks like we evaluated it	14:28:53
	5	at 1.46 million.	14:28:57
	6	Q. Where do you see that?	14:29:00
	7	A. At the sample task order and	14:29:01
	8	it says total value \$2 million.	14:29:07
	9	Q. Right. So would that give you	14:29:09
	10	an indication as to whether this proposal	14:29:11
	11	and rate card relates just to the little	14:29:14
	12	piece that's the sample task order or to	14:29:17
	13	the overall project?	14:29:19
	14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:29:21
	15	form.	14:29:22
	16	A. As I mentioned earlier, BPA	14:29:22
	17	creates a price sheet, and task orders	14:29:33
	18	are issued under that BPA. We often	14:29:39
	19	don't know how many task orders we will	14:29:43
	20	win under a BPA. So especially when	14:29:45
	21	we're a subcontractor.	14:29:49
	22	So we evaluated this at	14:29:51
	23	approximately \$2 million because we felt	14:29:54
	24	at the time that may be what this BPA is	14:29:56
	25	worth to us. But in terms of, I mean I	14:29:59

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 121 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	don't does that answer the question?	14:30:05
3	Q. You're not sure, you can't	14:30:08
4	really say whether \$2 million is for the	14:30:09
5	sample task order or for the overall	14:30:13
6	project?	14:30:15
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:30:15
8	form.	14:30:16
9	A. It's for the overall project	14:30:17
10	according to what was requested here.	14:30:18
11	Q. What's a DCM at 45 percent?	14:30:20
12	A. I'm sorry, what was the	14:30:22
13	question?	14:30:24
14	Q. Under total value, there's a	14:30:24
15	notation, DCM and it says about 45	14:30:27
16	percent depending on TO/staff mix, do you	14:30:29
17	see it?	14:30:35
18	A. DCM stands for direct	14:30:35
19	contribution margin.	14:30:37
20	Q. Is that the profit margin	14:30:38
21	expected?	14:30:40
22	A. That is a measure of profit.	14:30:40
23	Q. What's the difference between	14:30:44
24	a measure of profit and profit margin?	14:30:45
25	A. Well, I mean there are	14:30:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 122 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

_		
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:30:50
3	form.	14:30:51
4	A. DCM is calculated using only	14:30:51
5	direct and fringe costs. It doesn't	14:30:55
6	account for overhead or G&A costs. So	14:30:56
7	our true profit margin is much lower than	14:31:00
8	45.	14:31:02
9	Q. What is it? What's the true	14:31:03
10	profit margin?	14:31:07
11	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	14:31:10
12	object to that.	14:31:11
13	Q. Is there anywhere on this that	14:31:12
14	tells you what that is?	14:31:13
15	MR. KLEIN: You can answer the	14:31:22
16	question if there's anywhere on	14:31:23
17	this document.	14:31:24
18	A. There's nowhere on this	14:31:25
19	document that would give a true profit	14:31:26
20	margin.	14:31:29
21	Q. The task order, the figures	14:31:29
22	that are in there, for example, there's a	14:31:40
23	business analyst line, right?	14:31:45
24	A. Yes.	14:31:47
25	Q. And there's a Nora Graves	14:31:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 123 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	WELLY I DDYGON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	14.21.50
2	listed, right?	14:31:50
3	A. Yes.	14:31:52
4	Q. Is she the person who CGI was	14:31:52
5	anticipating would be the business	14:31:55
6	analyst on this project at the time this	14:31:56
7	was generated?	14:32:00
8	A. Yes.	14:32:01
9	Q. And was she expected to put	14:32:01
10	1512 hours on the project?	14:32:05
11	A. Yes.	14:32:06
12	Q. And the revenue from her time	14:32:06
13	was expected to be 136276.56?	14:32:08
14	A. Yes.	14:32:08
15	Q. Now how is that revenue figure	14:32:19
16	derived?	14:32:21
17	A. That's hours, 1512 times rate.	14:32:22
18	Q. Which rate?	14:32:27
19	A. I'm not sure. I don't know if	14:32:28
20	we used the government site or contractor	14:32:33
21	site rate. I would have to calculate it	14:32:36
22	out to determine which.	14:32:38
23	Q. But if we divided the revenue	14:32:39
24	figure by the hours we'd come up with	14:32:41
25	either the contractor site rate or the	14:32:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 124 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	government site rate, right?	14:32:45
3		14:32:46
4	Q. And the same thing is true for	14:32:46
5	all the other people listed, right?	14:32:49
6	A. Yes.	14:32:50
7	Q. So Ben Ashmore was expected as	14:32:50
8	he was the subject matter expert on the	14:32:55
9	project at that point?	14:32:58
10	A. Yes.	14:33:02
11	Q. And he was going to do 756	14:33:02
12	hours?	14:33:05
13	A. Yes.	14:33:05
14	Q. And he was going to produce	14:33:05
15	\$146,000 and change in revenue, right?	14:33:07
16	A. Yes.	14:33:10
17	Q. All right. Now let me ask you	14:33:10
18	this question. Since the amount of the	14:33:21
19	by the way, I notice this sheet is cut	14:33:29
20	off, Mr. Klein. Do you think we could	14:33:31
21	get a sheet with the rest of the figures	14:33:35
22	on it?	14:33:37
23	MR. KLEIN: This is the sheet	14:33:37
24	that we have.	14:33:43
25	MR. HERBST: I'm asking if you	14:33:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 125 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	<u> </u>	•
1	WELLY I DDYCON	
	KELLY L. BRYSON	14.22.46
2	could produce a hard copy of this	14:33:46
3	so we can see what else is on the	14:33:47
4	sheet.	14:33:49
5	MR. KLEIN: You guys produced	14:33:50
6	the document, it's your document.	14:33:52
7	MR. HERBST: Good point. I'm	14:33:55
8	going to ask you to produce your	14:33:57
9	copy of this email containing this	14:33:59
10	rate card.	14:34:01
11	(Request made.)	14:34:01
12	MR. KLEIN: It's my	14:34:04
13	understanding you should have this	14:34:04
14	document in your possession because	14:34:05
15	I believe the testimony from Mr.	14:34:07
16	Ashmore's deposition was, if you	14:34:08
17	look at the from Mr. Ashmore to the	14:34:11
18	to Mr. Ashmore at the beginning,	14:34:14
19	these are documents that Mr.	14:34:15
20	Ashmore sent to his personal email	14:34:17
21	address. So you should have these	14:34:19
22	documents in your possession in	14:34:22
23	electronic format.	14:34:23
24	MR. HERBST: Okay. I'm asking	14:34:25
25	you to produce, since it was never	14:34:26

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 126 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	produced before from what I	14:34:28
3	understand, your version of this	14:34:29
4	document.	14:34:33
5	(Request made.)	14:34:33
6	MR. KLEIN: It will be on the	14:34:36
7	list of requested items. We'll	14:34:37
8	address them then.	14:34:39
9	MR. HERBST: Correct.	14:34:41
10	Q. Now let me ask you, Ms.	14:34:46
11	Bryson, on sample task, what other	14:34:49
12	columns are there besides the cost	14:34:54
13	figure, if any, to the right which is cut	14:34:56
14	off, partially cut off across the column?	14:34:59
15	Is there any other columns typically on	14:35:02
16	it?	14:35:05
17	A. There may have been a DCM	14:35:05
18	column.	14:35:07
19	Q. A DCM column.	14:35:07
20	A. But I'm not sure if there was	14:35:10
21	in this situation.	14:35:12
22	MR. HERBST: That's the reason	14:35:16
23	I'm asking you, Stuart.	14:35:17
24	Q. Okay. So here's my question.	14:35:20
25	The total revenue expected was 1.466	14:35:24

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 127 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	million and change, right?	14:35:30
3	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:35:33
4	form.	14:35:35
5	A. Yes.	14:35:35
6	Q. If that's true, why is the	14:35:35
7	value, the total value 2 million?	14:35:39
8	A. Because again, this was just	14:35:42
9	one of what we hoped would be many task	14:35:45
10	orders under this BPA. But oftentimes	14:35:49
11	task orders materialize throughout the	14:35:56
12	life of a contract. This may have been	14:36:00
13	what we got in the beginning and we might	14:36:04
14	have gotten something else six months	14:36:07
15	later.	14:36:09
16	Q. Now, so this is the rate card	14:36:09
17	and the sample task that you provided at	14:36:17
18	the time, right?	14:36:23
19	A. That I provided for internal	14:36:23
20	approval, yes.	14:36:25
21	Q. What is ISIT overhead?	14:36:33
22	A. That's the overhead applicable	14:36:36
23	to the ISIT business unit.	14:36:39
24	Q. Is ISIT referred to at CGI as	14:36:48
25	information technologies and solutions	14:36:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 128 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		16.90 110
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	business unit?	14:36:54
3	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:36:57
4	A. Yes.	14:36:58
5	Q. Is there any other CGI Federal	14:37:05
6	overheads that are used besides the ISIT	14:37:09
7	one?	14:37:11
8	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:37:12
9	A. There's a BPS overhead but	14:37:13
10	it's rarely used.	14:37:15
11	Q. Do I understand it's your	14:37:16
12	testimony that the ISIT overhead was used	14:37:24
13	because this was a HUD contract, you	14:37:26
14	know, a federal agency contract as	14:37:31
15	opposed to a state or local contract?	14:37:33
16	A. It was used because it, the	14:37:36
17	type of, the scope of work, the scope of	14:37:39
18	work is software related, transformation	14:37:41
19	related, IT related and not business	14:37:44
20	process related.	14:37:46
21	Q. I think you told us that BPS	14:37:47
22	process related would be largely confined	14:38:19
23	to inspections and outsourcing?	14:38:22
24	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:38:23
25	form.	14:38:24

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 129 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. It's outsourcing type work. I	14:38:25
3	wouldn't say it's purely limited to	14:38:28
4	inspections. It could include other	14:38:30
5	things. But it's business process	14:38:31
6	outsourcing is what BPS is intended to	14:38:33
7	cover.	14:38:38
8	Q. What other things does it	14:38:38
9	cover in terms of outsourcing besides the	14:38:40
10	inspections that are outsourced?	14:38:42
11	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:38:45
12	form.	14:38:46
13	A. There are other types of	14:38:47
14	investigations that we do. Honestly, in	14:38:50
15	the Federal business I'm not sure. I	14:38:54
16	don't work very often with that part of	14:38:59
17	the business. I don't I don't know	14:39:01
18	everything that they do that they	14:39:04
19	considered business process services.	14:39:07
20	Q. Now, is it fair to say that	14:39:14
21	this ISIT overhead was based on the ISIT	14:39:15
22	MOBIS schedule?	14:39:19
23	A. No.	14:39:21
24	Q. No, you didn't consult the	14:39:21
25	ISIT MOBIS schedule?	14:39:23

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 130 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. No.	14:39:25
3	Q. Why do you say it's not based	14:39:25
4	on the ISIT MOBIS schedule?	14:39:27
5	A. Overhead is calculated based	14:39:30
6	on our estimated costs and projected	14:39:32
7	revenues for the fiscal year in which it	14:39:34
8	applies. It's not based on any schedule.	14:39:38
9	Q. Take a look at page 39 of	14:40:15
10	Bryson 7. Do you see that's an	14:40:19
11	attachment G for key personnel	14:40:34
12	qualification matrix?	14:40:36
13	A. Yes.	14:40:37
14	Q. You recognize that, you know	14:40:37
15	what that is, right?	14:40:39
16	A. I can infer what it is.	14:40:41
17	Q. What is it?	14:40:44
18	A. A chart that shows why key	14:40:45
19	personnel were selected based on their	14:40:50
20	qualifications and years of experience	14:40:53
21	and education.	14:40:55
22	Q. All right. Now this page	14:40:56
23	relates to Nancy Dowdy, right?	14:40:57
24	A. Yes.	14:41:00
25	Q. It shows her as the business	14:41:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 131 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	process functional leader/architect,	14:41:04
3	right?	14:41:08
4	A. Yes.	14:41:08
5	Q. Under BPA sample task order	14:41:08
6	position, right?	14:41:11
7	A. Yes.	14:41:12
8	Q. And then under that it says	14:41:12
9	MOBIS contract labor category consultant?	14:41:14
10	A. Yes.	14:41:16
11	Q. What does MOBIS labor category	14:41:17
12	refer to?	14:41:17
13	(Instruction not to answer.)	14:41:23
14	MR. KLEIN: We're done with	14:41:23
15	this line of questioning. This is	14:41:24
16	something specifically that the	14:41:26
17	judge said you could not get	14:41:26
18	questions on, this was item number	14:41:28
19	8 within your 30(b)(6) notice. She	14:41:31
20	testified as to how the rates were	14:41:33
21	derived, that is why she's here.	14:41:35
22	She's not here to testify about	14:41:38
23	MOBIS schedules or anything of that	14:41:40
24	nature.	14:41:42
25	So I'm going to direct the	14:41:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 132 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		=
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	witness not answer to any questions	14:41:44
3	about MOBIS schedules for CGI	14:41:46
4	Group, CGI Federal, CGI ISIT,	14:41:49
5	including without limitation how	14:41:53
6	such schedules were generated,	14:41:55
7	calculated, proposed and approved,	14:41:57
8	labor categories and hourly rates	14:41:59
9	contained in such MOBIS schedules	14:42:01
10	and contract numbers for any	14:42:02
11	approved MOBIS schedules.	14:42:05
12	MR. HERBST: Look, Mr. Klein,	14:42:07
13	the witness has testified that	14:42:08
14	MOBIS schedules had nothing to do	14:42:10
15	with the rates involved here. Here	14:42:12
16	is a document that suggests that it	14:42:14
17	might and so I'm entitled I think	14:42:16
18	to ask her, number 1, if this	14:42:20
19	refreshes her recollection about	14:42:23
20	that testimony, and number 2, what	14:42:24
21	it means. I'm not asking her at	14:42:26
22	this point what the MOBIS schedule	14:42:30
23	rates are, but I'm trying to	14:42:32
24	explore the answers that she's	14:42:38
25	given, which is that the MOBIS	14:42:40

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 133 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	VELLA I DDAGOM	
	KELLY L. BRYSON	14.40.44
2	contract labor categories have	14:42:44
3	nothing to do with the rates in	14:42:47
4	this opportunity. This Bryson 7	14:42:48
5	has to do with this exact business	14:42:53
6	opportunity. This document is	14:42:58
7	Q. Ms. Bryson, this Bryson 7	14:43:05
8	document which is labeled part III oral	14:43:09
9	technical quote presentation, this	14:43:11
10	document was generated by CGI or ICF,	14:43:13
11	right as the secondary or prime, right?	14:43:17
12	A. I assume it was generated by	14:43:20
13	us, yes.	14:43:25
14	MR. KLEIN: Once again, Ms.	14:43:27
15	Bryson has also testified that she	14:43:28
16	has	14:43:30
17	MR. HERBST: Wait a minute,	14:43:30
18	I'm asking a question.	14:43:31
19	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to put a	14:43:32
20	statement on the record. Ms.	14:43:34
21	Bryson has testified that she has	14:43:35
22	not seen these documents before,	14:43:37
23	and if you were to ask her how she	14:43:39
24	calculated the rates set forth in	14:43:41
25	Exhibit 18, which she testified she	14:43:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 134 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	knows how she did, you would find	14:43:45
3	out how she calculated the rates.	14:43:47
4	MR. HERBST: That has nothing	14:43:51
5	to do with what I'm attempting to	14:43:53
6	ask the witness.	14:43:55
7	Q. Would you look at page 1 of	14:43:58
8	Bryson 7, ma'am. Isn't this a document	14:44:00
9	generated by either CGI or ICF?	14:44:08
10	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:44:14
11	A. It appears to be a document	14:44:15
12	generated by ICF.	14:44:16
13	Q. Which was the prime for which	14:44:17
14	CGI was a partner, right?	14:44:20
15	A. Correct.	14:44:22
16	Q. And it's the very opportunity	14:44:22
17	that Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 relates to,	14:44:28
18	right?	14:44:33
19	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:44:34
20	form.	14:44:35
21	A. Yes.	14:44:35
22	Q. So all I'm trying to ask you	14:44:36
23	is if in fact you say that the rates have	14:44:40
24	nothing to do with MOBIS labor	14:44:45
25	categories, why does this document on key	14:44:49

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 135 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	personnel qualifications matrix, which	14:44:51
3	you've described what it is, talk about	14:44:53
4	the MOBIS contract labor category?	14:44:55
5	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:44:58
6	form. Once again. She's never	14:44:59
7	seen these documents before.	14:45:01
8	Q. He's objecting to the form.	14:45:03
9	You can answer.	14:45:05
10	A. I would answer that in two	14:45:05
11	ways. The question that you had asked me	14:45:06
12	originally was how does overhead relate	14:45:08
13	to MOBIS rates and that was what I said	14:45:11
14	that they're not related.	14:45:14
15	Secondly, ICF was our prime on	14:45:16
16	this opportunity and they appeared to	14:45:19
17	have been bidding this opportunity under	14:45:22
18	their MOBIS schedule. That has nothing	14:45:24
19	to do with us. We say ICF, we're going	14:45:27
20	to charge a business analyst at 90.13 and	14:45:31
21	what ICF does with that is their	14:45:35
22	business. If they're going to charge	14:45:38
23	Nancy Dowdy on their MOBIS schedule of	14:45:40
24	consultant, that's, I don't know, \$180 an	14:45:44
25	hour and they're going to take \$70 of	14:45:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 136 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	profit, that's nothing to do with us.	14:45:49
3	So their MOBIS schedule and	14:45:51
4	how they're bidding it has nothing to do	14:45:53
5	with CGI. They're the prime. The rate	14:45:55
6	we bid to ICF are in this table here.	14:45:58
7	Q. But you're aware, are you not,	14:46:02
8	that the rates that are ultimately bid by	14:46:05
9	ICF, a significant component on, if you	14:46:09
10	look at 18, whatever they're bidding on	14:46:14
11	the people who are listed in the right,	14:46:20
12	who are CGI people, those are going to be	14:46:22
13	CGI personnel, right, that ICF is	14:46:28
14	bidding, right?	14:46:33
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:46:33
16	form.	14:46:34
17	A. Yes.	14:46:35
18	Q. And are you saying that CGI	14:46:35
19	has no input in telling ICF what to bid	14:46:38
20	for those particular people?	14:46:43
21	A. I mean we can ICF or any	14:46:45
22	prime is not obligated to tell us what	14:46:50
23	they're charging for any of our folks.	14:46:52
24	So we can certainly say I think you	14:46:54
25	should charge this amount, but they don't	14:46:57

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 137 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	have to listen to us. Just like if	14:46:59
3	you're buying something at Best Buy, Best	14:47:02
4	Buy is going to sell it to you for what	14:47:05
5	they want to sell it to you for, not	14:47:06
6	necessarily what it cost them. I mean.	14:47:09
7	But no, we would love to tell ICF what to	14:47:12
8	charge for our people, but that's not,	14:47:16
9	that's not how it works.	14:47:19
10	Q. Isn't it true in a time and	14:47:20
11	materials contract the profit that	14:47:27
12	bidding firms are entitled to make is	14:47:31
13	limited to a certain percentage?	14:47:33
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:47:34
15	form.	14:47:35
16	A. No.	14:47:36
17	Q. No?	14:47:36
18	A. Not to my knowledge. In cost	14:47:37
19	plus type work that is true, but time and	14:47:38
20	materials there's no limit to profit as	14:47:41
21	far as I understand.	14:47:43
22	Q. So let me ask you, you know	14:47:47
23	from the documents you reviewed, we're	14:47:48
24	going to get to those, but you know from	14:47:50
25	the documents you recently reviewed the	14:47:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 138 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	profit percentage that you fixed was	14:47:55
3	about 10 percent, right?	14:47:58
4	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:48:05
5	form.	14:48:06
6	A. Yes.	14:48:07
7	Q. How come you didn't charge 30	14:48:07
8	percent profit?	14:48:13
9	A. Because while we don't	14:48:14
10	disclose that information to ICF, HUD	14:48:18
11	would have been within their rights to	14:48:22
12	ask CGI how they derived their rates and	14:48:26
13	HUD would not be very pleased with us if	14:48:31
14	we said we added 30 percent. It's not to	14:48:34
15	say we can't do it, but it's standard	14:48:37
16	practice to use a reasonable fee, 10	14:48:39
17	percent or lower.	14:48:43
18	But again, it depends on the	14:48:44
19	type of work.	14:48:46
20	Q. So don't you think if you had	14:48:47
21	sent ICF your rates in this rate card	14:48:51
22	based on your, you know, the figures that	14:49:03
23	you earlier testified as to how you	14:49:08
24	derived the rate card, and ICF took those	14:49:11
25	and charged another hundred dollars an	14:49:19

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 139 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	hour more based on their MOBIS schedule,	14:49:22
3	you don't think HUD would have been	14:49:24
4	upset?	14:49:27
5	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	14:49:28
6	form.	14:49:29
7	A. I can't I can't speak to	14:49:30
8	that. I mean there's a lot of	14:49:32
9	considerations that ICF has to make being	14:49:33
10	prime when they're using a schedule.	14:49:37
11	They could have had another partner that	14:49:41
12	bid someone that qualified as a	14:49:43
13	consultant labor category that told them	14:49:45
14	it was \$120 an hour. So now they have	14:49:48
15	all these different price points that sit	14:49:50
16	under one category. It's up to them to	14:49:53
17	determine how they invoice the government	14:49:55
18	for that and in the event that they get	14:49:57
19	audited and the government wants to see	14:49:59
20	their costs and feels that they've been	14:50:01
21	receiving excessive profit, that's their	14:50:06
22	issue.	14:50:07
23	But as far as we're concerned,	14:50:08
24	this is the price that we're charging.	14:50:10
25	What ICF charges the government we have	14:50:12

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 140 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	no say in.	14:50:14
3	Q. Okay, I can understand how you	14:50:14
4	derive the rate for let's say senior	14:50:17
5	business analyst, because there were two	14:50:20
6	CGI employees who were going to do that	14:50:23
7	work, right?	14:50:25
8	A. They were representative	14:50:25
9	employees that I considered when	14:50:27
10	developing our rates.	14:50:29
11	Q. But you knew the project	14:50:30
12	administrator was going to be an ICF	14:50:32
13	person, right, not a CGI person; isn't	14:50:34
14	that true?	14:50:36
15	A. Not necessarily.	14:50:37
16	Q. Why wasn't the CGI person	14:50:38
17	listed for that?	14:50:42
18	A. Because we didn't have a	14:50:43
19	representative person and we thought it	14:50:44
20	was unlikely that we would have that	14:50:45
21	role. But oftentimes just because these	14:50:47
22	are the people that we have ready and	14:50:51
23	available doesn't mean that two years	14:50:54
24	later into the BPA that we won't want to	14:50:56
25	have a project administrator.	14:51:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 141 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Didn't you put but if you	14:51:03
3	didn't have a person, a CGI person that	14:51:05
4	you planned to use for project	14:51:09
5	administrator, there was no salary that	14:51:10
6	you could consult, right, initially for a	14:51:13
7	CGI person, right?	14:51:17
8	A. But I don't use salary to	14:51:18
9	develop rates.	14:51:21
10	Q. How did you develop 77.62 for	14:51:28
11	project administrator?	14:51:31
12	A. I read the description of what	14:51:33
13	they were looking for in a project	14:51:35
14	administrator, I used my knowledge based	14:51:37
15	on what I do about, overall in the ISIT	14:51:39
16	market, what a typical project	14:51:44
17	administrator makes and I developed a	14:51:46
18	rate based on that.	14:51:48
19	Q. Well you earlier testified I	14:51:50
20	think that the hourly rates in the ICF	14:51:59
21	MOBIS schedule might have been	14:52:02
22	significantly higher than the rate you	14:52:04
23	were using, correct?	14:52:06
24	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	14:52:08
25	A. I don't know what ICF's MOBIS	14:52:09

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 142 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ľ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	rates are.	14:52:11
	3	Q. Were you familiar with what	14:52:12
	4	the MOBIS rates were?	14:52:13
	5	A. No.	14:52:14
	6	Q. You wouldn't have inquired of	14:52:15
	7	what the ICF MOBIS rates were?	14:52:17
	8	A. I don't think so. I don't	14:52:19
	9	recall inquiring. I mean it's public	14:52:22
	10	information, I could look it up, but no.	14:52:23
	11	Q. Did CGI have a MOBIS schedule	14:52:26
	12	that you could have consulted?	14:52:30
	13	A. We do.	14:52:31
	14	Q. And when do you consult the	14:52:32
	15	MOBIS schedule in devising these rates	14:52:33
	16	for the rate cards like this?	14:52:36
	17	A. I don't.	14:52:37
	18	Q. Never?	14:52:37
	19	A. If we are pursuing an	14:52:38
	20	opportunity in which we're prime that is	14:52:43
	21	a MOBIS opportunity, then I would use the	14:52:45
	22	MOBIS rate schedule. I wouldn't do this.	14:52:48
	23	We already have MOBIS rates and those are	14:52:50
	24	the rates that I would use.	14:52:53
	25	Q. And you would have used the	14:52:54

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 143 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	ISIT MOBIS rates?	14:52:57
3	A. There's only one set of CGI	14:53:00
4	MOBIS rates. It's a MOBIS schedule.	14:53:03
5	It's a price list and those are the rates	14:53:06
6	I would have used.	14:53:07
7	Q. So whether the opportunity is	14:53:08
8	a BPS or ISIT you would use that one	14:53:10
9	MOBIS schedule?	14:53:13
10	A. Correct.	14:53:14
11	Q. And that's public information?	14:53:15
12	A. I believe MOBIS is public,	14:53:16
13	yes.	14:53:17
14	Q. And I might have heard wrong,	14:53:17
15	but did you say the MOBIS schedules are	14:53:19
16	submitted and approved every year?	14:53:23
17	A. No.	14:53:25
18	Q. How often are they approved?	14:53:25
19	(Instruction not to answer.)	14:53:28
20	MR. KLEIN: We're done with	14:53:28
21	MOBIS. She testified MOBIS was not	14:53:28
22	used in this rate by her. So	14:53:32
23	whether or not CGI uses MOBIS rates	14:53:34
24	for other pursuits is not relevant	14:53:37
25	and I would instruct the witness	14:53:39
ĺ		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 144 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

F	1436 111	- -
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	not to answer any further questions	14:53:40
3	regarding CGI's use of MOBIS rates	14:53:42
4	in other pursuits.	14:53:46
5	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	14:53:54
6	that for a ruling, please.	14:53:55
7	(A recess was taken.)	15:13:22
8	Q. So I think it's time to show	15:19:02
9	you the documents that we were provided	15:21:55
10	yesterday afternoon.	15:22:03
11	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	15:22:14
12	this as Bryson Exhibit 1.	15:22:15
13	(Bryson Exhibit 1 for	15:22:17
14	identification, Bates stamped	15:22:17
15	CGI_ASHMORE 2015411 through	15:22:17
16	2015421.)	15:22:18
17	Q. I'm first going to show you	15:22:18
18	Bryson 1. For the record, it seems to	15:22:20
19	consist of 11 pages and it bears a CGI	15:22:23
20	copyright in the lower right-hand corner.	15:22:36
21	Have you seen that document before?	15:22:45
22	A. Yes.	15:22:46
23	Q. When did you first see this	15:22:49
24	document?	15:23:02
25	A. This is an example of a cost	15:23:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 145 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	narrative that I mentioned earlier. So I	15:23:07
3	would have helped prepare this.	15:23:11
4	Q. You would have helped prepare	15:23:14
5	this document?	15:23:16
6	A. Yes.	15:23:16
7	Q. And how did you go about	15:23:16
8	preparing it?	15:23:18
9	A. We have a variety of templates	15:23:18
10	that we use to pull cost narratives	15:23:22
11	together.	15:23:29
12	Q. When you say you have a	15:23:32
13	variety, how many?	15:23:34
14	A. I would say maybe three or	15:23:34
15	four different ones.	15:23:40
16	Q. And which one is this?	15:23:42
17	A. This one would be a cost plus	15:23:44
18	type template because this is what we	15:23:52
19	submitted to the government.	15:23:57
20	Q. When did you submit this to	15:24:03
21	the government?	15:24:04
22	A. It looks like June 1st, 2010.	15:24:05
23	Q. That date in the lower	15:24:10
24	left-hand corner of the first page is the	15:24:11
25	date submitted to the government?	15:24:13

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 146 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2		15:24:14
3	A. Yes.	15:24:14
	Q. And what occasioned your	
4	submitting it to the government?	15:24:18
5	A. That would have been the RFP	15:24:19
6	due date.	15:24:24
7	Q. For this particular	15:24:25
8	opportunity you mean?	15:24:26
9	A. Yes.	15:24:27
10	Q. But would you have used this	15:24:27
11	cost narrative in connection with any	15:24:32
12	other opportunities?	15:24:33
13	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:24:35
14	form.	15:24:36
15	A. This specific one?	15:24:36
16	Q. Yes.	15:24:38
17	A. Not this specific one.	15:24:38
18	Q. Did you prepare a cost	15:24:40
19	narrative for every opportunity that you	15:24:41
20	got to the step 3 process?	15:24:46
21	A. Not every opportunity, but the	15:24:47
22	majority of them.	15:24:49
23	Q. Most of them?	15:24:50
24	A. Yes.	15:24:50
25	Q. You would have a separate one	15:24:51

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 147 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	WELLY I DDYCON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	15.04.50
2	for each opportunity?	15:24:53
3	A. Yes.	15:24:54
4	Q. How many opportunities did you	15:24:54
5	provide rates for, let's say, in 2010,	15:24:56
6	approximately?	15:24:58
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:24:59
8	form.	15:25:00
9	Q. An estimate?	15:25:01
10	A. Rates? I don't know, I would	15:25:02
11	guess between 30 and 40.	15:25:04
12	Q. How many cost narratives did	15:25:09
13	you prepare in 2010, estimate?	15:25:10
14	A. Probably the same range, maybe	15:25:15
15	25 to 35. The majority of them have cost	15:25:17
16	narratives associated with them.	15:25:20
17	Q. When you said you had three or	15:25:24
18	four cost narratives, you had a variety,	15:25:26
19	three or four, what did you mean?	15:25:28
20	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:25:30
21	form.	15:25:31
22	A. We had different templates.	15:25:31
23	So what this template or what this	15:25:33
24	narrative does is provides a lot of the	15:25:34
25	detail of the methodology of how we	15:25:37

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 148 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			7
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	derived our rates. When that detail is	15:25:39
	3	not necessary or if it is a schedule	15:25:44
	4	purchase, there is a different template	15:25:48
	5	that we would start with as our basis	15:25:51
	6	because this detailed methodology	15:25:53
	7	information wouldn't be relevant or	15:25:55
	8	necessary for those types of deals.	15:25:57
	9	Q. That's what I'm trying to	15:26:01
	10	understand. You said a schedule what?	15:26:03
	11	A. Purchase.	15:26:04
	12	Q. Matrix you said or what?	15:26:05
	13	A. Purchase.	15:26:07
	14	Q. Schedule purchase?	15:26:07
	15	A. Yes. If we win this BPA, for	15:26:09
	16	example, we've already submitted our	15:26:14
	17	rates to ICF, we've already submitted	15:26:17
	18	this information to the government. This	15:26:19
	19	information at this level of detail	15:26:21
	20	wouldn't be necessary in the future most	15:26:22
	21	likely. So our narrative for any task	15:26:25
	22	order proposals will look very different	15:26:30
	23	from this one.	15:26:33
	24	Q. First of all, what's RCF?	15:26:33
	25	A. I don't know. I don't know	15:26:36

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 149 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Γ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	what RCF is.	15:26:39
	3	Q. You mentioned RCF in a	15:26:40
	4	previous answer. Oh, ICF. Thank you.	15:26:45
	5	So what I'm trying to	15:26:56
	6	understand is, you said there are three	15:26:57
	7	or four different templates that you use	15:27:01
	8	that result in 30 to 40 cost narratives,	15:27:03
	9	right?	15:27:06
	10	A. Yes.	15:27:07
	11	Q. So I'm trying to understand	15:27:07
	12	which template is this among the three or	15:27:14
	13	four?	15:27:16
	14	A. This would be a cost plus type	15:27:16
	15	template because it describes our cost	15:27:18
	16	and rate buildup methodology.	15:27:20
	17	Q. What are the other templates?	15:27:27
	18	A. We have a schedule template.	15:27:29
	19	We have I guess a more generic time and	15:27:35
	20	materials template. And then there are	15:27:37
	21	lots of situations where we may hybridize	15:27:42
	22	between a couple of different types of	15:27:46
	23	templates. I mean the templates are	15:27:48
	24	really just a starting ground. Every	15:27:50
	25	proposal is different. Every RFP	15:27:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 150 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	requests different information to be	15:27:56
3	included in the cost volume.	15:27:57
4	Q. So how does the cost plus	15:28:00
5	template differ from the generic time and	15:28:03
6	materials template?	15:28:07
7	A. Because again	15:28:08
8	Q. I ask that question because	15:28:09
9	you earlier testified this was a time and	15:28:11
10	materials contract?	15:28:14
11	A. Correct. This is a time and	15:28:14
12	materials contract. However, because we	15:28:18
13	were establishing these rates for the	15:28:21
14	first time, we submitted this template	15:28:23
15	which includes a lot of the cost buildup	15:28:27
16	information so the government could	15:28:30
17	understand how we arrived at our rate.	15:28:32
18	And then going forward, for	15:28:33
19	any task order proposal we would use a	15:28:36
20	time and materials template because the	15:28:39
21	rates had already been established at	15:28:41
22	that point.	15:28:42
23	Q. So you mean the time and	15:28:44
24	materials template builds on the cost	15:28:46
25	plus template that's always submitted the	15:28:51
1		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 151 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	first time on a time and materials	15:28:53
3	contract?	15:28:55
4	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	15:28:56
5	A. I wouldn't say they build upon	15:28:57
6	one another, but this information in this	15:28:59
7	template is necessary for the government	15:29:03
8	to understand how their rates are	15:29:04
9	derived. Once we receive an award, then	15:29:07
10	the schedule is created. So going	15:29:09
11	forward we don't need to provide this	15:29:12
12	level of detail because the rates have	15:29:14
13	already been awarded and approved.	15:29:16
14	Q. What's the difference between	15:29:18
15	a cost plus contract and a time and	15:29:19
16	materials contract?	15:29:21
17	A. A cost plus contract is a	15:29:21
18	contract where we are reimbursed for our	15:29:26
19	cost plus an amount of fee.	15:29:32
20	Q. And the fee is like a profit?	15:29:35
21	A. Yes.	15:29:37
22	Q. What are the profit ranges	15:29:40
23	that typically you get in a cost plus?	15:29:42
24	(Instruction not to answer.)	15:29:44
25	MR. KLEIN: We're going to be	15:29:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 152 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	3	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		15:29:46
2	finished with this line of	15:29:47
3	questioning. Once again, it's	
4	completely	15:29:48
5	MR. HERBST: I don't think so.	15:29:50
6	I'm entitled to test whether and	15:29:52
7	why this particular template was	15:29:54
8	used opposed to a time and	15:29:55
9	materials.	15:29:58
10	MR. KLEIN: So you can ask her	15:29:59
11	if	15:30:00
12	MR. HERBST: No, I can ask it	15:30:00
13	my own way and I'm trying to do	15:30:03
14	that and your objections really are	15:30:05
15	not apt. I'm entitled to ask.	15:30:07
16	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	15:30:09
17	object to her answering any	15:30:10
18	questions about profits on any	15:30:12
19	other projects other than the ones	15:30:14
20	at issue.	15:30:17
21	MR. HERBST: Can you repeat my	15:30:33
22	question.	15:30:35
23	(Record read as requested.)	15:30:36
24	MR. KLEIN: I'm going to	15:30:36
25	instruct the witness not to answer	15:30:37

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 153 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that question unless it relates	15:30:39
3	specifically to the contracts at	15:30:40
4	issue.	15:30:41
5	MR. HERBST: She used a cost	15:30:42
6	plus template, so I'm entitled to	15:30:46
7	ask.	15:30:47
8	Q. What's the typical profit	15:30:49
9	range in a cost plus contract?	15:30:51
10	MR. KLEIN: You can answer	15:30:53
11	what the I'll let the witness	15:30:54
12	answer what the profit range is in	15:30:57
13	this contract.	15:30:59
14	MR. HERBST: You're	15:30:59
15	obstructing my deposition, Mr.	15:31:00
16	Klein.	15:31:02
17	MR. KLEIN: I'm not	15:31:06
18	obstructing it.	15:31:07
19	MR. HERBST: Yes, you are.	15:31:08
20	You're getting to the point where	15:31:09
21	you are obstructing and	15:31:12
22	interfering, this objection is	15:31:20
23	really, really not apt.	15:31:23
24	MR. KLEIN: I would maintain	15:31:25
25	that a majority of the questions	15:31:26

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 154 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that have been asked today have	15:31:28
3	been, if not most of them, have	15:31:29
4	been far afield of what has been	15:31:31
5	permissible by Judge Cott and this	15:31:34
6	is just essentially a fishing	15:31:36
7	expedition. I will let Ms. Bryson	15:31:37
8	answer questions specifically	15:31:42
9	regarding this document. I'm not	15:31:43
10	going to have her answer questions	15:31:45
11	that are unrelated to the limited	15:31:46
12	issues that Judge Cott allowed in	15:31:49
13	this limited 30(b)(6) deposition.	15:31:52
14	If you want to ask a general	15:31:56
15	question as to I'll let you ask	15:31:58
16	the general question and I'll see	15:32:01
17	what Ms. Bryson's answer is, how	15:32:03
18	about that?	15:32:05
19	MR. HERBST: I did ask a	15:32:06
20	general question. Why don't you	15:32:08
21	read it back.	15:32:09
22	(Record read as requested.)	15:32:10
23	MR. KLEIN: To the extent you	15:32:23
24	know the answer, if there is a	15:32:24
25	typical profit range.	15:32:25

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 155 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I would say there's not a	15:32:26
3	typical profit range because even within	15:32:27
4	cost plus contracts there are different	15:32:30
5	types of cost plus contracts.	15:32:31
6	Q. What are the types?	15:32:33
7	A. There's cost plus incentive	15:32:34
8	fee, there's cost plus fixed fee, there's	15:32:36
9	cost plus award fee.	15:32:39
10	Q. Incentive fee, what else?	15:32:42
11	A. Fixed fee, award fee and they	15:32:43
12	all have different ranges that may be	15:32:48
13	appropriate, and even within a particular	15:32:52
14	type you may bid different profit ranges	15:32:55
15	on different portions of the deal.	15:32:58
16	Q. But you have one template for	15:33:00
17	cost plus. How do they differ for an	15:33:02
18	incentive fee cost plus, a fixed fee cost	15:33:07
19	plus and an award fee cost plus?	15:33:11
20	A. Well a template is a starting	15:33:13
21	point and you customize it based on	15:33:16
22	whatever opportunity that you're working	15:33:18
23	toward. I don't think it's fair to say	15:33:20
24	that just because you're starting from	15:33:21
25	one template means that your resultant	15:33:23

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 156 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	document is going to look identical.	15:33:28
	3	Q. Is there a typical range in	15:33:33
	4	incentive fee versus a fixed fee and an	15:33:35
	5	award fee?	15:33:38
	6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:33:39
	7	form.	15:33:40
	8	A. I would say we don't often bid	15:33:41
	9	incentive fee type deals so I can't	15:33:46
	10	answer that. But that for award fee type	15:33:49
	11	work we tend to bid a higher fee than a	15:33:51
	12	fixed fee because some portion of the	15:33:53
	13	award fee is our risk based on	15:33:55
	14	performance rather than being guaranteed	15:33:59
	15	as it would be in a fixed fee scenario.	15:34:01
	16	Q. Are both the fixed fee and	15:34:04
	17	award fee generally higher than the 10	15:34:06
	18	percent on this one?	15:34:08
	19	A. No.	15:34:09
	20	Q. Are they lower?	15:34:09
	21	A. Yes.	15:34:11
	22	Q. So before we were interrupted	15:34:11
	23	and resolved that issue, I was trying to	15:34:39
	24	understand the differences between the	15:34:40
	25	cost plus template and the time and	15:34:42

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 157 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	materials template.	15:34:44
3	A. So the first time that we're	15:34:46
4	bidding a list of rates the government	15:34:48
5	needs to understand how we derived that	15:34:52
6	rate. And this document, or more	15:34:53
7	generically the cost plus template,	15:34:59
8	describes in pretty great detail how we	15:35:01
9	arrived at the rate. And that's why this	15:35:05
10	template was used for this opportunity	15:35:08
11	because it was the first time we were	15:35:10
12	proposing these rates. HUD needed to see	15:35:11
13	and understand how we calculated these	15:35:16
14	rates.	15:35:18
15	Going forward, assuming that	15:35:19
16	we were awarded contract, HUD would have	15:35:21
17	already reviewed these materials, they	15:35:24
18	would have accepted our rates as	15:35:25
19	calculated and therefore, this	15:35:27
20	information wouldn't be necessary.	15:35:29
21	Q. But you mentioned a generic	15:35:32
22	time and materials template. Isn't that	15:35:34
23	one that you could use as a starting	15:35:36
24	point on a time and materials contract?	15:35:39
25	A. Sure.	15:35:40

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 158 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So I'm trying to understand	15:35:41
3	why you chose to use the cost plus	15:35:43
4	generic instead of the time and materials	15:35:46
5	generic since this was a time and	15:35:50
6	materials contract?	15:35:52
7	A. Because I needed to describe,	15:35:52
8	again, the methodology and how they	15:35:55
9	relate. Because all rates start as cost	15:35:56
10	plus rates, or the majority of rates	15:35:59
11	start as cost plus rates. And this	15:36:01
12	document details how those rates are	15:36:03
13	derived. And then once the rates are	15:36:05
14	accepted, then you move to a time and	15:36:09
15	materials scenario.	15:36:12
16	Q. So what items go into the cost	15:36:13
17	and what items go into the plus?	15:36:19
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:36:23
19	form.	15:36:25
20	A. The cost includes your direct	15:36:25
21	labor and any indirect rates that you may	15:36:28
22	have and the plus is your fee.	15:36:31
23	Q. In the time and materials	15:36:42
24	generic what is the cost?	15:36:44
25	A. There is no cost. It's a	15:36:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 159 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ſ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	fully burdened rate.	15:36:46
	3	Q. How is a fully burdened rate	15:36:48
	4	calculated?	15:36:52
	5	A. The way that's described in	15:36:52
	6	this document.	15:36:54
	7	Q. In this document?	15:36:55
	8	A. Yes.	15:36:55
	9	Q. So this document describes	15:37:01
	10	fully burdened rates?	15:37:03
	11	A. Yes.	15:37:04
	12	Q. What is a fully burdened rate?	15:37:04
	13	A. It is a rate that includes	15:37:06
	14	your direct labor plus your applicable	15:37:08
	15	indirect, plus fees.	15:37:10
	16	Q. I want to direct your	15:37:47
	17	attention to the first page of that	15:37:48
	18	document where you say three lines from	15:37:50
	19	the bottom, "Each direct labor role rate	15:37:54
	20	is an average of actual salaries for	15:37:57
	21	employees classified in that particular	15:37:59
	22	role as of April 20, 2010."	15:38:01
	23	Do you see that?	15:38:06
	24	A. Yes.	15:38:07
	25	Q. What do you mean by that?	15:38:07

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 160 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. It means that, as I mentioned	15:38:08
3	earlier, everyone at CGI is classified	15:38:11
4	into a role that aligns with the type of	15:38:15
5	work that they do, and we query that	15:38:19
6	information from the HR system and	15:38:22
7	calculate an average of everyone in each	15:38:26
8	of those particular roles and that's how	15:38:28
9	we derive direct labor.	15:38:34
10	Q. But in the case where you know	15:38:35
11	the individuals involved, like a	15:38:38
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, why wouldn't you	15:38:42
13	use the actual salaries for each person?	15:38:44
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:38:47
15	form.	15:38:48
16	A. Because we weren't bidding,	15:38:48
17	generally speaking we're not bidding	15:38:51
18	those people as key. We may hire	15:38:53
19	different people. They may be engaged on	15:38:55
20	other things when we win the work. So	15:38:58
21	they are representative and they're	15:39:00
22	helpful for me to understand the types of	15:39:02
23	people that we plan to engage on the	15:39:04
24	opportunity, but they're not necessarily	15:39:09
25	the people that will be on the	15:39:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 161 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	opportunity. They're just examples.	15:39:12
3	Q. So if these people were	15:39:15
4	denominated as key people then you would	15:39:17
5	have used their actual salaries, correct?	15:39:19
6	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:39:21
7	form.	15:39:22
8	A. For a time and material, for	15:39:23
9	what we're for the development of a	15:39:25
10	time and materials list of rates,	15:39:27
11	probably not.	15:39:31
12	Q. Why not?	15:39:32
13	A. Because just because one	15:39:33
14	because you may have more than one person	15:39:39
15	in the labor category. If, for example,	15:39:41
16	business analyst is a very generic labor	15:39:44
17	category. We could bid someone as a key	15:39:46
18	business analyst and still have six other	15:39:49
19	business analysts so it wouldn't make	15:39:51
20	sense that the entire business analyst	15:39:53
21	rate be based on one person when there is	15:39:55
22	a much larger pool of people that still	15:39:57
23	qualify for that labor category.	15:40:01
24	Q. But in this particular	15:40:02
25	opportunity Mr. Ashmore was the business	15:40:04

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 162 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
	WHILLY I DDWGOV	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	15.40.05
2	opportunity manager, right?	15:40:07
3	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:40:12
4	form.	15:40:13
5	A. I don't know what you mean by	15:40:14
6	business opportunity manager.	15:40:15
7	Q. I think we went over well	15:40:17
8	what's a subject matter expert, that's	15:40:29
9	how his role is denominated?	15:40:31
10	A. He would have been one of	15:40:33
11	potentially several subject matter	15:40:35
12	experts.	15:40:38
13	Q. But he's the only one listed?	15:40:38
14	A. That's irrelevant.	15:40:40
15	Q. It's irrelevant. And in what	15:40:42
16	category was he?	15:40:46
17	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:40:49
18	form.	15:40:50
19	A. Like you said, he's listed	15:40:51
20	here as subject matter expert.	15:40:53
21	Q. You said there were a bunch of	15:40:55
22	different subcategories in subject matter	15:40:57
23	expert. So which one was he?	15:41:00
24	A. I don't believe that that's	15:41:01
25	what I said.	15:41:02

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 163 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. I thought you said that there	15:41:03
3	were a bunch of different categories of	15:41:08
4	subject	15:41:11
5	MR. KLEIN: She said business	15:41:13
6	analysts.	15:41:14
7	Q. Excuse me, subject matter	15:41:14
8	expert 6, 7, 8 and so forth?	15:41:18
9	A. That's how people are	15:41:20
10	classified in the PSA system, in our HR	15:41:21
11	system. That doesn't align to this.	15:41:28
12	Q. Well how many different	15:41:33
13	categories of subject matter expert are	15:41:35
14	there in your HR system?	15:41:37
15	A. Subject matter expert is	15:41:39
16	grouped with business analyst and there	15:41:45
17	aren't different categories, there's	15:41:46
18	different levels.	15:41:48
19	Q. That's what I meant, levels.	15:41:50
20	A. I don't know. I mean	15:41:51
21	generally it goes from about 7 to 16, but	15:41:54
22	we don't necessarily have all of them	15:41:58
23	represented at any given time.	15:42:00
24	Q. So how would you derive the	15:42:01
25	blend that you're talking about for	15:42:05

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 164 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	subject matter expert here?	15:42:06
3	A. I would use my professional	15:42:08
4	discretion to blend the roles such that I	15:42:11
5	arrived at a rate that was appropriate	15:42:19
6	for that labor category as described.	15:42:20
7	Q. And you would review what in	15:42:25
8	order to make that determination?	15:42:27
9	A. The labor category	15:42:28
10	description. It's a big one. I would	15:42:31
11	review representative personnel. I may	15:42:34
12	solicit salary information from	15:42:39
13	recruiting or from our compensation team.	15:42:42
14	There's a variety of places where I may	15:42:46
15	get information that helped me determine	15:42:48
16	how to best map a rate.	15:42:51
17	Q. Do you remember what you did	15:42:53
18	in this case?	15:42:55
19	A. My professional discretion.	15:42:55
20	Q. I mean do you remember what	15:42:59
21	sources you consulted before using your	15:43:02
22	professional discretion?	15:43:03
23	A. I looked at these salaries and	15:43:04
24	I also used just the knowledge I have	15:43:07
25	from doing my job every day of	15:43:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 165 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	approximately what a lot of these labor	15:43:12
	3	categories would command in the	15:43:15
	4	marketplace.	15:43:17
	5	Q. Now when you said you looked	15:43:17
	6	at these salaries your finger was	15:43:19
	7	pointing to the right-hand column on that	15:43:22
	8	rate card, the first page of Exhibit 18,	15:43:26
	9	with the names, right?	15:43:27
	10	A. Right.	15:43:29
	11	Q. So is your professional	15:43:30
	12	discretion the last word?	15:43:46
	13	A. No.	15:43:48
	14	Q. Who else weighs in with their	15:43:48
	15	professional discretion before this rate	15:43:51
	16	card is generated in 18?	15:43:54
	17	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:43:56
	18	form.	15:43:57
	19	A. That's what this executive	15:43:58
	20	step review process is for, and if the	15:43:59
	21	they feel our price is too high or if	15:44:01
	22	they feel that a rate is inappropriate	15:44:03
	23	they are more than welcome to weigh in	15:44:05
	24	and we discuss that until we come up with	15:44:07
	25	the rates that everybody feels is	15:44:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 166 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	appropriate.	15:44:11
3	Q. Is that done mostly by email?	15:44:12
4	A. It varies.	15:44:19
5	Q. Well when it's done by	15:44:20
6	telephone you make a record of the call?	15:44:21
7	A. The PMO is, the project	15:44:23
8	management office does take minutes. Do	15:44:26
9	they record it? No, but they do take	15:44:31
10	minutes and action items.	15:44:33
11	Q. Minutes and action items?	15:44:34
12	A. Yes.	15:44:36
13	MR. HERBST: I'm going to	15:44:39
14	request all emails, minutes and	15:44:40
15	action items relating to the	15:44:42
16	generation of the rates in this	15:44:43
17	opportunity.	15:44:45
18	(Request made.)	15:44:45
19	Q. At the time in 2010, in terms	15:45:19
20	of people in your unit, in the pricing	15:45:22
21	unit, who else would weigh in besides	15:45:26
22	you?	15:45:29
23	A. If I was engaged on an	15:45:31
24	opportunity where I felt I needed help or	15:45:34
25	was unsure, I would consult with my	15:45:36

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 167 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	manager.	15:45:38
3	Q. Who was?	15:45:40
4	A. Tracey well, Tracey Burger	15:45:40
5	was the manager of the pricing department	15:45:44
6	but she was not my manager. But I would	15:45:45
7	consult with her on pricing related	15:45:48
8	issues if I had concerns.	15:45:49
9	Q. Would you also consult with	15:45:50
10	your manager?	15:45:53
11	A. Generally not for pricing	15:45:53
12	related stuff.	15:45:55
13	Q. Why not?	15:45:55
14	A. Because he doesn't do pricing.	15:45:56
15	Q. So did you consult with Tracey	15:45:59
16	Burger on this one?	15:46:01
17	A. I don't think so. This was a	15:46:02
18	small, straightforward opportunity. I	15:46:03
19	don't I don't recall that there was	15:46:07
20	any reason to need to consult with Tracey	15:46:08
21	on this.	15:46:11
22	Q. But you haven't actually gone	15:46:11
23	back and checked your emails to determine	15:46:14
24	whether you did?	15:46:16
25	A. No.	15:46:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 168 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. You haven't consulted any	15:46:17
3	minutes or action items to determine	15:46:18
4		15:46:20
5	whether you did? A. No.	15:46:21
		15:46:23
6	MR. HERBST: I'll repeat my	
7	request there.	15:46:25
8	(Request made.)	15:46:25
9	Q. Did you know that Mr. Ashmore	15:46:48
10	had been a former HUD employee or	15:46:50
11	official when you did this?	15:46:53
12	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	15:46:55
13	A. I don't think so. I don't	15:46:56
14	know much about Mr. Ashmore.	15:46:57
15	Q. So how would you exercise your	15:46:59
16	professional judgment to determine in	15:47:01
17	what specific SME category he would be	15:47:03
18	placed?	15:47:07
19	A. Again, I don't place anyone in	15:47:07
20	any SME category. People are classified	15:47:12
21	into a category in the HR system when	15:47:15
22	they're hired and it's up to them and	15:47:18
23	their manager to move them into a	15:47:20
24	different HR system category.	15:47:22
25	What I do is I take that	15:47:25

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 169 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	information that's in the system and I	15:47:27
3	create a mapping to an RFP labor	15:47:30
4	category, which is very different. And	15:47:33
5	right here there was, as defined by the	15:47:35
6	government and ICF, a subject matter	15:47:39
7	expert role that the team told me that	15:47:42
8	Mr. Ashmore would fall into.	15:47:44
9	Q. You say the subject matter	15:47:48
10	expert listed at the bottom of page 2	15:47:57
11	there, three lines up?	15:48:00
12	A. Yes.	15:48:01
13	Q. Was what, you said?	15:48:01
14	A. That is a labor category	15:48:02
15	defined by the request for proposal from	15:48:06
16	ICF and HUD. That's not a labor category	15:48:09
17	that CGI defined.	15:48:12
18	Q. Do I understand your testimony	15:48:26
19	correctly that you never found out what	15:48:28
20	rates ICF gave to the government with	15:48:33
21	respect to these people?	15:48:37
22	A. That's correct, I don't.	15:48:39
23	Q. And you never asked or you	15:48:41
24	never asked to look?	15:48:43
25	A. No.	15:48:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 170 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. Would you have had available	15:48:46
	3	to you if you wanted to ICF's MOBIS	15:48:48
	4	schedule?	15:48:53
	5	A. Again, I believe that MOBIS	15:48:53
	6	schedules are public.	15:48:56
	7	Q. So you would have had it	15:48:57
	8	available to you if you or someone else	15:48:59
	9	at CGI if they wanted to consult it?	15:49:02
	10	A. Yes, most likely.	15:49:04
	11	Q. And you had the CGI MOBIS	15:49:06
	12	schedule available to you?	15:49:09
	13	A. Yes.	15:49:10
	14	Q. Now the next line, second line	15:49:23
	15	up from the bottom on Bryson 1 says "The	15:49:26
	16	average rate is a straight average	15:49:30
	17	calculated by dividing total salaries in	15:49:32
	18	that particular internal role by the	15:49:35
	19	number of exempt employees classified in	15:49:37
	20	the role," right?	15:49:40
	21	A. Yes.	15:49:43
	22	Q. Is that what you did?	15:49:44
	23	A. Yes.	15:49:45
	24	Q. So you had to find the total	15:49:45
	25	salaries of everybody in that particular	15:49:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 171 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	internal role?	15:49:56
3	A. In that particular role as	15:49:56
4	classified in the HR system. Again, not	15:49:58
5	these roles. These are labor categories.	15:50:00
6	These are not roles. Our people are	15:50:03
7	classified in our HR system into roles.	15:50:05
8	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	15:50:14
9	this as Bryson Exhibit 2.	15:50:15
10	(Bryson Exhibit 2 for	15:50:17
11	identification, Bates stamped	15:50:17
12	CGI_ASHMORE 2015407 through	15:50:17
13	2015410.)	15:50:18
14	Q. So let me show you Bryson 2.	15:50:18
15	Is that the list of CGI roles that you	15:50:20
16	are speaking of?	15:50:22
17	A. Yes.	15:50:22
18	Q. So I see, for example, that	15:50:30
19	there's business analyst/SME, right?	15:50:33
20	A. Yes.	15:50:39
21	Q. At 6 through 16?	15:50:40
22	A. Yes.	15:50:42
23	Q. So there are 11 different	15:50:42
24	categories of business analyst and SME,	15:50:45
25	right?	15:50:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 172 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		
1	WELLY I DOVOCNI	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	15:50:47
3	Q. Why is business analyst and	15:50:48
4	SME broken out separately as labor	15:50:53
5	categories if your own CGI internal role	15:50:57
6	descriptions combine them?	15:51:02
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:51:05
8	form.	15:51:06
9	A. That was a requirement of the	15:51:06
10	government. This is not our we did	15:51:07
11	not create this list. This is our	15:51:10
12	internal list. When we are required to	15:51:12
13	do so we map from our internal categories	15:51:14
14	to whatever the RFP categories are, but	15:51:16
15	there's often not a one-to-one match.	15:51:19
16	Q. Is that something you did on	15:51:22
17	this one?	15:51:23
18	A. Yes.	15:51:23
19	Q. And so what did you consult in	15:51:24
20	order to try to determine which	15:51:28
21	categories or which people listed on the	15:51:31
22	right-hand side of that rate card should	15:51:34
23	go into which categories of your own CGI	15:51:36
24	role categories?	15:51:41
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:51:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 173 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	<u> </u>	•
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	15:51:44
3	A. I didn't do that. Their	15:51:44
4	managing and their hiring person put them	15:51:45
5	into a CGI category.	15:51:48
6	Q. Whose manager?	15:51:49
7	A. Every individual's manager	15:51:51
8	determines that.	15:51:55
9	Q. So when they're hired at CGI	15:51:55
10		15:51:58
11	they go into a category you're saying? A. They go into a role.	15:51:59
12	1 5	15:52:00
		15:52:00
13	A. Yes.	15:52:02
14	Q. What was Mr. Ashmore's role?	15:52:02
15	A. I don't know off the top of my	15:52:05
16	head.	
17	Q. How would we determine what	15:52:08
18	his role was?	15:52:09
19	A. We'd need to look at the	15:52:10
20	roster at the time.	15:52:11
21	Q. Where is that roster?	15:52:12
22	A. I don't have it.	15:52:13
23	Q. That's not anything you	15:52:14
24	consulted at the time?	15:52:16
25	A. I'm not sure.	15:52:17

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 174 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Or you did?	15:52:19
3	A. I don't know if I consulted it	15:52:19
4	to determine his role because I knew that	15:52:21
5	even regardless of what his role was, I	15:52:23
6	knew that what we were pricing was a	15:52:27
7	subject matter expert. There are people	15:52:29
8	that can be classified in a role that may	15:52:31
9	be more specific than a category that	15:52:33
10	we're pricing.	15:52:36
11	Q. I understand you don't	15:52:37
12	remember whether you consulted it or not,	15:52:38
13	I understand that, all right. Correct?	15:52:42
14	A. Yes.	15:52:45
15	Q. But if you did, we would like	15:52:45
16	to see it. Is this something that you	15:52:52
17	can retrieve, a roster, what the roster	15:52:57
18	was at the time this document was	15:53:00
19	generated, Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 was	15:53:03
20	generated?	15:53:05
21	A. Yes.	15:53:06
22	MR. HERBST: So we're going to	15:53:07
23	request the roster for all of these	15:53:08
24	people on here.	15:53:10
25	(Request made.)	15:53:10

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 175 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So what you're telling me is,	15:53:13
3	I think, that for the government, when	15:53:16
4	the government said we want there to be a	15:53:20
5	subject matter expert, right?	15:53:23
6	A. Yes.	15:53:27
7	Q. You had to determine what Mr.	15:53:27
8	Ashmore's withdrawn.	15:53:36
9	When the government said to	15:53:40
10	CGI we want a subject matter expert and	15:53:43
11	the pursuit team identified Mr. Ashmore	15:53:47
12	as the subject matter expert, you had to	15:53:51
13	look at his qualifications and the	15:53:55
14	qualifications that the government wanted	15:53:59
15	for that position?	15:54:01
16	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:54:03
17	form.	15:54:04
18	A. I personally don't care what	15:54:04
19	Mr. Ashmore's qualifications are. I read	15:54:08
20	the description, the labor category	15:54:11
21	description and based on that description	15:54:14
22	I match it up as best I can to these	15:54:16
23	roles.	15:54:19
24	Q. So you're talking about the	15:54:19
25	labor category that the government	15:54:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 176 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	specified in its in what document?	15:54:24
3	A. In its request for proposal.	15:54:29
4	Q. In its RFP?	15:54:31
5	A. Yes.	15:54:35
6	Q. You looked at those	15:54:36
7	qualifications and you matched it to	15:54:42
8	what?	15:54:44
9	A. Our, CGI's internal roles.	15:54:44
10	Q. This Bryson 2?	15:54:47
11	A. Yes.	15:54:48
12	Q. So you had to match it to one	15:54:49
13	of these categories 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12,	15:54:51
14	13, 14 or 15?	15:54:56
15	A. I don't have to match it to	15:54:57
16	one, I can match it to several.	15:54:58
17	Q. Well how do you determine to	15:55:00
18	match it to one or to match it to	15:55:03
19	several?	15:55:05
20	A. Again, it's professional	15:55:05
21	discretion.	15:55:08
22	Q. But what factors go into how	15:55:08
23	you exercise the discretion?	15:55:10
24	A. I look at the salaries and I	15:55:12
25	look at, again, the description to	15:55:13

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 177 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	determine what level of seniority they're	15:55:17
3	looking for. And that helps me determine	15:55:20
4	are they looking for a college graduate	15:55:23
5	at \$60,000 or are they looking at someone	15:55:26
6	with five years experience that makes	15:55:28
7	\$85,000. And then based on that, I do a	15:55:30
8	mapping that helps me derive a rate.	15:55:34
9	And if I don't feel	15:55:39
10	comfortable or I need a second opinion,	15:55:41
11	then I can reach out to, again,	15:55:43
12	compensation or recruiting. Again, I	15:55:47
13	will factor in to the extent that it	15:55:49
14	makes sense if I'm given representative	15:55:52
15	personnel.	15:55:55
16	Q. But when Mr. Ashmore is	15:55:58
17	identified, wouldn't you locate the	15:56:01
18	category that he's in?	15:56:03
19	A. Not necessarily.	15:56:04
20	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	15:56:05
21	form.	15:56:06
22	Q. Why not?	15:56:06
23	A. Because he may I mean I	15:56:06
24	could look at that, but I don't	15:56:10
25	necessarily do that because I know in	15:56:11

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 178 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ľ			\neg
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	this situation there's a subject matter	15:56:14
	3	expert category, I have a subject matter	15:56:16
	4	expert role, those are the people that	15:56:18
	5	I'm going to look at.	15:56:20
	6	Like we have people in here	15:56:21
	7	that are listed as CM specialists. If	15:56:24
	8	they're not looking for	15:56:28
	9	Q. You have them in which	15:56:29
	10	document?	15:56:31
	11	A. In this role list you can see	15:56:33
	12	there	15:56:35
	13	Q. Bryson 2?	15:56:35
	14	A. Bryson 2, there are a number	15:56:36
	15	of granular category roles.	15:56:38
	16	Q. What do you mean by granular?	15:56:41
	17	A. For example, there is a	15:56:43
	18	technical writer, for example, so I may	15:56:47
	19	be looking at a person that qualifies for	15:56:56
	20	a technical writer role, but they're not	15:56:58
	21	asking me for a technical writer labor	15:57:01
	22	category. So maybe I just used business	15:57:03
	23	analyst even though	15:57:06
	24	Q. But they were asking for	15:57:08
	25	technical writer category?	15:57:09

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 179 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Then I guess I used a poor	15:57:11
3	example.	15:57:13
4	Q. What would you do in that case	15:57:13
5	to come up with the 87.05?	15:57:15
6	A. There's another document here	15:57:17
7	that I could show you.	15:57:18
8	Q. Which one? This one?	15:57:20
9	A. Yes.	15:57:29
10	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	15:57:31
11	this as Bryson Exhibit 4.	15:57:32
12	(Bryson Exhibit 4 for	15:57:34
13	identification, Bates stamped	15:57:34
14	CGI_ASHMORE 2015406.)	15:57:34
15	Q. First of all, tell us what	15:57:34
16	that before you do it, let me get my	15:57:36
17	copy. Tell me what Bryson 4 is?	15:57:42
18	A. This is a worksheet that maps	15:57:44
19	labor roles, internal labor roles to RFP	15:57:48
20	labor categories.	15:57:52
21	Q. So this is the document that	15:57:53
22	tells you that you generate, you	15:57:55
23	generated this for this particular one?	15:57:58
24	A. Yes.	15:58:00
25	Q. And so this will tell you what	15:58:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 180 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	you did let's say for technical writer?	15:58:05
	3	A. Yes.	15:58:09
	4	Q. So what did you do for	15:58:09
	5	technical writer? By the way before we	15:58:11
	6	get to that. Going back to Bryson 2, how	15:58:17
	7	come you just didn't take technical,	15:58:20
	8	there's only one technical writer subject	15:58:22
	9	role in CGI, right?	15:58:25
	10	A. There is a yes.	15:58:27
	11	Q. So why didn't you just use	15:58:29
	12	that one, 46.05?	15:58:32
	13	A. Because, frankly, not	15:58:36
	14	everybody in CGI is categorized correctly	15:58:38
	15	and because there are a lot of people	15:58:41
	16	that are capable of performing a	15:58:44
	17	technical writer role that aren't	15:58:48
	18	classified as technical writers. Maybe	15:58:50
	19	they don't do it full time.	15:58:52
	20	A lot of people, you'll	15:58:54
	21	notice, are there in the application	15:58:56
	22	developer role and the business analyst	15:58:59
	23	role. Those roles include a lot of	15:59:01
	24	people that do a lot of things.	15:59:03
	25	Q. So what did you do to	15:59:08

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 181 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	determine that technical writer should	15:59:10
3	have a what's the first column? I	15:59:14
4	cannot read that.	15:59:16
5	A. That first column is base year	15:59:17
6	hourly the very first column on this	15:59:20
7	worksheet is just the labor category	15:59:22
8	name.	15:59:24
9	Q. I meant the first, the one you	15:59:24
10	mentioned, base year what?	15:59:26
11	A. Base year hourly cost.	15:59:28
12	Q. What is that?	15:59:31
13	A. That's the salary cost.	15:59:31
14	Q. What does base year mean?	15:59:35
15	A. It means in the first year	15:59:37
16	it means effective for the first 12	15:59:40
17	months of performance.	15:59:42
18	Q. And so that figure is 32.77?	15:59:46
19	A. Yes.	15:59:49
20	Q. So how did you come up with	15:59:49
21	that?	15:59:52
22	A. That is a blended average of	15:59:52
23	the business analyst 8, the business	15:59:54
24	analyst 9, and the business analyst 10	15:59:57
25	roles. It's a weighted average.	16:00:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 182 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		•
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So business analyst SME, 8, 9	16:00:04
3	and 10?	16:00:18
4	A. Yes.	16:00:19
5	Q. So technical writer, the	16:00:19
6	technical writer role had absolutely	16:00:21
7	nothing to do with it, you didn't use	16:00:23
8	that at all?	16:00:25
9	A. I did not.	16:00:25
10	Q. Why not?	16:00:26
11	A. Because, again, technical	16:00:26
12	writer has one person in it and is not	16:00:32
13	representative of the technical writer	16:00:35
14	skill set as a whole.	16:00:39
15	Q. So for subject matter expert,	16:00:40
16	which you knew to be Mr. Ashmore's	16:00:58
17	category, right?	16:01:01
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:01:02
19	form.	16:01:03
20	A. Yes.	16:01:05
21	Q. You came up with a 7290	16:01:06
22	figure, am I reading that right?	16:01:11
23	A. Yes.	16:01:13
24	Q. How did you come up with 7290?	16:01:13
25	A. I used a blending of business	16:01:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 183 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	analyst 14, 15 and 16.	16:01:18
3	Q. By the way, is there any	16:01:24
4	document that shows you're doing that?	16:01:27
5	Does this document show that you did	16:01:30
6	that?	16:01:31
7	A. Yes.	16:01:32
8	Q. Let's go back to technical	16:01:33
9	writer.	16:01:36
10	MR. HERBST: By the way, I	16:01:37
11	have to say that these categories	16:01:37
12	are so tiny to read it's almost,	16:01:40
13	it's really a hardship reading them	16:01:45
14	in this fashion. But you don't	16:01:48
15	have to comment further. If	16:01:51
16	there's a way to, you know, blow	16:01:54
17	this up so that we can read them a	16:01:56
18	little more.	16:01:58
19	Q. But you're able to read them,	16:01:59
20	so maybe it doesn't matter. So show me	16:02:00
21	how	16:02:04
22	MR. KLEIN: We have no problem	16:02:05
23	producing this document in a larger	16:02:06
24	format. How much, it's an Excel	16:02:08
25	spreadsheet, in order to get	16:02:11

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 184 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	everything on one page we needed to	16:02:13
3	shrink it down. If you were to	16:02:15
4	print it out on multiple pages it's	16:02:16
5	my understanding that you would	16:02:18
6	need to track from page to page to	16:02:20
7	page where the columns appear. So	16:02:23
8	though it's smaller, it is easier	16:02:24
9	to use when numbers are spread	16:02:26
10	apart.	16:02:29
11	MR. HERBST: I understand.	16:02:29
12	Q. What tells you what the	16:02:30
13	blended thing was?	16:02:41
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:02:43
15	form.	16:02:44
16	A. Just so it's a little easier	16:02:44
17	to follow. If I'm looking at project	16:02:47
18	administrator, which is the very first	16:02:49
19	row.	16:02:51
20	Q. Can you do it with subject	16:02:51
21	matter expert?	16:02:53
22	A. I can.	16:02:54
23	Q. Thank you.	16:02:54
24	A. So if I take subject matter	16:02:55
25	expert and I follow it across you'll see	16:02:57

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 185 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that there are percentages of 30 percent,	16:02:59
3	30 percent and 40 percent, and if you	16:03:01
4	follow those columns up you'll see that	16:03:04
5	the 30, 30 and 40 fall under business	16:03:06
6	analyst SME 14 and 15 and 16 column.	16:03:10
7	Q. Got you. How did you choose	16:03:13
8	to weight 16, the higher, highest level	16:03:16
9	salary at 40 percent as opposed to 30	16:03:22
10	percent for the others?	16:03:24
11	A. Used my professional	16:03:25
12	discretion to arrive at that combination.	16:03:29
13	Q. What elements, what factors	16:03:33
14	did you take into account to come to	16:03:37
15	exercise that discretion?	16:03:40
16	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:03:43
17	form.	16:03:44
18	A. I took into account the I	16:03:45
19	mean I can't speak to exactly all of the	16:03:46
20	factors that I took into account at the	16:03:48
21	time, but the types of factors I would	16:03:52
22	have taken into account were any	16:03:54
23	representative names. I would have taken	16:03:55
24	into account what we had bid for subject	16:03:57
25	matter expert on other similar deals. I	16:04:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 186 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	would have considered the overall salary	16:04:04
3	that that rate equated to. I would have	16:04:08
4	considered any other people other than	16:04:12
5	Mr. Ashmore who may have needed to fit	16:04:15
6	within the scope of that rate.	16:04:18
7	Q. What other people were there	16:04:20
8	besides Mr. Ashmore who would have to fit	16:04:25
9	within the scope of that rate?	16:04:27
10	A. I can't give you any specific	16:04:28
11	names. I don't know specific names.	16:04:30
12	Q. But you don't know that there	16:04:31
13	were any, do you?	16:04:33
14	A. I mean I know that there are	16:04:34
15	subject matter experts at CGI that could	16:04:37
16	have been consulted for this opportunity.	16:04:39
17	Did I know specific ones? No. But part	16:04:45
18	of my job is to know that in general at	16:04:47
19	CGI we have subject matter experts and	16:04:51
20	they make from this much dollars to that	16:04:53
21	many dollars and that's the kind of I	16:04:55
22	mean that's what I do. I can't explain	16:04:57
23	it to you I guess better than that.	16:05:03
24	Q. What do you mean by	16:05:04
25	representative names as a factor, what	16:05:05

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 187 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	does that mean?	16:05:07
3	A. Those would be the	16:05:08
4	representative names referenced in	16:05:08
5	Exhibit 18.	16:05:11
6	Q. Where are they referenced?	16:05:15
7	A. In the right-hand column.	16:05:16
8	Q. Okay, you mean these names.	16:05:19
9	But there's only one in other words,	16:05:20
10	you only considered Mr. Ashmore as a	16:05:22
11	representative name for subject matter	16:05:24
12	expert?	16:05:26
13	A. I consider him as an example.	16:05:26
14	Q. When you say representative	16:05:30
15	names, since you defined them as the	16:05:31
16	names in the right-hand column, he's the	16:05:35
17	only one for subject matter expert,	16:05:37
18	right?	16:05:39
19	A. I didn't define them. And	16:05:39
20	again, I know as part of my job that	16:05:41
21	there are more subject matter experts at	16:05:44
22	CGI than just Mr. Ashmore. He may have	16:05:47
23	been the only person we were specifically	16:05:50
24	considering at this time for this	16:05:54
25	opportunity, but we have more than him.	16:05:55

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 188 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. We what?	16:06:00
	3	A. We have more than him. And I	16:06:01
	4	can't create a rate it would be	16:06:04
	5	irresponsible for me to create a rate	16:06:07
	6	that represents a single person.	16:06:10
	7	Q. But you didn't know what	16:06:18
	8	category he fell, 14, 15 or 16?	16:06:20
	9	A. It was irrelevant.	16:06:22
	10	Q. I'm asking did you know which	16:06:24
	11	category he fell into?	16:06:27
	12	A. I may have looked at it, I may	16:06:28
	13	have not. I don't know. What I knew was	16:06:32
	14	that the government was looking for a	16:06:34
	15	subject matter expert rate and I knew	16:06:38
	16	what the description was that they	16:06:40
	17	looking for, and I knew based on that	16:06:43
	18	they were looking for a somewhat senior	16:06:45
	19	person that had subject matter expertise	16:06:48
	20	and that's how I created my mapping.	16:06:50
	21	Q. So because they were looking	16:06:53
	22	for a senior person you used 14, 15 and	16:06:54
	23	16?	16:06:57
	24	A. Yes.	16:06:57
	25	Q. And how senior a person were	16:06:57

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 189 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Г			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	they looking for?	16:07:00
	3	A. I don't recall specifically.	16:07:01
	4	Q. How senior are the people in	16:07:02
	5	12 and 13?	16:07:04
	6	A. It depends. A 12 could be	16:07:05
	7	anywhere from 5 to 20.	16:07:14
	8	Q. What about 13?	16:07:18
	9	A. Again, same answer. It might	16:07:19
	10	be 6 to 20. It's very there's not	16:07:20
	11	hard and fast guidelines to any of these.	16:07:23
	12	Q. What about 14, what's the	16:07:26
	13	range?	16:07:28
	14	A. I don't know. What I can tell	16:07:28
	15	you is that seven is more senior than	16:07:30
	16	six. But if you're asking me if there's	16:07:34
	17	specific years of experience that are	16:07:37
	18	associated, there's not.	16:07:38
	19	Q. Well but, you know, you said	16:07:41
	20	12 might be five to 20 and 13, 6 to 20?	16:07:45
	21	A. Yes, I'm sure you could find	16:07:48
	22	an example of both of those scenarios.	16:07:50
	23	Q. In terms of 16, what would	16:07:51
	24	they be?	16:07:55
	25	A. I don't know. More senior	16:07:55

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 190 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	than 12.	16:07:57
3	Q. How would we find out? How	16:07:57
4	would we how can we find out what the	16:08:00
5	ranges are, experience ranges of the	16:08:03
6	people in 14, 15 and 16?	16:08:06
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:08:10
8	form.	16:08:11
9	A. You would have to look at	16:08:11
10	everybody in each category and then	16:08:12
11	review their resumé to determine how much	16:08:15
12	years of experience they had.	16:08:17
13	Q. And where would we find that	16:08:18
14	information?	16:08:22
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:08:25
16	form.	16:08:26
17	A. I don't know. I don't know if	16:08:26
18	that information is even tracked. I mean	16:08:27
19	I know we have resumes for everyone and I	16:08:29
20	know everyone is in a role. But if	16:08:31
21	there's a tracking that says category 16	16:08:33
22	includes from this many years to this	16:08:40
23	many years, I don't know the answer to	16:08:42
24	that.	16:08:44
25	Q. But the roster that you were	16:08:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 191 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	talking about before, they would identify	16:08:46
	3	all the business analyst SMEs in 11, for	16:08:48
	4	example?	16:08:52
	5	A. Yes.	16:08:52
	6	Q. And you don't know how many	16:08:52
	7	people are in each one, or do you,	16:08:55
	8	approximately? Or can you estimate?	16:08:58
	9	A. I know, I mean well that	16:08:59
	10	answer is right here. Business analyst	16:09:01
	11	SME level 11, there's 97 of them.	16:09:04
	12	Q. I see. There's only one in	16:09:07
	13	16, there was only one in 16, right?	16:09:22
	14	A. Yes.	16:09:25
	15	Q. And there were four in 15,	16:09:25
	16	right?	16:09:37
	17	A. Yes.	16:09:37
	18	Q. So I'm still having trouble	16:09:37
	19	understanding the allocation of 30, 30	16:09:41
	20	and 40 percent. Did you take into	16:09:43
	21	account what the chances were of the one	16:09:46
	22	person in 16 working on the project	16:09:48
	23	versus the four in 15?	16:09:52
	24	A. Again, I used my professional	16:09:57
	25	discretion to come up with that blending	16:10:00

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 192 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	and I looked at	16:10:04
3		16:10:05
		16:10:06
4	A. I understand your question.	
5	Q. Can you answer my question	16:10:08
6	though?	16:10:09
7	A. Did I specifically say that,	16:10:09
8	gee, this person at business analyst SME	16:10:13
9	level 16 is only one person? No, I	16:10:16
10	didn't consider that because again, this	16:10:18
11	is a labor category and we may hire	16:10:20
12	someone tomorrow that's also a business	16:10:22
13	analyst SME 16. This person might quit.	16:10:24
14	I don't know.	16:10:27
15	Q. But did you consider the	16:10:28
16	probabilities	16:10:29
17	A. No.	16:10:31
18	Q of the one person in 16	16:10:31
19	working as the subject matter expert	16:10:35
20	versus the ones in 15, one of the four?	16:10:40
21	A. No.	16:10:42
22	MR. HERBST: Well 14, 15 and	16:10:43
23	16 are 12, 4 and 1, I'm going to	16:10:53
24	ask for the identification of	16:10:56
25	those. I guess if we get the	16:10:58

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 193 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	1436 171	7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	roster for all of these we'll be	16:10:59
3	able to identify who they are.	16:11:02
4	(Request made.)	16:11:02
5	Q. The roster tells you the	16:11:05
6	salary of each one?	16:11:07
7	A. Yes.	16:11:08
8	Q. Does it have the name?	16:11:08
9	A. Yes.	16:11:10
10	Q. What else?	16:11:10
11	A. Employee number.	16:11:10
12	Q. What else?	16:11:11
13	A. Location.	16:11:12
14	Q. What else?	16:11:13
15	A. I don't know. That might be	16:11:14
16	it. Whether they're exempt or not.	16:11:24
17	Q. I noticed that in Bryson 1 you	16:11:29
18	only used exempt employees as opposed to	16:11:33
19	nonexempt employees. Why is that?	16:11:37
20	A. Because the way that if you	16:11:39
21	read the document, you'll see that we	16:11:45
22	talk about 2200 hours as our full-time	16:11:49
23	labor accounting system, and that is	16:11:53
24	higher than the standard 2,080 work year.	16:11:56
25	We find that people that are exempt tend	16:12:00
		1

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 194 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	1.5 1.0 1.0
2	to work some amount of overtime and so we	16:12:13
3	use 2200 hours as a basis. People that	16:12:15
4	are not exempt would typically earn	16:12:19
5	overtime and so by combining, or by	16:12:22
6	keeping the two separate we do attribute	16:12:25
7	overtime to people that would be	16:12:30
8	reimbursed for overtime.	16:12:32
9	Q. So for senior subject matter	16:12:33
10	expert, that's one line down on Bryson 4,	16:12:44
11	right?	16:12:50
12	A. Yes.	16:12:50
13	Q. You picked \$110.14?	16:12:51
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:13:00
15	form. I don't think any numbers	16:13:04
16	were picked.	16:13:06
17	MR. HERBST: That's another	16:13:08
18	speaking objection. If we hear too	16:13:09
19	many more of those we're going to	16:13:12
20	ask for relief.	16:13:13
21	Q. You put a blended figure of	16:13:20
22	110.14 in there?	16:13:22
23	A. Yes.	16:13:24
24	Q. And you used 30 percent of	16:13:24
25	what?	16:13:32

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 195 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
-	WHILE DRIVING	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	1.5 1.0 0.0
2	A. Of a business delivery manager	16:13:33
3	33.	16:13:36
4	Q. Business delivery manager 33.	16:13:46
5	Okay. And?	16:13:49
6	A. 20 percent of functional	16:13:50
7	manager 16.	16:13:51
8	Q. Functional manager 16. And?	16:14:00
9	A. 20 percent of functional	16:14:09
10	manager 30.	16:14:10
11	Q. And?	16:14:18
12	A. And 30 percent of functional	16:14:18
13	manager 33.	16:14:22
14	Q. Now, how did you make those	16:14:23
15	selections?	16:14:25
16	A. Because the senior SME is more	16:14:26
17	senior than the regular SME and because	16:14:32
18	there aren't any, or there are not many	16:14:37
19	business analysts at that level. They	16:14:44
20	tend to move into manager roles. So I	16:14:46
21	selected from the business and functional	16:14:49
22	manager roles instead of the SME roles.	16:14:51
23	Q. Even though they're not SMEs	16:14:54
24	at all, senior or regular, right?	16:15:02
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:15:05

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 196 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	16:15:06
3	A. Just because they're not	16:15:06
4	classified as a SME role doesn't mean	16:15:09
5	that they are not subject matter experts.	16:15:13
6	Again, you can this classifies you as	16:15:15
7	one thing. I'm a SME, but I also, I do	16:15:19
8	lots of other things that you can qualify	16:15:23
9	me as. So to say that a business	16:15:27
10	delivery manager or a functional manager	16:15:29
11	is not a SME I don't think is a fair	16:15:32
12	statement.	16:15:35
13	Q. You're business analyst SME?	16:15:35
14	A. That's what I am, yes.	16:15:37
15	Q. What number are you?	16:15:38
16	A. I don't know.	16:15:39
17	Q. You don't know what number you	16:15:39
18	are?	16:15:41
19	A. I think I'm a 12. I'm not	16:15:41
20	certain.	16:15:42
21	Q. Is it your testimony that in	16:15:42
22	choosing the functional manager, how come	16:16:21
23	you didn't choose functional manager 34?	16:16:32
24	A. I don't know. When I was	16:16:41
25	looking again at the representative	16:16:46

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 197 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	people here and the types of rates that	16:16:48
3	we had bid for other senior subject	16:16:51
4	matter experts and the salary, that was	16:16:54
5	implied based on the rate that I came up	16:16:58
6	with, I elected not to use 34.	16:17:01
7	Q. Why is what I'm asking?	16:17:05
8	A. I just answered that.	16:17:08
9	Q. Do you remember why you didn't	16:17:11
10	use 34 specifically?	16:17:12
11	A. No, I don't remember why I	16:17:14
12	elected to not use 34 specifically.	16:17:17
13	Q. Did you look to find out who	16:17:19
14	functional manager 34 was?	16:17:23
15	A. No.	16:17:25
16	Q. How about manager 30, did you	16:17:25
17	look to see who that was?	16:17:27
18	A. No.	16:17:28
19	Q. Were you trained on how to do	16:17:28
20	this?	16:17:43
21	A. Yes.	16:17:43
22	Q. What training do you have for	16:17:43
23	this kind of exercise of professional	16:17:48
24	discretion?	16:17:50
25	A. I worked with the person who	16:17:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 198 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	did this before me, pricing manager and	16:17:53
3	pricing director.	16:17:57
4	Q. Are there any written training	16:17:58
5	materials that tell you how to exercise	16:18:00
6	your discretion?	16:18:02
7	A. No.	16:18:02
8	Q. None?	16:18:03
9	A. There was an estimating	16:18:07
10	methodology in place at the time and	16:18:08
11	again, a lot of the methodology is in	16:18:13
12	Bryson 1 about how we do what we do.	16:18:18
13	Q. Well you say there was an	16:18:21
14	estimating methodology at the time.	16:18:23
15	There was a specific discreet document?	16:18:24
16	A. There was a discreet document,	16:18:27
17	but what's in Bryson 1 is the majority of	16:18:29
18	that document.	16:18:33
19	MR. HERBST: I'll request	16:18:38
20	Q. What do you call that?	16:18:40
21	A. Estimating methodology manual.	16:18:41
22	MR. HERBST: I'm going to	16:18:46
23	request that.	16:18:47
24	(Request made.)	16:18:47
25	Q. That manual was current for	16:19:06

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 199 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	May 2010 at the time you did this?	16:19:08
	3	A. It wasn't current, but there	16:19:09
	4	wasn't a version superseding it.	16:19:11
	5	Q. Did you actually consult it?	16:19:15
	6	A. No.	16:19:16
	7	Q. Why, because you know it by	16:19:16
	8	heart?	16:19:18
	9	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:19:19
	10	Q. Why didn't you consult it?	16:19:20
	11	A. Because I know how to do this	16:19:22
	12	mapping and I didn't need to refer to it.	16:19:24
	13	Q. You said in addition to the	16:19:29
	14	representative names you also considered	16:19:30
	15	what we bid on other deals. Where did	16:19:32
	16	you go to get the information what you	16:19:34
	17	bid on other deals?	16:19:36
	18	A. Our proposal repository.	16:19:37
	19	Q. A proposal repository?	16:19:41
	20	A. Yes.	16:19:43
	21	Q. What documents did you look	16:19:43
	22	at, or what proposals did you look at in	16:19:44
	23	that repository to	16:19:47
	24	A. I don't remember specifics.	16:19:49
	25	Q. Well, did you identify them in	16:19:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 200 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

-		
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	some fashion at the time?	16:19:52
3	A. No.	16:19:53
4	Q. How many proposals are in that	16:19:54
5	repository?	16:19:59
6	A. I don't know, probably	16:20:00
7	hundreds if not thousands.	16:20:02
8	Q. So how could you even figure	16:20:03
9	out which proposals to consult in a	16:20:07
10	repository of hundreds of thousands?	16:20:12
11	A. I don't know.	16:20:15
12	Q. You have no recollection of	16:20:20
13	what you did on that score in terms of	16:20:21
14	what proposals you looked at, if any?	16:20:25
15	A. I don't know any specific	16:20:31
16	proposals. What I know is that I read	16:20:32
17	the labor category description, I read	16:20:35
18	the internal role description, I made a	16:20:37
19	match and I made a mapping and that's	16:20:40
20	what I did.	16:20:42
21	Q. When you say overall salary,	16:20:43
22	you're talking about Mr. Ashmore's	16:20:45
23	overall salary and the overall summary of	16:20:47
24	the people other than Mr. Ashmore, both?	16:20:49
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:20:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 201 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ſ			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	A. No. I'm talking about when I	16:20:53
	3	prepared this mapping, then it gives me a	16:20:55
	4	base year hourly rates and that times	16:20:57
	5	2200 hours gives me an implied salary.	16:21:03
	6	Q. Where's the implied salary?	16:21:12
	7	A. It's not calculated here.	16:21:14
	8	Q. So you took the base year and	16:21:15
	9	you multiplied that by?	16:21:17
	10	A. 2200.	16:21:18
	11	Q. Equals the implied salary. Is	16:21:19
	12	that implied salary on the rate card?	16:21:26
	13	A. No.	16:21:28
	14	Q. So what do you do with the	16:21:28
	15	implied salary?	16:21:30
	16	A. I just it's a datapoint	16:21:30
	17	that I used to look at. So again, when	16:21:33
	18	I'm reading the labor category	16:21:36
	19	description and I see project	16:21:38
	20	administrator, I understand what that is	16:21:39
	21	based on the description. I look at the	16:21:42
	22	implied salary and then I determine does	16:21:45
	23	that seem reasonable.	16:21:47
	24	Q. Let's take subject matter	16:21:54
	25	expert of 72.90. Then you have the next	16:21:56

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 202 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	1436 200	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	column is what, overhead?	16:21:59
3	A. Yes.	16:22:04
4	Q. What is that underneath, it	16:22:04
5	says overhead 81.11 percent?	16:22:06
6	A. Yes.	16:22:09
7	Q. And the next column is?	16:22:09
8	A. G&A.	16:22:10
9	Q. 33.34 percent?	16:22:13
10	A. Yes.	16:22:15
11	Q. And then fee?	16:22:15
12	A. Yes.	16:22:16
13	Q. Of?	16:22:16
14	A. 10 percent.	16:22:19
15	Q. And then total?	16:22:20
16	A. Yes.	16:22:21
17	Q. So am I correct that the	16:22:21
18	overhead column is 81.11 percent of the	16:22:23
19	first column, the base year column?	16:22:27
20	A. Yes.	16:22:29
21	Q. And then the G&A is 33.34	16:22:30
22	percent of the sum of columns of	16:22:35
23	columns 1 and 2?	16:22:41
24	A. Yes.	16:22:43
25	Q. And the fee of 10 percent is	16:22:43

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 203 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	MHILL TODOGOM	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	10 percent of the sum of columns 1, 2 and	16:22:46
3	3?	16:22:48
4	A. Yes.	16:22:48
5	Q. And that produces the total	16:22:52
6	rate of 193.66?	16:22:54
7	A. Yes.	16:22:56
8	Q. Okay. Now, you say on page 2	16:22:57
9	of Bryson 1 that the methodology of using	16:23:25
10	2200 hours is based on a full-time labor	16:23:31
11	accounting system, right?	16:23:35
12	A. Yes.	16:23:36
13	Q. What is your full-time labor	16:23:36
14	accounting system?	16:23:40
15	A. What that sentence is saying	16:23:40
16	is that we assume a certain amount of	16:23:45
17	overtime is worked by exempt personnel,	16:23:49
18	and so rather than dividing a salary by	16:23:53
19	2080 hours we divide it by 2200 hours to	16:23:58
20	account for the overtime that is assumed.	16:24:03
21	Q. So that includes 120 hours of	16:24:05
22	overtime assumed?	16:24:09
23	A. Yes.	16:24:09
24	Q. Where do you come up with that	16:24:13
25	figure? Is that an average?	16:24:15

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 204 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	16:24:19
3	Q. An average of what?	16:24:20
4	A. An average of a full time	16:24:23
5	exempt person over the course of 12	16:24:25
6	months.	16:24:28
7	Q. Full time exempt people don't	16:24:28
8	get overtime, right?	16:24:34
9	A. They still put those hours in	16:24:35
10	their time sheet.	16:24:37
11	Q. Everybody has a time sheet?	16:24:38
12	A. Yes.	16:24:40
13	Q. Every employee at CGI fills	16:24:40
14	out a time sheet?	16:24:43
15	A. Yes.	16:24:44
16	Q. For every hour they work?	16:24:44
17	A. That's our policy, yes.	16:24:46
18	Q. And so you actually calculate	16:24:47
19	what the average overtime hours are for	16:24:51
20	exempt employees even though you don't	16:24:54
21	pay them for it?	16:24:56
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:24:57
23	A. Yes, that analysis is	16:24:58
24	refreshed on a periodic basis, but yes.	16:25:00
25	Q. How often is it refreshed?	16:25:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 205 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. There's not a standard.	16:25:06
3	Q. But how often is it refreshed?	16:25:07
4	A. At this time it was refreshed	16:25:11
5	annually I would guess. But it wasn't	16:25:14
6	it wasn't on a schedule.	16:25:19
7	Q. What is DCAA office?	16:25:20
8	A. It's the government office	16:25:31
9	that reviews our submissions. It's the	16:25:33
10	Defense Contract Audit Agency and they	16:25:38
11	review our indirect rate submission.	16:25:41
12	Q. Is that in the Pentagon?	16:25:49
13	A. No.	16:25:51
14	Q. You said a defense contract.	16:25:52
15	A. Their address is located on	16:25:55
16	page 4. This particular gentleman was	16:25:57
17	located in Herndon, Virginia.	16:26:00
18	Q. Is that organization, that	16:26:08
19	agency typically audits Pentagon	16:26:10
20	contracts, that is Department of Defense	16:26:13
21	contracts?	16:26:15
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:26:15
23	form.	16:26:16
24	A. I don't know.	16:26:17
25	Q. Are people ever categorized in	16:26:17

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 206 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	more than one role at CGI, or you just	16:26:44
3	pick one?	16:26:47
4	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:26:49
5	A. People are classified into one	16:26:51
6	role in our HR system, yes.	16:26:53
7	Q. Not more than one, just one?	16:27:01
8	A. Not more than one in our HR	16:27:04
9	system.	16:27:07
10	Q. Does it ever change?	16:27:07
11	A. Yes.	16:27:08
12	Q. How often?	16:27:08
13	A. It's reviewed as part of your	16:27:09
14	annual review process, but if your role	16:27:11
15	substantively changes it could be changed	16:27:13
16	more often.	16:27:16
17	Q. So that's not really a	16:27:16
18	function of title, it's more a function	16:27:18
19	of what they actually do?	16:27:19
20	A. Yes.	16:27:20
21	Q. What their actual role is?	16:27:21
22	A. Yes.	16:27:22
23	Q. Is it fair to say that the	16:27:22
24	compensation is not necessarily a	16:27:24
25	function of title, if someone is more	16:27:25

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 207 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		16:27:28
2	senior they're going to earn more?	
3	MR. KLEIN: Objection to form.	16:27:30
4	Q. More senior in title?	16:27:32
5	A. Generally, yes.	16:27:34
6	Q. Generally yes what?	16:27:37
7	A. Can you repeat the question,	16:27:38
8	please.	16:27:42
9	Q. Is there a relationship	16:27:42
10	generally between how senior a title you	16:27:45
11	have and your compensation?	16:27:47
12	MR. KLEIN: Objection to form.	16:27:51
13	Q. Or is it mostly on role?	16:27:52
14	A. I would say in general, yes,	16:27:54
15	the more senior your title the higher	16:27:56
16	your compensation.	16:27:58
17	Q. What's more senior, manager or	16:27:59
18	director?	16:28:01
19	A. Director.	16:28:02
20	Q. So looking at 18, Mr.	16:28:02
21	Kyprianou's position was what?	16:28:07
22	A. I don't know.	16:28:09
23	Q. Mr. Ashbrook, was he a	16:28:12
24	director or a manager?	16:28:14
25	A. Well I know that in his	16:28:15

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 208 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	signature it says manager, so I'm going	16:28:17
3	to assume that he's a manager.	16:28:19
4	Q. Mr. Ashmore was what, a	16:28:21
5	director	16:28:22
6	MR. KLEIN: I think you said	16:28:23
7	Ashbrook or Ashmore.	16:28:25
8	Q. I'm sorry, Ashmore you said is	16:28:27
9	a manager. What about Ashbrook?	16:28:29
10	A. I don't know off the top of my	16:28:32
11	head.	16:28:33
12	Q. Judging from the numbers,	16:28:34
13	Ashbrook's number is 155.79 and Ashmore	16:28:37
14	is 193.66, who was making more money?	16:28:42
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:28:45
16	form.	16:28:46
17	A. I don't think you can draw a	16:28:46
18	correlation between the bill rate and	16:28:47
19	their cost rate.	16:28:50
20	Q. I want you to assume that	16:28:52
21	Ashbrook was a director, higher than a	16:28:56
22	manager. Would you normally expect his	16:28:59
23	salary to be higher than Mr. Ashmore's?	16:29:01
24	MR. KLEIN: Objection to form.	16:29:05
25	A. I would expect a director's	16:29:06

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 209 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	salary to be higher than a manager's	16:29:08
3	salary, yes, generally speaking.	16:29:10
4	Q. How typical was it that a	16:29:12
5	like in 18 you have 155.79 for the	16:30:28
6	position Mr. Ashbrook was going to fill,	16:30:33
7	and 193.66 for the position Mr. Ashmore	16:30:36
8	was going to fill. How often did it	16:30:40
9	happen that, and again assuming Ashbrook	16:30:42
10	is a director, I know you didn't know	16:30:48
11	that, how often did it turn out that the	16:30:50
12	manager's billing rate turned out to be,	16:30:55
13	you know, 40 bucks higher than the	16:30:57
14	directors?	16:31:02
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:31:03
16	form.	16:31:04
17	A. I would say it's not unusual.	16:31:04
18	It's really a function of what that	16:31:06
19	person is doing.	16:31:08
20	Q. Do you know what Mr. Ashbrook	16:31:09
21	was doing?	16:31:11
22	A. He was more technical in	16:31:11
23	nature. It looks like he was being	16:31:13
24	billed as a team lead of some sort, an IT	16:31:15
25	team lead.	16:31:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 210 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 208

ı			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. So the direct labor role rate	16:31:20
	3	that goes into this, this contractor's	16:32:03
	4	site rate of 193.66 for subject matter	16:32:10
	5	expert, the direct labor role rate is	16:32:14
	6	just a straight average of everybody in	16:32:19
	7	that, in that role level?	16:32:21
	8	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:32:27
	9	form.	16:32:28
	10	A. The direct labor cost for the	16:32:28
	11	subject matter expert rate of 193.66 is	16:32:30
	12	72.90. And that is a weighted average of	16:32:36
	13	the people in the business analyst SME	16:32:40
	14	role, 14, 15 and 16 and is a weighted	16:32:43
	15	average of everyone in those categories	16:32:48
	16	according to the 30, 30, 40 ratio.	16:32:50
	17	Q. Okay. As opposed to a	16:32:52
	18	straight average which I mean when you	16:32:54
	19	describe it	16:32:57
	20	A. Well the straight average	16:32:58
	21	comes in that, business analyst 14 SME	16:32:59
	22	has a straight average of \$66.52. So	16:33:04
	23	once we arrive	16:33:08
	24	Q. Where do you get that?	16:33:09
	25	A. It's in both Bryson 2 and	16:33:11

1-800-325-3376

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 211 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Bryson 4. So if you look at the business	16:33:14
3	analyst SME there's 12 people in that	16:33:17
4	category, they have a straight average	16:33:20
5	salary of \$146,333, or \$66.52 per hour.	16:33:22
6	So that is a straight average component.	16:33:31
7	Once we have that straight average then	16:33:33
8	we do a weighted average based on	16:33:35
9	whatever mapping that was appropriate.	16:33:38
10	Q. Got it. Then we get to the	16:33:39
11	overhead column, right?	16:33:43
12	A. Yes.	16:33:44
13	Q. What steps do you take looking	16:33:45
14	at 18 and Mr. Ashbrook's hourly rate of	16:34:23
15	155.79, what steps do you take to make	16:34:29
16	sure that his own personal hourly cost to	16:34:33
17	the company is less than the billing	16:34:39
18	rate?	16:34:45
19	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:34:46
20	form.	16:34:48
21	A. That's why I would look at	16:34:48
22	salaries generally to make sure that the	16:34:50
23	rate that I determined covers the types	16:34:53
24	of people that we're looking at. But I	16:34:56
25	don't base the rate on that. That's also	16:34:59

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 212 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	why we do exercises like what's on the	16:35:02
3	last page in this. This is kind of a	16:35:04
4	real world scenario, if you will, of the	16:35:10
5	types of people, the names of people who	16:35:12
6	we would expect to staff and what that	16:35:15
7	real world scenario might look like.	16:35:19
8	Q. So take Ashbrook here on page	16:35:21
9	3 of Plaintiff's Exhibit 18. What's the	16:35:25
10	figure that is multiplied by 15.12 to get	16:35:30
11	to 235.54?	16:35:34
12	A. Again, I don't I'm assuming	16:35:37
13	it was the contractor site rate. I'm not	16:35:39
14	certain.	16:35:42
15	Q. But my question is how do you	16:35:42
16	know that Mr. Ashbrook isn't being paid	16:35:45
17	more than the base rate for his category	16:35:50
18	which is 58.65?	16:35:59
19	A. You're asking how I know that.	16:36:08
20	I know that because I have access to the	16:36:10
21	salary information and I can do the	16:36:12
22	calculation. But I would also say that	16:36:13
23	we don't always make money on these	16:36:17
24	rates. We may elect to lose money on an	16:36:20
25	individual if we can make it up in the	16:36:24

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 213 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	overall.	16:36:26
3	Q. But that would have to be a	16:36:27
		16:36:29
4	deliberate decision by you and the	
5	others, you'd have to bring that to their	16:36:32
6	attention, right?	16:36:34
7	A. Yes.	16:36:35
8	Q. And the only way you would	16:36:35
9	bring that to their attention is by	16:36:36
10	looking at the actual salary of Mr.	16:36:38
11	Ashbrook?	16:36:40
12	A. Yes.	16:36:40
13	Q. And saying you know, this	16:36:40
14	billing rate is lower than the rate by	16:36:41
15	figuring in his salary and then his	16:36:44
16	fringes and then the overhead and so	16:36:46
17	forth, right?	16:36:48
18	A. That would cause a red flag,	16:36:48
19	yes.	16:36:50
20	Q. And how do you document the	16:36:51
21	red flags?	16:36:53
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:36:55
23	form.	16:36:57
24	A. Generally through email.	16:36:57
25	Q. So your emails on this will	16:36:58

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 214 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	tell us whether there was any red flags	16:37:01
3	and whether any adjustments were made,	16:37:04
4	right?	16:37:10
5	A. Presumably.	16:37:10
6	Q. Now, let's take overhead.	16:37:11
7	What items are included in overhead?	16:37:31
8	MR. KLEIN: Objection to the	16:37:39
9	form.	16:37:49
10	Q. Well before we do that, let me	16:37:49
11	ask you, just in terms of the overall	16:37:52
12	billing rate here in the second page of	16:37:54
13	18, now that rate includes base salary,	16:37:55
14	right?	16:38:03
15	A. Yes.	16:38:03
16	Q. Does it include profit	16:38:04
17	participation?	16:38:08
18	A. Yes.	16:38:09
19	Q. Does it include the different	16:38:09
20	kinds of bonuses that you mentioned	16:38:14
21	earlier in your testimony?	16:38:15
22	A. Yes.	16:38:16
23	Q. And what kind of bonuses would	16:38:16
24	those be?	16:38:19
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:38:21

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 215 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	16:38:23
3	A. It would be the types that we	16:38:23
4	discussed earlier. It could include	16:38:24
5	retention, it could include relocation.	16:38:27
6	But, you know, the fringes and overhead	16:38:30
7	is calculated on an aggregate level, so	16:38:33
8	even though those types of bonuses would	16:38:36
9	be included, it doesn't mean that anyone	16:38:38
10	is necessarily entitled to them.	16:38:41
11	Q. I understand that. But	16:38:43
12	somehow the system makes provision for	16:38:48
13	including bonuses of various kinds,	16:38:50
14	right?	16:38:52
15	A. Yes.	16:38:52
16	Q. And I think you mentioned	16:38:53
17	retention, relocation, signing bonus.	16:38:56
18	Any other bonuses?	16:38:58
19	A. I'm sure there are, but I	16:38:59
20	don't I don't know of an exhaustive	16:39:01
21	list.	16:39:03
22	Q. What document or documents	16:39:04
23	could we consult in order to determine a	16:39:06
24	comprehensive list of bonuses?	16:39:08
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:39:12

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 216 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		=
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	16:39:13
3	A. I don't know. You'd have to	16:39:13
4	ask finance that.	16:39:14
5	Q. Is that something Mr. Pfost	16:39:16
6	would know, the controller?	16:39:19
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:39:21
8	form.	16:39:22
9	A. If he didn't know the answer	16:39:22
10	I'm sure he could point you in the right	16:39:24
11	direction.	16:39:27
12	Q. Do you know of any document	16:39:27
13	generated by the company, either in its	16:39:29
14	HR department or anywhere else in the	16:39:31
15	company, of the different kinds of	16:39:34
16	bonuses available?	16:39:36
17	A. No, not that would include a	16:39:36
18	comprehensive list and descriptions or	16:39:39
19	when they would be appropriate, no, I'm	16:39:43
20	not aware of the document that does that.	16:39:44
21	Q. How about stock options, are	16:39:46
22	they included in this contractor site	16:39:48
23	rate?	16:39:52
24	A. Again, I'm not certain where	16:39:52
25	stock options are accounted for.	16:39:54

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 217 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. Regardless of whether they're	16:39:56
	3	in overhead or general and administrative	16:39:59
	4	would they be included?	16:40:03
	5	A. In some form or fashion, yes,	16:40:05
	6	they would be included.	16:40:07
	7	Q. The different possibilities	16:40:08
	8	would be what?	16:40:12
	9	A. There are some things that we	16:40:13
	10	account for in our indirect rates and	16:40:16
	11	then there are other things that are paid	16:40:19
	12	for at the corporate level, so we pay a	16:40:21
	13	tax up to corporate and they pay those	16:40:24
	14	things.	16:40:27
	15	Q. How did that corporate tax get	16:40:27
	16	reflected in these?	16:40:30
	17	A. It's in one of the buckets.	16:40:31
	18	I'm not sure exactly which bucket it's	16:40:34
	19	in.	16:40:37
	20	Q. How about commissions?	16:40:37
	21	A. Again, it's in there	16:40:38
	22	somewhere. It's probably in sales.	16:40:40
	23	Q. Sales or fringe, right?	16:40:41
	24	A. Yes.	16:40:49
	25	Q. You testified to that last	16:40:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 218 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	time, right?	16:40:51
3	A. Yes.	16:40:52
4	Q. Deferred compensation?	16:40:52
5	A. Again, I'm sure it's in there	16:40:56
6	somewhere. Where it lives exactly, I	16:40:59
7	don't know.	16:41:00
8	Q. Vacation pay?	16:41:00
9	A. That would be in fringe.	16:41:02
10	Q. Holiday pay?	16:41:06
11	A. Fringe.	16:41:07
12	Q. Sick pay?	16:41:08
13	A. Fringe.	16:41:08
14	Q. Overtime pay?	16:41:09
15	A. That's, overtime pay would not	16:41:10
16	be generally in our indirect rates. That	16:41:13
17	would be a direct rate, a direct labor	16:41:17
18	rate. It would depend on the role of	16:41:20
19	that person though.	16:41:29
20	Q. As to whether it would be an	16:41:29
21	indirect or direct?	16:41:32
22	A. Yes.	16:41:34
23	Q. Would that be depending	16:41:34
24	whether he's exempt or nonexempt?	16:41:39
25	A. Possibly, but not	16:41:41

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 219 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Γ			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	specifically. It would depend on the	16:41:43
	3	type of work they were doing, if they	16:41:44
	4	were doing billable work versus internal	16:41:46
	5	work.	16:41:48
	6	Q. Shift premium pay?	16:41:49
	7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:41:52
	8	form.	16:41:53
	9	A. Again, that's probably more	16:41:53
	10	likely than not that's probably billable,	16:41:57
	11	so that wouldn't be in our indirect rate.	16:41:59
	12	Q. You said that would not be in	16:42:02
	13	your direct rate?	16:42:08
	14	A. I said more likely than not	16:42:10
	15	that's billable work so it would probably	16:42:12
	16	not be in our indirect rate.	16:42:14
	17	Q. It wouldn't be in direct	16:42:16
	18	either?	16:42:19
	19	A. It would be	16:42:19
	20	Q. It would be in direct?	16:42:20
	21	A. Well, if they received	16:42:21
	22	compensation then it would be in direct.	16:42:23
	23	Q. It would be in direct?	16:42:25
	24	A. Yes, it would be in our direct	16:42:26
	25	compensation.	16:42:28

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 220 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 218

			_
_		WHILLY I DDWGON	
1		KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q.	Thank you. I just want to	16:42:28
3	make sure	we got it right. Pension	16:42:30
4	costs?		16:42:32
5	Α.	Again, I'm sure they're	16:42:35
6	included.	I don't know where.	16:42:37
7	Q.	Post-retirement benefits other	16:42:38
8	than pensi	ons?	16:42:41
9	Α.	The same.	16:42:42
10	Q.	Severance pay?	16:42:42
11	A.	The same.	16:42:44
12	Q.	Health insurance?	16:42:45
13	Α.	I assume that's in fringe.	16:42:46
14	Q.	Life insurance?	16:42:49
15	A.	Fringe.	16:42:50
16	Q.	Other deferred compensation?	16:42:50
17	Α.	I'm not sure.	16:42:52
18	Q.	Training?	16:42:53
19	Α.	That could be in a number of	16:42:56
20	different	areas.	16:42:59
21	Q.	Fringe benefit without any	16:43:00
22	bonus?		16:43:10
23		MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:43:12
24	form.		16:43:13
25	A.	I'm not sure exactly what that	16:43:13
			•

Merrill Corporation - New York

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 221 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	means, but I would assume that lives in	16:43:15
3	fringe.	16:43:18
4	Q. Fringe benefit with bonus?	16:43:18
5	A. Same answer.	16:43:21
6	Q. Profit?	16:43:22
7	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:43:25
8	form.	16:43:26
9	A. I don't know what that means.	16:43:26
10	Q. Well I'm assuming that in this	16:43:27
11	contractor site rate that it would	16:43:33
12	include a 10 percent fee for profit,	16:43:35
13	right?	16:43:37
14	A. I thought you were asking	16:43:37
15	those questions in terms of where they	16:43:38
16	live in our indirect rates. So profit	16:43:40
17	wouldn't live there. But yes, profit is	16:43:42
18	included in these rates.	16:43:44
19	Q. All of the things I mentioned	16:43:45
20	are somehow included in these rates,	16:43:47
21	right?	16:43:50
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:43:50
23	form.	16:43:51
24	A. Yes. These are fully loaded	16:43:51
25	rates. They include all of the things	16:43:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 222 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 220

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	that we provide to our employees.	16:43:55
3	Q. And general and administrative	16:43:56
4	expenses, right?	16:43:59
5	A. Yes.	16:44:00
6	Q. And overhead?	16:44:00
7	A. Yes.	16:44:01
8	Q. So let's now talk about	16:44:03
9	overhead. What items are included	16:44:05
10	generally in overhead?	16:44:11
11	THE WITNESS: Do you have that	16:44:15
12	document?	16:44:16
13	MR. KLEIN: They have it.	16:44:18
14	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	16:44:22
15	this as Bryson Exhibit 3 and 5.	16:44:23
16	(Bryson Exhibit 3 for	16:44:26
17	identification, Bates stamped	
18	CGI_ASHMORE 2015431 through	
19	2015433.)	
20	(Bryson Exhibit 5 for	
21	identification, Bates stamped	
22	CGI_ASHMORE 2015429 through	
23	2015430.)	16:44:32
24	Q. Let me know if Bryson 5 and	16:44:32
25	Bryson 3 are actually part of the same	16:44:35

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 223 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Γ			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	document?	16:44:38
	3	MR. KLEIN: They're separate.	16:44:39
	4	Q. So here's 5 and 3. Take a	16:44:52
	5	look at them. The first thing I want to	16:44:56
	6	ask you is is three supposed to be a part	16:45:01
	7	of five or is it a separate document?	16:45:03
	8	A. Three is a separate document,	16:45:05
	9	but it's supplemental to five. Five is	16:45:07
	10	the cover memo or letter that accompanies	16:45:13
	11	the detail which is included in three.	16:45:15
	12	Q. So these two are separate but	16:45:38
	13	they sort of go together?	16:45:40
	14	A. For our submission they would	16:45:42
	15	go together, but they don't I mean	16:45:47
	16	five without three is not very helpful.	16:45:49
	17	But three can stand on its own.	16:45:52
	18	Q. Are both five and three, do	16:45:54
	19	they relate to overhead and G&A?	16:46:00
	20	A. Yes.	16:46:00
	21	Q. So tell me what is, what items	16:46:14
	22	are included in overhead?	16:46:16
	23	A. In overhead we have the major	16:46:22
	24	components of	16:46:28
	25	Q. Where are you looking?	16:46:31

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 224 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	WELLY I DDYCON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	16.46.20
2	A. I'm looking on Bryson 3.	16:46:32
3	Q. Yes.	16:46:35
4	A. We have a segment overhead,	16:46:35
5	fringe expenses, computer equipment and	16:46:37
6	infrastructure, facility, and then home	16:46:39
7	office.	16:46:41
8	Q. Now, those are the items of	16:46:42
9	overhead?	16:46:46
10	A. Yes.	16:46:47
11	Q. What is a segmented overhead	16:46:47
12	pool, or segment overhead pool?	16:46:53
13	A. I can't speak specifically to	16:46:57
14	what's included in that \$17 million. I'm	16:47:12
15	not sure. I don't calculate these rates.	16:47:19
16	Q. Who does?	16:47:21
17	A. That's the role of our	16:47:21
18	compliance and finance departments. It's	16:47:25
19	kind of a joint, a shared role. Finance	16:47:27
20	is involved in calculating it, but it	16:47:31
21	ultimately goes through compliance.	16:47:33
22	Q. Well, there's a total of those	16:47:39
23	five items and it's called pool?	16:47:48
24	A. Yes.	16:47:50
25	Q. So what is pool?	16:47:50

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 225 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ľ			7
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	A. That is the total amount of	16:47:53
	3	overhead expense that we are estimating	16:47:55
	4	to incur for the year and that pool is	16:47:58
	5	divided by the amount of labor that we	16:48:01
	6	expect to incur over the year.	16:48:04
	7	Q. So the 72 million, that's the	16:48:07
	8	overhead that CGI Federal is expected to	16:48:11
	9	incur?	16:48:16
	10	A. CGI Federal ISIT.	16:48:17
	11	Q. And then CGI Federal BPS is	16:48:22
	12	expected to incur 15 million?	16:48:25
	13	A. Yes.	16:48:27
	14	Q. So they're treated separately?	16:48:28
	15	A. Yes.	16:48:28
	16	Q. Is every HUD opportunity	16:48:57
	17	calculated by ISIT?	16:48:59
	18	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:49:01
	19	A. I would I mean I can't	16:49:03
	20	speak to every HUD opportunity. I don't	16:49:04
	21	work on every HUD opportunity. I would	16:49:07
	22	say the majority of ones where this is	16:49:09
	23	relevant would be, would fall under ISIT.	16:49:12
	24	Q. What do you mean the majority	16:49:18
	25	which would be relevant?	16:49:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 226 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. This rate calculation is very	16:49:21
3	specific to federal government work and	16:49:26
4	since a majority of the BPS work is not	16:49:28
5	Federal work they wouldn't use these	16:49:33
6	items in their rate calculations.	16:49:36
7	They're more commercial in nature. They	16:49:41
8	would use a different methodology.	16:49:43
9	Q. Are you saying that some BPS	16:49:44
10	contracts involve Federal agencies?	16:49:48
11	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:49:52
12	A. It's possible. I don't know.	16:49:54
13	I can't speak to every contract.	16:49:55
14	Q. Who could?	16:49:57
15	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:49:59
16	A. I don't know that anyone could	16:50:00
17	speak to every contract.	16:50:02
18	Q. I'm trying to understand how	16:50:03
19	the company distinguishes between when it	16:50:04
20	uses the ISIT and when it uses the BPS	16:50:07
21	schedules?	16:50:10
22	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:50:16
23	form.	16:50:17
24	A. We use the ISIT indirect rates	16:50:17
25	when the scope of work is such that we	16:50:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 227 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	are doing ISIT type work with a federal	16:50:22
3	agency. If we were doing BPS, something	16:50:26
4	purely BPS type work at a federal agency,	16:50:31
5	then we would likely use the BPS rate.	16:50:35
6	If that ever happened, I don't know the	16:50:38
7	answer to that.	16:50:40
8	Q. Let me ask you something.	16:50:41
9	Look at Bryson 5. What is that document?	16:51:54
10	It's a letter, but what	16:51:57
11	A. Yes. This is a letter that we	16:51:59
12	submit to CMS each year to review our	16:52:03
13	rates. If you look at, if I could refer	16:52:13
14	you back to Bryson 1, page 4, it talks	16:52:17
15	about DCAA contact information.	16:52:21
16	Q. One minute. I'll get Bryson	16:52:26
17	1. Page 4. Yes.	16:52:30
18	A. And so that sentence up at the	16:52:34
19	top, CGI's administrative contracting	16:52:36
20	office is the Centers for Medicare and	16:52:40
21	Medicaid Services since the preponderance	16:52:42
22	of our cost-reimbursable contracts are	16:52:44
23	with CMS. And so each year we submit our	16:52:44
24	submission not only to DCAA but also to	16:52:48
25	CMS for their review and approval.	16:52:52

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 228 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	WHITE I DOUGON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	16:50:54
2	Q. How come nothing goes to HUD	16:52:54
3	for their approval?	16:52:56
4	A. Because we have minimal, if	16:52:57
5	any, cost plus work with them. I mean I	16:53:00
6	don't know the exact reason. I'm not	16:53:03
7	involved in that decision.	16:53:05
8	Q. But you do have time and	16:53:07
9	materials work with them, right?	16:53:08
10	A. Possibly.	16:53:10
11	Q. Why wouldn't you periodically	16:53:11
12	submit your rates to the HUD?	16:53:15
13	A. Because cost reimbursable	16:53:18
14	rates are used for cost reimbursable	16:53:21
15	work.	16:53:24
16	Q. Which rates?	16:53:24
17	A. The indirect rates are used	16:53:25
18	for cost reimbursable work, not for T&M	16:53:27
19	work.	16:53:32
20	Q. How is that decided?	16:53:33
21	A. By virtue of the contract	16:53:35
22	type. The only time that we use indirect	16:53:39
23	rates for T&M work is if the first time	16:53:41
24	we are creating a rate.	16:53:47
25	Q. So you said that this, Bryson	16:53:48

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 229 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

I			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	5 is a, these two pages is a letter from	16:54:03
	3	CGI to CMS, but in fact isn't it a letter	16:54:10
	4	from CMS to CGI?	16:54:14
	5	A. Oh, is it? You're correct.	16:54:16
	6	It's in response to a letter that we	16:54:17
	7	provided to them.	16:54:19
	8	Q. Where is that letter?	16:54:21
	9	A. I don't know if it's I	16:54:21
	10	don't have it.	16:54:25
	11	Q. Have you reviewed it in	16:54:27
	12	preparation for your testimony?	16:54:29
	13	A. No.	16:54:29
	14	Q. Why not?	16:54:32
	15	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	16:54:34
	16	A. I'm not sure that it was	16:54:35
	17	relevant.	16:54:41
	18	MR. HERBST: We'll request	16:54:42
	19	that letter.	16:54:43
	20	(Request made.)	16:54:43
	21	Q. Okay. Now the letter says CMS	16:54:57
	22	has established the following provisional	16:55:03
	23	rates for your use, right?	16:55:05
	24	A. Yes.	16:55:07
	25	Q. It has the overhead with the	16:55:07

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 230 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		7
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	81 percent rate in there?	16:55:09
3	A. Yes.	16:55:10
4	Q. And it tells you what should	16:55:11
5	be in it, right, direct labor, B&P labor,	16:55:12
6	IR&D labor?	16:55:16
7	A. No, the allocation base is	16:55:18
8	what the 81 percent is allocated against,	16:55:20
9	not what should be in it, not the	16:55:25
10	components of it.	16:55:29
11	Q. So when you say allocated	16:55:29
12	against, what do you mean?	16:55:31
13	A. So when we're building our	16:55:33
14	rate, for example, we take 81.11 percent	16:55:36
15	of direct labor or salary cost to	16:55:39
16	determine the appropriate amount of	16:55:41
17	overhead.	16:55:42
18	Q. And you take 81 percent of B&P	16:55:43
19	labor too?	16:55:48
20	A. Yes. It's what's listed back	16:55:49
21	on Bryson 3. You'll see after the pool	16:55:51
22	amount of 72 million you'll see the base	16:55:55
23	and the base includes direct labor, bid	16:55:58
24	and proposal labor and IR&D labor.	16:56:01
25	Q. B&P means bid and proposal?	16:56:06

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 231 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	16:56:11
3	Q. What's IR&D? Independent	16:56:12
4	research and development?	16:56:17
5	A. Yes.	16:56:18
6	Q. Then there's a paragraph below	16:56:19
7	that says "Although the intent of the	16:56:26
8	above rates is directly related to	16:56:28
9	provisional billing rates they may also	16:56:30
10	be utilized for proposal bidding	16:56:32
11	purposes," right?	16:56:34
12	A. Yes.	16:56:35
13	Q. And then it says "However,	16:56:36
14	prior to their utilization, you must take	16:56:37
15	into account the specific proposal and	16:56:38
16	its magnitude to determine if the rates	16:56:40
17	shown above are applicable."	16:56:44
18	Is the fact that this proposal	16:56:45
19	that we've been discussing in Plaintiff's	16:56:51
20	18 was a okay, never mind. Withdrawn.	16:56:54
21	Okay, so you're not sure what	16:57:11
22	segment overhead pool includes?	16:57:17
23	A. Yes, I can't speak to	16:57:19
24	specifically what that includes.	16:57:21
25	Q. So it may include some of the	16:57:21

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 232 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	items that I asked you about when we went	16:57:28
3	through that long list?	16:57:30
4	A. It may.	16:57:31
5	Q. Who would know what is	16:57:32
6	included in that?	16:57:36
7	A. You could start with Scott.	16:57:37
8	Q. Scott Pfost?	16:57:41
9	A. You could start with him. I'm	16:57:42
10	sure he would direct you to someone else,	16:57:43
11	but.	16:57:45
12	Q. And fringe expense, 37117523,	16:57:54
13	what's included in that?	16:57:59
14	A. Again, that's a majority of	16:58:01
15	that is going to be holiday, vacation,	16:58:04
16	insurance, profit participation. I don't	16:58:08
17	know every single line item in there, but	16:58:14
18	those are the larger buckets.	16:58:16
19	Q. Would it include the bonus	16:58:19
20	items you mentioned also?	16:58:22
21	A. I'm sure it would include some	16:58:24
22	of them, yes.	16:58:25
23	Q. What's computer equipment/	16:58:26
24	infrastructure?	16:58:39
25	A. That's going to be software	16:58:40

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 233 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

-		=
1	WHILE DRIVES	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	licensing, laptops, things of that	16:58:42
3	nature.	16:58:45
4	Q. What's infrastructure?	16:58:45
5	A. Again, IT infrastructure. It	16:58:46
6	could be telephony systems, that kind of	16:58:48
7	thing.	16:58:52
8	Q. And facility expense, is that	16:58:57
9	the real estate component you talked	16:58:59
10	about before?	16:59:00
11	A. Predominantly, yes.	16:59:01
12	Q. What else besides real estate	16:59:02
13	is in there?	16:59:04
14	A. It may include things like	16:59:05
15	copiers, service contracts, cleaners.	16:59:06
16	Q. What's home office overhead?	16:59:12
17	A. That's overhead that is I	16:59:14
18	assume that's overhead that accounts for	16:59:19
19	the home office segment, but I'm not	16:59:21
20	certain.	16:59:23
21	Q. Home office meaning?	16:59:23
22	A. The layer that sits, the tiny	16:59:26
23	layer that sits on top of ISIT and BPS.	16:59:29
24	Q. Who sits up there?	16:59:33
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	16:59:34

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 234 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	16:59:35
3	A. The people that aren't	16:59:36
4	directly allocated to ISIT or BPS.	16:59:38
5	Q. You mean like the president of	16:59:41
6	the company, people like that?	16:59:43
7	A. It could be the president, it	16:59:44
8	could be the group controller, maybe some	16:59:45
9	HR folks. I don't know who specifically	16:59:48
10	sits up there.	16:59:52
11	Q. And then base, that includes	16:59:52
12	the direct labor which you already	16:59:59
13	described?	17:00:01
14	A. Yes.	17:00:02
15	Q. And what's bid and proposal	17:00:02
16	labor?	17:00:05
17	A. It's labor spent on bid and	17:00:05
18	proposal activities.	17:00:09
19	Q. And is that calculated the	17:00:10
20	same way as direct labor?	17:00:14
21	A. It should be salary costs, so	17:00:15
22	yes.	17:00:18
23	Q. Just salary?	17:00:18
24	A. I believe so.	17:00:20
25	Q. Independent research and	17:00:20

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 235 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	development labor is also just salary?	17:00:23
3	A. I believe so.	17:00:25
4	Q. What's unallowable labor?	17:00:26
5	A. Labor that has been determined	17:00:28
6	is unallowable by the government. It	17:00:31
7	could be labor that we've elected not to	17:00:35
8	bill, labor performing unallowable	17:00:39
9	activities.	17:00:43
10	Q. So that's overhead for which	17:00:44
11	81 percent is allowed, right?	17:00:48
12	A. Yes.	17:00:50
13	Q. And then you have G&A, general	17:00:51
14	and administrative expense, right?	17:00:56
15	A. Sorry, I would like to	17:00:58
16	clarify. You said 81 percent is allowed,	17:00:59
17	I'm not sure. To clarify, 81 percent is	17:01:02
18	calculated by taking the 72 million	17:01:06
19	divided by the 89 million. It's not that	17:01:08
20	we came up with a hundred percent of	17:01:11
21	overhead expenses and only 81 percent was	17:01:13
22	allowed. It's that 81 percent is the	17:01:16
23	appropriate amount to add based on our	17:01:22
24	overhead costs and our estimated forecast	17:01:25
25	labor expense.	17:01:31

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 236 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So you're saying 81 percent is	17:01:32
3	the 72507 figure divided by the 89397	17:01:35
4	figure?	17:01:39
5	A. Yes.	17:01:39
6	Q. And why, why do you divide	17:01:40
7	that overhead by essentially the direct	17:01:46
8	labor costs? Why do you do that, to come	17:01:49
9	up with 81 percent?	17:01:55
10	A. Because the rates are intended	17:01:56
11	to cover our costs. So when we have an	17:01:58
12	estimate of salary costs and we have an	17:02:02
13	estimate of how much overhead is	17:02:05
14	allocable to those salary costs and that	17:02:08
15	lets us do the math to calculate how much	17:02:12
16	we need to recover on each billable	17:02:14
17	dollar. In this scenario each dollar	17:02:17
18	brings with it approximately 81.11, or I	17:02:22
19	guess 81 cents of overhead expense.	17:02:27
20	Q. Going back to 18, if you look	17:02:54
21	at page okay, I'm sorry, never mind.	17:03:09
22	Well, you see this, on the top of page 3	17:03:18
23	it says government site rates are	17:03:23
24	calculated using 15 percent discount from	17:03:25
25	ISIT overhead?	17:03:27

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 237 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

]
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. Yes.	17:03:29
3	Q. How did they come up with the	17:03:30
4	15 percent discount from ISIT overhead?	17:03:33
5	A. As I mentioned earlier, I	17:03:38
6	don't I don't recall all of the	17:03:40
7	sources that we looked at or how exactly	17:03:42
8	that 15 percent was finally arrived at.	17:03:45
9	I know at the time we did some analysis	17:03:48
10	of what it would look like to remove	17:03:51
11	facilities or to approximate a government	17:03:55
12	site overhead rate and we also looked at	17:03:57
13	public information of our competitors to	17:04:01
14	see the delta between their contractor	17:04:03
15	site rate and their government site rate	17:04:05
16	and based on that information that's how	17:04:07
17	we came up with 15 percent, but it was	17:04:10
18	not a precise or overly involved	17:04:12
19	analysis. It was something that we were	17:04:18
20	doing to fill a gap until we were able to	17:04:20
21	do something more sophisticated.	17:04:24
22	MR. HERBST: Let's take a	17:05:12
23	short break.	17:05:14
24	(A recess was taken.)	17:05:15
25	Q. One last time at least for	17:13:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 238 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1		
2		17:14:33
3	A. Yes.	17:14:37
4	Q. So we were talking about	17:14:37
5	general and administrative expenses,	17:15:01
6	right?	17:15:03
7	A. Okay.	17:15:03
8	Q. So I don't remember did I ask	17:15:05
9	you about the segment general and	17:15:10
10	administrative pool? I don't know if I	17:15:14
11	asked you about that.	17:15:16
12	A. I don't think you did. But	17:15:16
13	I'm not entirely sure what's included in	17:15:19
14	that bucket.	17:15:21
15	Q. Well, I guess I should ask you	17:15:27
16	then does general and administrative	17:15:30
17	expenses include somewhere in these	17:15:41
18	categories human resources?	17:15:44
19	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	17:15:52
20	A. I believe so. I'm not	17:15:54
21	certain.	17:15:56
22	Q. Accounting?	17:15:57
23	A. Yes, I believe finance and	17:15:58
24	accounting are included in G&A.	17:16:01
25	Q. Public relations?	17:16:03

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 239 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	WELLY I DDYCON	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I believe so.	17:16:05
3	Q. Contract administration?	17:16:05
4	A. I believe so.	17:16:07
5	Q. Legal?	17:16:08
6	A. I believe so.	17:16:09
7	Q. Corporate home office?	17:16:09
8	A. I believe so.	17:16:11
9	Q. Executive comp?	17:16:13
10	A. That I'm not sure. I mean	17:16:16
11	again, these are things that I know exist	17:16:20
12	within our indirect rate structure. I'm	17:16:22
13	not confident to say exactly where they	17:16:25
14	live.	17:16:30
15	Q. You're just not sure whether	17:16:30
16	they're in general and administrative	17:16:32
17	expenses or in the fee?	17:16:34
18	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:16:37
19	form.	17:16:38
20	A. I'm not sure where they would	17:16:39
21	be, where they would be included.	17:16:40
22	Q. They could be in overhead?	17:16:41
23	A. It's possible that some small	17:16:42
24	amount of those items could be in	17:16:46
25	overhead.	17:16:47

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 240 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. And this is something that	17:16:48
	3	Scott Pfost would know?	17:16:49
	4	A. Again, I don't know if he	17:16:50
	5	would answer those questions or if he	17:16:53
	6	would refer you to someone else, but he	17:16:55
	7	would be the right person to talk to to	17:16:57
	8	make sure that you got what you needed.	17:16:59
	9	Q. Is there any other G&A expense	17:17:00
	10	other than these categories that I	17:17:05
	11	mentioned that you think would be	17:17:07
	12	included in G&A?	17:17:08
	13	A. Did you mention sales, bid and	17:17:10
	14	proposal type work?	17:17:19
	15	Q. I did. What is that? That's	17:17:21
	16	the second item, right?	17:17:25
	17	A. Yes.	17:17:26
	18	Q. What is that?	17:17:27
	19	A. That's time that people	17:17:28
	20	directly charge to proposal activities	17:17:29
	21	and monies that are spent to prepare,	17:17:32
	22	proposal expenses. Again, it could	17:17:37
	23	include travel, it could include, it does	17:17:40
	24	include things like binders and	17:17:42
	25	photocopies.	17:17:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 241 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Is this something which you	17:17:45
3	would be able to see by looking at the	17:17:50
4	people's time sheets?	17:17:52
5	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:17:54
6	form.	17:17:56
7	A. It depends on the person.	17:17:56
8	Q. How about the person in, the	17:17:58
9	persons in Plaintiff's 18, if we look at	17:18:02
10	Conklin, Dowdy, Ashbrook, Ahmed,	17:18:06
11	Kyprianou, Ashmore, Bernardi, Carragher,	17:18:10
12	Methia and Bowell, would it appear in	17:18:15
13	their time sheets?	17:18:20
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:18:23
15	form.	17:18:24
16	A. It may. At the time, there	17:18:24
17	are certain people especially at this	17:18:31
18	time there are certain people that just	17:18:33
19	charge to a charge code because they are	17:18:34
20	a G&A. For example, using myself again	17:18:36
21	as an example, I live in G&A, my time	17:18:39
22	goes to G&A. It doesn't get specifically	17:18:43
23	broken out to any particular proposal	17:18:46
24	effort.	17:18:49
25	People that are more line	17:18:49

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 242 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	focused, that are generally billable, are	17:18:52
3	usually expected to charge to bid and	17:18:56
4	proposal codes for time spent doing those	17:18:59
5	activities.	17:19:02
6	Q. Your G&A wouldn't necessarily	17:19:02
7	be specifically charged to bid and	17:19:06
8	proposal activity, would it?	17:19:07
9	A. I'm not sure.	17:19:09
10	Q. What about independent	17:19:11
11	research and development expense, what is	17:19:14
12	that?	17:19:16
13	A. That's money that we use to	17:19:16
14	develop IP or other research initiatives.	17:19:19
15	Q. What's IP stand for?	17:19:23
16	A. Intellectual property.	17:19:24
17	Q. Again, these are the amounts	17:19:26
18	that the whole company, the whole ISIT	17:19:31
19	part of the company expected to incur,	17:19:35
20	right?	17:19:37
21	A. Yes.	17:19:37
22	Q. Are there any other parts of	17:19:38
23	CGI Federal other than ISIT and BPS?	17:19:40
24	A. And I believe again that there	17:19:42
25	was an intermediate home office at the	17:19:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 243 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	1436 211	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2		17:19:46
3	Q. Just the top layer of home	17:19:46
4	office?	17:19:48
5	A. Yes.	17:19:48
6	Q. But other than that, it's just	17:19:49
7	ISIT and BPS, right?	17:19:50
8	A. Yes.	17:19:51
9	Q. And I guess when you break	17:19:53
10	down there's a lot more people employed	17:19:55
11	in ISIT than in BPS?	17:19:57
12	A. Yes.	17:19:59
13	Q. And that's one reason why the	17:20:00
14	overhead is so much more in ISIT?	17:20:01
15	A. No.	17:20:04
16	MR. KLEIN: Objection to form.	17:20:05
17	Q. No? Why is ISIT so much more	17:20:05
18	in overhead?	17:20:09
19	A. Again, it's a proportion of	17:20:10
20	direct labor. So I mean, for example, if	17:20:11
21	there was some large investment that we	17:20:15
22	made in BPS their overhead could be much	17:20:18
23	higher. But it's very much a calculation	17:20:21
24	on proportion.	17:20:27
25	Q. I notice that the G&A expenses	17:20:29

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 244 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	are also significantly higher in ISIT	17:20:36
3	than in BPS, 51 compared to nine, right?	17:20:39
4	A. Yes.	17:20:43
5	Q. Is that also an indication	17:20:44
6	that a lot more of the company's work and	17:20:45
7	a lot more of its staff is dedicated to	17:20:47
8	ISIT work?	17:20:51
9	A. Again, that's largely	17:20:52
10	coincidental. ISIT work tends to have	17:20:53
11	more investments in it because again, all	17:20:58
12	of our people have laptops. People in	17:21:00
13	the BPS side may not be issued a laptop.	17:21:04
14	Q. So why is OH, that's for	17:21:07
15	overhead, right?	17:21:12
16	A. Yes.	17:21:12
17	Q. Allocated to B&P, bid and	17:21:13
18	proposal, right?	17:21:16
19	A. Yes.	17:21:17
20	Q. Why is that broken out	17:21:17
21	separately in G&A as opposed to overhead?	17:21:18
22	A. I don't feel comfortable that	17:21:28
23	I can answer that question accurately.	17:21:29
24	Q. Does that mean you don't know?	17:21:34
25	A. It means I don't want to	17:21:35

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 245 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
	1 KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2 speculate.	17:21:39
	Q. I'm not asking you to	17:21:39
	speculate, but to the best of your	17:21:40
	5 knowledge, what's the reason?	17:21:43
6	A. There are people that live in	17:21:44
	one segment but their fringes, for	17:21:49
8	example, may live in a different segment.	17:21:53
9	So that's some of what you're seeing	17:21:55
10	here, but I don't want to misspeak and	17:21:59
11	get it wrong.	17:22:01
12	Q. Which people are you thinking	17:22:01
13	of?	17:22:03
14	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:22:05
15	form.	17:22:06
16	Q. Just as an example?	17:22:06
17	A. So, for example, a lot of the	17:22:08
18	independent research and development	17:22:19
19	expenses live in general and	17:22:21
20	administrative and so a portion of	17:22:24
21	overhead for those people and for those	17:22:27
22	costs is allocated back into G&A.	17:22:30
23	Q. Was that overhead also listed	17:22:33
24	somewhere in the overhead categories	17:22:39
25	5 above?	17:22:42

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 246 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. I know that is netted out.	17:22:43
3	You can see the costs down below where	17:22:49
4	it's backed out again of the base, but	17:22:52
5	again, I don't feel comfortable in	17:22:55
6	describing the intricate details of how	17:22:56
7	exactly those calculations are done.	17:23:00
8	Q. What elements are in G&A?	17:23:02
9	Like in home office G&A, what kind of	17:23:08
10	expenses are they?	17:23:11
11	A. I'm not sure.	17:23:11
12	Q. Again, there's another total	17:23:12
13	direct cost, is that salaries?	17:23:20
14	A. Total direct costs are, would	17:23:24
15	include salaries, yes.	17:23:28
16	Q. What else would it include?	17:23:29
17	A. It would include costs that	17:23:31
18	were direct and not indirect in nature.	17:23:37
19	Additional things specifically in	17:23:41
20	addition to salary? I'm not certain.	17:23:43
21	Q. Well the direct labor, in	17:23:46
22	other words, salary up above is 81.287	17:23:51
23	million and this direct cost is 127. So	17:23:55
24	what's the difference between those two?	17:23:59
25	A. I'm not certain.	17:24:05

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 247 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. Then it excludes direct	17:24:10
3	subcontractors, 41 million, what is that?	17:24:13
4	A. There would be subcontractors	17:24:16
5	that we engage that are direct bill.	17:24:19
6	Q. What's direct bill mean?	17:24:21
7	A. Direct bill to the client,	17:24:24
8	typically.	17:24:26
9	Q. In other words, CGI wouldn't	17:24:27
10	bill them, the subcontractor would bill	17:24:31
11	directly to the client?	17:24:33
12	A. No, we would bill. As opposed	17:24:33
13	to being an indirect subcontractor is my	17:24:36
14	understanding.	17:24:38
15	Q. The indirect subcontractors	17:24:38
16	would bill the client directly and the	17:24:41
17	direct ones you would bill for?	17:24:43
18	A. No. A direct subcontractor	17:24:44
19	would be someone that works on a project,	17:24:47
20	they invoice me and I invoice the client	17:24:52
21	because they did billable work.	17:24:54
22	An indirect subcontractor	17:24:56
23	would be someone that we hired as a	17:24:58
24	technical writer that wrote a paragraph	17:25:00
25	or a couple of pages for a proposal doing	17:25:02

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 248 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	incorrect work.	17:25:04
3	Q. Do you handle the invoicing	17:25:05
4	also?	17:25:10
5	A. No.	17:25:11
6	Q. Who does that?	17:25:11
7	A. Finance.	17:25:14
8	Q. How does this base differ from	17:25:15
9	the base above? Because I see that	17:25:26
10	overhead is added in here, total	17:25:28
11	overhead, into the 72 million above in	17:25:37
12	pool comes down, it's added in general	17:25:40
13	and administrative. Why?	17:25:43
14	A. Because the way the rates are	17:25:45
15	calculated, G&A is a proportion of direct	17:25:49
16	labor plus overhead, and so when we look	17:25:54
17	at it as a percentage adder, it's 33.34	17:25:58
18	percent of the total of direct labor plus	17:26:05
19	overhead.	17:26:09
20	Q. Again that's 51 divided by 154	17:26:15
21	to come up with the rate, right?	17:26:19
22	A. Yes, correct.	17:26:20
23	Q. G&A excluding R&D, what's	17:26:28
24	that?	17:26:31
25	A. That is an alternate view of	17:26:31

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 249 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			٦
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	our G&A rate that backs out the costs	17:26:34
	3	associated with independent research and	17:26:39
	4	development.	17:26:41
	5	Q. What do you use that for?	17:26:45
	6	A. What do we use that rate for?	17:26:46
	7	Q. Yes.	17:26:48
	8	A. CMS, Centers for Medicaid and	17:26:49
	9	Medicare Services has a stipulation in	17:26:53
	10	their contracting regulations that state	17:26:54
	11	that we can't or actually, I'm sorry,	17:26:58
	12	I said CMS. I meant HHS, the aggregate,	17:27:01
	13	Health and Human Services has a	17:27:06
	14	stipulation in their contracting language	17:27:08
	15	that we cannot include independent	17:27:10
	16	research and development costs in our G&A	17:27:13
	17	and so we calculate the rate without that	17:27:16
	18	for our HHS and CMS based work.	17:27:19
	19	Q. When do you use the	17:27:27
	20	subcontractor handling rate?	17:27:28
	21	A. That is a rate that's added on	17:27:30
	22	to any subcontracting costs.	17:27:32
	23	Q. The next page of that exhibit,	17:27:36
	24	what are we looking at? What is this,	17:27:42
	25	provisional billing rates, what are	17:27:45

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 250 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Г			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	those?	17:27:47
	3	A. This is a slightly more	17:27:47
	4	granular view of what was on the prior	17:27:49
	5	page.	17:27:52
	6	Q. When you say more granular,	17:28:00
	7	you mean what?	17:28:02
	8	A. It just has some additional	17:28:03
	9	details and some additional titling for	17:28:04
	10	each of the items.	17:28:06
	11	Q. What's ICE, I-C-E?	17:28:07
	12	A. I believe that I'm not sure	17:28:10
	13	exactly what the acronym stands for, but	17:28:12
	14	it's computer equipment.	17:28:15
	15	Q. That's true all the way down,	17:28:30
	16	basically the rates are the same, the	17:28:32
	17	numerators and denominators turn out to	17:28:33
	18	be the same, it's just different kind of	17:28:36
	19	descriptions?	17:28:38
	20	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	17:28:40
	21	A. Yes, it should be the same.	17:28:40
	22	This page aligns more closely with the	17:28:41
	23	way things are called in our financials,	17:28:46
	24	while the first page gives things a	17:28:50
	25	title, that's a little more layman speak.	17:28:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 251 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ĺ			7
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	Q. And the third page, the third	17:28:58
	3	and last page of the exhibit, what's	17:29:00
	4	that?	17:29:02
	5	A. The third page breaks out a	17:29:02
	6	little more granularly the fringe	17:29:05
	7	calculation specifically.	17:29:08
	8	Q. How did you use these three	17:29:15
	9	pages in your rate calculations in	17:29:17
	10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, if at all?	17:29:19
	11	A. I did not use these specific	17:29:21
	12	calculations at all. These calculations	17:29:23
	13	are prepared, again, by the finance and	17:29:26
	14	compliance teams. We prepare the package	17:29:30
	15	and then we would receive a letter like	17:29:32
	16	Bryson 5 from CMS or whomever government	17:29:36
	17	agency we're working with that says we	17:29:42
	18	reviewed your package and we provide	17:29:44
	19	approval for you to use that package for	17:29:47
	20	proposal bidding purposes.	17:29:51
	21	And so that's what I, I used	17:29:53
	22	the final rates in my rate buildup, but	17:29:55
	23	as far as this granular information	17:29:58
	24	contained in Bryson 3, I don't use this	17:30:00
	25	at all.	17:30:04

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 252 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	17:30:06
3	this as Exhibit	17:30:08
4	Q. Looking at the document right	17:30:35
5	at the top in your left hand, what is	17:30:37
6	that, Bryson what?	17:30:41
7	A. Five.	17:30:42
8	Q. So five and three three are	17:30:44
9	the rates that you submitted to HHS,	17:30:48
10	right?	17:30:51
11	A. Three is our provisional rates	17:30:51
12	submission for CGI. We happen to submit	17:30:58
13	it to CMS, but it wouldn't be different.	17:31:01
14	Q. That's my question. Every	17:31:04
15	opportunity that you pursued for a	17:31:06
16	federal government agency used those	17:31:08
17	rates?	17:31:10
18	A. Yes.	17:31:10
19	Q. Those ISIT rates?	17:31:10
20	A. Yes.	17:31:12
21	Q. Did any other agency besides	17:31:12
22	HHS or CMS look at the rates and approve	17:31:20
23	them?	17:31:24
24	A. Not to my knowledge.	17:31:24
25	Q. What about GSA, it doesn't	17:31:25

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 253 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ſ			٦
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	approve rates? The federal government's	17:31:30
	3	general contracting agency, the GSA, are	17:31:33
	4	you familiar with GSA?	17:31:36
	5	A. I'm familiar with GSA.	17:31:38
	6	Q. They don't approve any rates	17:31:39
	7	from CGI?	17:31:41
	8	A. They don't approve our	17:31:42
	9	indirect rates, no. And again, indirect	17:31:43
	10	rates are predominantly used for cost	17:31:46
	11	type work, and the agency that reviews	17:31:48
	12	each contractor's indirect rate	17:31:54
	13	contractors tends to be the agency with	17:31:56
	14	whom they have a, the preponderance of	17:31:58
	15	their cost type work. And since ours	17:32:00
	16	happens to be CMS, they are the ones that	17:32:03
	17	review our rate packages. For other	17:32:06
	18	contractors it may be different. Ours	17:32:08
	19	may change in the future, but CMS is the	17:32:11
	20	one that reviews it now.	17:32:13
	21	I do believe that upon request	17:32:15
	22	other agencies could review our package,	17:32:17
	23	but it's not standard practice.	17:32:20
	24	Q. Would you know about that if	17:32:21
	25	they did?	17:32:23

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 254 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		17:32:23
2	A. No.	
3	Q. Who would?	17:32:23
4	A. Compliance.	17:32:24
5	Q. Would Mr. Pfost know, would he	17:32:28
6	be advised of that?	17:32:31
7	A. He would probably know. I	17:32:33
8	don't think he would be involved in that,	17:32:34
9	but.	17:32:36
10	Q. Who presently runs compliance?	17:32:37
11	A. John Cerimeli.	17:32:40
12	Q. Was he there in 2010 also?	17:32:42
13	A. No.	17:32:45
14	Q. Who was there in 2010?	17:32:45
15	A. I would say we did not have an	17:32:50
16	official compliance department in 2010.	17:32:53
17	But the person that acted in that	17:32:57
18	function, again, I would say was Mary	17:33:00
19	Crigler.	17:33:03
20	Q. She's still employed?	17:33:09
21	A. Yes.	17:33:10
22	MR. HERBST: Would you mark	17:33:14
23	this as Bryson Exhibit 6.	17:33:15
24	(Bryson Exhibit 6 for	17:33:16
25	identification, Bates stamped	17:33:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 255 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
		15.22.16
2	CGI_ASHMORE 2015422 through	17:33:16
3	2015428.)	17:33:17
4	Q. Bryson 6, what's that	17:33:17
5	document?	17:33:19
6	A. This is a request for quote	17:33:19
7	that we received from ICF that includes	17:33:21
8	the labor categories that we were pricing	17:33:25
9	against.	17:33:28
10	Q. Does this correspond to	17:33:28
11	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18?	17:33:30
12	A. Yes.	17:33:31
13	Q. Okay.	17:33:35
14	A. I believe so.	17:33:38
15	Q. Now, did you use this document	17:33:38
16	in your coming up with rates?	17:33:41
17	A. Yes.	17:33:44
18	Q. How did you use it?	17:33:44
19	A. I used it to understand the	17:33:46
20	due date. I used it to review the labor	17:33:48
21	category descriptions as written here. I	17:33:54
22	used it to understand the specific	17:33:58
23	qualifications that were necessary for	17:34:01
24	each of the different labor categories.	17:34:05
25	Q. Now, is it fair to say that	17:34:09

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 256 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	because there was no name provided for	17:34:12
3	project administrator on 18 that the	17:34:16
4	understanding of CGI was that the prime,	17:34:21
5	ICF, was going to provide that position?	17:34:25
6	A. I would I don't I don't	17:34:27
7	think that's necessarily a fair	17:34:33
8	statement.	17:34:35
9	Q. Why not?	17:34:36
10	A. Because what we were bidding	17:34:37
11	was a blanket purchase agreement and	17:34:41
12	while ICF may have provided a project	17:34:45
13	administrator that's not to say that six	17:34:51
14	months down the road we wouldn't have	17:34:55
15	gotten an opportunity where we provided	17:34:56
16	that. That's why we provided this full	17:34:58
17	list of rates because that gives us the	17:35:00
18	flexibility to staff any of those labor	17:35:02
19	categories. I wouldn't I would say	17:35:05
20	that because we provided a rate that	17:35:09
21	leaves open the door for us to staff a	17:35:13
22	position in that labor category in the	17:35:17
23	future.	17:35:20
24	It's our typical practice	17:35:20
25	unless instructed otherwise that if we	17:35:23

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 257 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	have no intention of staffing a role,	17:35:25
	3	that we won't bid that labor category.	17:35:28
	4	Q. If you look at page 33 of	17:35:46
	5	Bryson 7 that's a page for Jeffrey Baker,	17:35:48
	6	right?	17:36:05
	7	A. Yes.	17:36:05
	8	Q. An ICF official, right?	17:36:05
	9	A. Maybe.	17:36:08
	10	Q. So he's listed as project	17:36:08
	11	administrator in the key personnel?	17:36:11
	12	A. Yes.	17:36:15
	13	Q. So are you saying that even if	17:36:15
	14	ICF was planning to staff the position as	17:36:21
	15	project administrator you would have gone	17:36:25
	16	ahead and figured rates, CGI rates for	17:36:27
	17	those same positions?	17:36:30
	18	A. Yes.	17:36:30
	19	Q. Let's go to subject matter	17:36:31
	20	expert. The codifications are listed on	17:36:41
	21	that page, right, that one page, right,	17:36:50
	22	for	17:36:56
	23	A. Yes.	17:36:57
	24	Q. The qualifications are just	17:36:59
	25	listed in that one paragraph under	17:37:08

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 258 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		-
	WELLY L DDVCOM	
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	17:37:10
2	subject matter expert, right, three	
3	lines?	17:37:12
4	A. Yes.	17:37:12
5	Q. So advanced expertise in	17:37:12
6	business process reengineering practices	17:37:14
7	or in public housing; is that right?	17:37:18
8	A. Yes.	17:37:18
9	Q. So what in this description	17:37:20
10	caused you to use 13, 14 and or 14, 15	17:37:26
11	and 16?	17:37:36
12	A. Well just my overall	17:37:37
13	understanding of what's written here	17:37:40
14	specifically and the types of people that	17:37:43
15	subject matter experts usually are.	17:37:48
16	Typically they are very highly	17:37:53
17	specialized. They tend to be somewhat	17:37:55
18	senior in nature. And based on those	17:37:58
19	specific things I elected to use a more	17:38:05
20	senior mapping. And also knowing that	17:38:09
21	something as generic as subject matter	17:38:13
22	expert, particularly as it's written	17:38:15
23	here, I needed to be able to support a	17:38:17
24	wide range of people.	17:38:22
25	Someone could have been	17:38:27

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 259 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

ſ			7
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	someone inexpensive at \$80,000 and I	17:38:28
	3	could have had to support someone making	17:38:30
	4	more than \$150,000. That's one of the	17:38:33
	5	reasons that I created the rate that I	17:38:37
	6	did.	17:38:40
	7	Q. So didn't you check the	17:38:42
	8	rosters for the 17 people in 14, 15 and	17:38:57
	9	16 to determine whether or not they had	17:39:05
	10	the expertise required in this paragraph?	17:39:07
	11	A. Did I look at those people	17:39:08
	12	specifically?	17:39:11
	13	Q. Yes.	17:39:11
	14	A. No. I mean I assumed that	17:39:12
	15	when someone is placed in that category	17:39:15
	16	that they are in fact a subject matter	17:39:18
	17	expert, but I don't I don't check that	17:39:20
	18	information.	17:39:22
	19	Q. I guess based on your last	17:40:09
	20	answer you could have used for subject	17:40:11
	21	matter expert people in the lower	17:40:13
	22	categories making around 70,000 and for	17:40:14
	23	senior subject matter expert you could	17:40:19
	24	have used 14, 15 and 16?	17:40:21
	25	A. I could have.	17:40:23

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 260 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	Q. So going back to technical	17:40:24
3	writer do you see the page that describes	17:41:04
4	technical writer?	17:41:19
5	A. Yes.	17:41:20
6	Q. And it shows they want people	17:41:21
7	with the following experience, right?	17:41:25
8	A. Yes.	17:41:26
9	Q. A, B, C and D?	17:41:27
10	A. Yes.	17:41:28
11	Q. Did you check to see whether	17:41:29
12	technical writer 11, the one person there	17:41:30
13	making \$101,000, had that experience?	17:41:33
14	A. No.	17:41:39
15	Q. Did you check to see whether	17:41:39
16	the business analysts, I think you said	17:41:45
17	it was business analyst 8, 9 and 10,	17:41:54
18	right?	17:41:57
19	A. Yes, 8, 9 and 10. And no, I	17:42:02
20	didn't check specifically if they had it.	17:42:05
21	Q. Had those qualifications?	17:42:07
22	A. I didn't. Typically when	17:42:08
23	requirements are very specific like these	17:42:11
24	are we often don't have those people, or	17:42:13
25	we may hire for those people. But no,	17:42:16

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 261 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Ī			1
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	it's not typically part of my job to make	17:42:21
	3	sure that people are qualified to do what	17:42:24
	4	they say they're able to do.	17:42:28
	5	Q. So going back to page 1 for	17:42:29
	6	project administrator, you didn't look to	17:42:32
	7	see whether the people in the categories	17:42:34
	8	that you selected that are shown on that	17:42:38
	9	yes, that document no, the one on	17:42:41
	10	your left?	17:42:46
	11	A. Right.	17:42:46
	12	Q. What's the number on that one?	17:42:47
	13	A. Four.	17:42:48
	14	Q. You didn't whatever people	17:42:49
	15	in categories you chose you didn't check	17:42:52
	16	to see if those people had experience	17:42:54
	17	that is listed in A, B, C through I on	17:42:56
	18	Bryson 6?	17:43:03
	19	A. I didn't	17:43:04
	20	Q. Correct?	17:43:05
	21	A. I didn't check the	17:43:06
	22	individuals, but I know what the	17:43:07
	23	description for, what our internal role	17:43:08
	24	description for a project for a	17:43:11
	25	business analyst SME is and I know it	17:43:14
	İ		

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 262 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	encompasses the types of activities that	17:43:18
3	are listed here as project administrator.	17:43:20
4	I know that a business analyst does	17:43:24
5	things such as MS project. I know that	17:43:26
6	we had business analysts that are	17:43:30
7	experienced in earned value. I know that	17:43:32
8	we have business analysts that are PMP	17:43:34
9	certified.	17:43:38
10	Did I check on an individual	17:43:39
11	level to say, Joe, John Doe had this	17:43:41
12	specific experience? No, I didn't. But	17:43:45
13	I know that as part of my job that people	17:43:47
14	with those skills live in those	17:43:50
15	categories.	17:43:51
16	Q. But my question isn't just	17:43:52
17	whether you looked at the individual	17:43:54
18	people in categories 8, 9 and 10 to see	17:43:56
19	if they had those qualifications. It's	17:43:59
20	whether you compared the people in 8, 9	17:44:02
21	and 10 with the people in other	17:44:07
22	categories to determine whether 8, 9 and	17:44:10
23	10 was the best mix to meet these	17:44:14
24	particular qualification requirements?	17:44:18
25	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:44:21

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 263 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		_
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	form.	17:44:22
3	A. What I can say is that the	17:44:23
4	number increases with seniority and based	17:44:26
5	on my understanding of the types of	17:44:30
6	people that have these skills, I selected	17:44:32
7	what I felt was a reasonable mix.	17:44:35
8	Q. How long did it okay, and	17:44:37
9	the preparation of the mix was in Bryson	17:44:41
10	4, right?	17:44:48
11	A. Right.	17:44:49
12	Q. That's how you went and did	17:44:49
13	it, right?	17:44:51
14	A. Yes.	17:44:51
15	Q. How long did it take you to	17:44:52
16	prepare that chart and come up with the	17:44:54
17	rates?	17:44:56
18	A. It probably took a couple of	17:44:56
19	hours to pull together the first draft	17:44:58
20	and then if there were any iterations,	17:45:01
21	depending on how extensive, anywhere from	17:45:03
22	a few minutes to another hour or two.	17:45:06
23	Q. Those would be iterations	17:45:13
24	required or caused by what?	17:45:15
25	A. Caused by sending out an	17:45:17

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 264 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	initial cut. Oftentimes I will send out	17:45:19
3	an initial list of rates and say this is	17:45:21
4	what I came up with based on what I know	17:45:23
5	and then people provide feedback, and if	17:45:26
6	necessary, we do additional revisions.	17:45:29
7	Q. Did you do that in this case?	17:45:32
8	A. I don't recall.	17:45:34
9	Q. Did you look to see whether	17:45:35
10	there were any other iterations of that	17:45:37
11	document prior or subsequent to that one?	17:45:40
12	A. In preparation for the	17:45:43
13	testimony?	17:45:44
14	Q. Yes.	17:45:44
15	A. I did not look, no.	17:45:45
16	MR. HERBST: I'll request any	17:45:51
17	prior iterations of that document	17:45:52
18	or subsequent iterations.	17:45:54
19	(Request made.)	17:45:54
20	MR. HERBST: Let's take five	17:50:13
21	minutes.	17:50:14
22	(A recess was taken.)	17:50:15
23	Q. With respect to Bryson 1, were	17:50:15
24	the rates and figures here in this	17:50:21
25	document provided to anybody but HHS, to	17:50:27

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 265 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		<u> </u>
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	your knowledge?	17:50:35
3	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:50:36
4	form.	17:50:37
5	A. The fully loaded rates would	17:50:39
6	have been provided to ICF. But other	17:50:43
7	than that, not to my knowledge.	17:50:48
8	Q. But to any other government	17:50:49
9	agencies, were the rates, labor	17:50:51
10	categories, staff names and estimated	17:50:53
11	hours in this document provided to, for	17:50:55
12	example, HUD?	17:51:01
13	A. This is it did get sent to	17:51:04
14	HUD.	17:51:07
15	Q. It did get sent to HUD?	17:51:07
16	A. Exhibit 1?	17:51:09
17	Q. Yes.	17:51:10
18	A. Or Bryson 1, yes, this was	17:51:11
19	sent to HUD.	17:51:13
20	Q. When?	17:51:14
21	A. On June 1st.	17:51:15
22	Q. This went to HUD? I thought	17:51:19
23	did it go to GSA, the General Services	17:51:22
24	Agency?	17:51:27
25	A. I mean my understanding is it	17:51:29

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 266 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	went to HUD. If it went to someone else	17:51:33
3	then I mean I don't know.	17:51:35
4	Q. Was CGI required to provide	17:51:38
5	any certification or attestation as to	17:51:53
6	the accuracy of these rates with the	17:51:55
7	submissions to whichever government	17:51:58
8	agencies they went?	17:52:01
9	MR. KLEIN: Object to the	17:52:03
10	form.	17:52:04
11	A. Not to my knowledge.	17:52:04
12	Q. Looking at Bryson 2, I thought	17:52:05
13	you earlier testified that when someone	17:52:21
14	is hired either HR or the hiring manager	17:52:23
15	puts them into one of these categories?	17:52:28
16	A. Yes.	17:52:30
17	Q. Like SME 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,	17:52:31
18	12, right?	17:52:35
19	A. In both a role and a number,	17:52:35
20	yes.	17:52:39
21	Q. How does the hiring manager	17:52:39
22	choose those numbers, the categories?	17:52:43
23	A. Well there are notional	17:52:47
24	descriptions for both their roles and the	17:52:50
25	numbers, but they are, they're not very	17:52:53

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 267 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	specific, so there's a lot of discretion	
3	on behalf of the hiring manager to put	17:53:00
4	them where they would like. It's not	17:53:02
5	it's an imperfect process and again, it's	17:53:06
6	very, again, professional discretion.	17:53:11
7	You could make you could easily make	17:53:14
8	an argument to put people in many	17:53:17
9	different combinations of categories.	17:53:18
10	It's not hard and fast.	17:53:20
11	Q. You said there were notational	17:53:21
12	what?	17:53:24
13	A. There are notional	17:53:24
14	descriptions that go on with them.	17:53:26
15	Q. Where are those descriptions?	17:53:27
16	A. They're not here.	17:53:29
17	Q. I know, but where would we	17:53:30
18	find them?	17:53:33
19	A. You can ask HR for them.	17:53:33
20	MR. HERBST: I'm going to	17:53:35
21	request the notional descriptions.	17:53:36
22	(Request made.)	17:53:36
23	A. I don't know what the official	17:53:41
24	title of the document is, but yes.	17:53:42
25	Q. I understand, but your counsel	17:53:44

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 268 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	is here and he's heard your testimony and	17:53:46
3	I'm sure he'll be able to find them.	17:53:48
4	A. Yes.	17:53:50
5	MR. KLEIN: There's been no	17:53:51
6	representation by CGI that any of	17:53:52
7	the requests that have been made by	17:53:54
8	Mr. Herbst are going to be	17:53:56
9	produced, I just want to make the	17:53:58
10	record clear.	17:54:00
11	MR. HERBST: You can make	17:54:00
12	whatever record you want. I'm	17:54:02
13	requesting them and I'm sure that	17:54:04
14	if you decide to produce them, as I	17:54:05
15	believe you're required to do, that	17:54:08
16	you will have no difficulty	17:54:09
17	identifying them.	17:54:12
18	Q. Now let me ask you this.	17:54:13
19	Other than the descriptions which provide	17:54:16
20	a description of the role, is that what	17:54:20
21	these notional descriptions are?	17:54:23
22	A. Yes. They're high level	17:54:26
23	descriptions of each of these roles.	17:54:29
24	Q. And do the descriptions of the	17:54:30
25	roles also contain some qualifications?	17:54:34

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 269 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Γ			7
	1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
	2	MR. KLEIN: Object to form.	17:54:38
	3	A. Most of these roles are	17:54:40
	4	written very generically. There may be	17:54:44
	5	some that indicate a particular skill or	17:54:47
	6	degree or certificate, but.	17:54:49
	7	Q. Does the person's hiring	17:54:53
	8	salary have anything to do with the	17:54:56
	9	notional description in each category?	17:54:59
	10	A. With the description of the	17:55:03
	11	category? I would say no. I don't think	17:55:08
	12	so.	17:55:11
	13	Q. In other words, if someone is	17:55:11
	14	hired at 70,000 a year it doesn't	17:55:12
	15	necessarily mean it will be in business	17:55:15
	16	analyst SME 9, for example, as opposed to	17:55:18
	17	8 or 10?	17:55:21
	18	A. No, I mean the numbers do	17:55:22
	19	the number annotation does indicate a	17:55:25
	20	kind of a salary band within which people	17:55:28
	21	should fall ideally. But I mean there	17:55:34
	22	are many, many situations where people	17:55:37
	23	fall outside of that.	17:55:40
	24	Q. Is that salary range or band	17:55:41
	25	part of the notional description?	17:55:43
I			

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 270 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 268

		1
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	A. No.	17:55:45
3	Q. That the hiring manager can	17:55:46
4	consult?	17:55:48
5	A. These are separate items. The	17:55:48
6	role is separate from the level, but	17:55:51
7	they're combined for pricing purposes	17:55:57
8	because it gives us the flexibility to	17:55:59
9	price a business analyst from on a very	17:56:02
10	junior level to a very senior level. But	17:56:06
11	those would be evaluated separately by a	17:56:09
12	hiring manager or someone in HR.	17:56:13
13	Q. Does the hiring manager have	17:56:14
14	discretion to put someone in a particular	17:56:16
15	category?	17:56:19
16	A. I believe so.	17:56:20
17	Q. Does HR review them?	17:56:23
18	A. I would assume so. I'm not	17:56:25
19	I mean I'm not super familiar with that	17:56:29
20	process.	17:56:31
21	MR. HERBST: We're done. Now,	17:56:41
22	we're done for today but I intend	17:56:43
23	to pursue the questions that were,	17:56:48
24	you were instructed not to answer	17:56:53
25	and if the court determines that	17:56:59

1-800-325-3376

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 271 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

	rage 20	Ī
1	KELLY L. BRYSON	
2	the questions should have been	17:57:01
3	answered, I'm going to ask you to	17:57:02
4	come back. Thank you very much for	17:57:03
5	your time.	17:57:11
6	(Time noted: 5:57 p.m.)	
7		
8		
9		
10	KELLY L. BRYSON	
11		
12	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
13	this day of, 2014.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	NAME OF CASE: Ashmore v. CGI Group, et al DATE OF DEPOSITION: August 28, 2014
2	NAME OF WITNESS: Kelly L. Bryson I wish to make the following changes, for
3	the following reasons: PAGE LINE
4	CHANGE:
5	REASON:
6	CHANGE:
7	REASON:
8	CHANGE:
9	REASON:
10	CHANGE:
11	REASON:
12	CHANGE:
13	REASON:
14	CHANGE:
15	REASON:
16	CHANGE:
17	REASON:
18	
19	Subscribed and sworn to before me
20	this, day of, 2014.
21	
22	
23	-
24	(Notary Public) My Commission Expires:
25	

1	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)
3	; ss.
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
5	
6	I, GAIL F. SCHORR, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter, Certified Realtime
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
10	That KELLY L. BRYSON, the witness
11	whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth,
12	was duly sworn by me and that such
13	deposition is a true record of the testimony
14	given by the witness.
15	I further certify that I am not
16	related to any of the parties to this action
17	by blood or marriage, and that I am in no
18	way interested in the outcome of this
19	matter.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
21	hereunto set my hand this day of
22	, 2014.
23	
24	
25	GAIL F. SCHORR, C.S.R., C.R.R.

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 274 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

			rage 2/2
EXHIBITS			
DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE	
(Bryson Exhibit 1 for	142	13	
identification, Bates			
stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015411			
through 2015421.)			
(Bryson Exhibit 2 for	169	10	
identification, Bates			
stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015407			
through 2015410.)			
(Bryson Exhibit 3 for	220	16	
identification, Bates			
stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015431			
through 2015433.)			
(Bryson Exhibit 4 for	177	12	
identification, Bates			
stamped CGI_ASHMORE			
2015406.)			
	DESCRIPTION (Bryson Exhibit 1 for identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015411 through 2015421.) (Bryson Exhibit 2 for identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015407 through 2015410.) (Bryson Exhibit 3 for identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015431 through 2015433.) (Bryson Exhibit 4 for identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE	DESCRIPTION PAGE (Bryson Exhibit 1 for 142 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015411 through 2015421.) (Bryson Exhibit 2 for 169 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015407 through 2015410.) (Bryson Exhibit 3 for 220 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015431 through 2015433.) (Bryson Exhibit 4 for 177 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE	DESCRIPTION PAGE LINE (Bryson Exhibit 1 for 142 13 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015411 through 2015421.) (Bryson Exhibit 2 for 169 10 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015407 through 2015410.) (Bryson Exhibit 3 for 220 16 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015431 through 2015433.) (Bryson Exhibit 4 for 177 12 identification, Bates stamped CGI_ASHMORE

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 275 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

Page 273

_				age 2/3
1	(Bryson Exhibit 5 for	220	20	
2	identification, Bates	220	20	
3	stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015429			
4	through 2015430.)			
5	ciii ougii 2013 130.			
6	(Bryson Exhibit 6 for	252	24	
7	identification, Bates	232	21	
8	stamped CGI_ASHMORE 2015422			
9	_			
	through 2015428.)			
10	(D	0.2	0	
11	(Bryson Exhibit 7 for	83	2	
12	identification, document			
13	titled Part III - Oral			
14	technical quote			
15	presentation, business			
16	consulting services blanket			
17	purchase agreement in			
18	support of: The Department			
19	of Housing and Urban			
20	Development's (HUD's)			
21	Transformative Initiative.)			
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 276 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

				rage 2/4
1	(Bryson Exhibit 8 for	83	12	
2	identification, document	03	12	
3	titled Sample Task Order 4:			
4	Housing Choice Voucher			
5	Program Phase III,			
6	Recommended Solution			
7	Analysis.)			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14	(Instruction not to answer.)	12	2	
15				
16	(Instruction not to answer.)	13	9	
17				
18	(Instruction not to answer.)	15	20	
19				
20	(Instruction not to answer.)	18	14	
21				
22	(Instruction not to answer.)	33	6	
23				
24	(Instruction not to answer.)	112	17	
25				

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 277 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

			г	age 2/5
1	(Instruction not to answer.)	129	13	
3	(Instruction not to answer.)	141	19	
5	(Instruction not to answer.)	149	24	
6				
7	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 17,	28	21	
8	previously marked and shown			
9	to witness.)			
10				
11	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 18,	28	24	
12	previously marked and shown			
13	to witness.)			
14				
15	(Request made.)	28	14	
16				
17	(Request made.)	71	10	
18				
19	(Request made.)	92	22	
20				
21	(Request made.)	95	18	
22				
23	(Request made.)	96	4	
24				
25	(Request made.)	96	18	

Case 1:11-cv-08611-AT Document 160-7 Filed 10/05/15 Page 278 of 318

KELLY L. BRYSON - 8/28/2014

			Page 1	276
1				
2	(Request made.)	105	17	
3				
4	(Request made.)	123	11	
5				
6	(Request made.)	124	5	
7				
8	(Request made.)	164	18	
9	(Dominat made)	166	0	
10	(Request made.)	166	8	
12	(Request made.)	172	25	
13	, _			
14	(Request made.)	191	4	
15				
16	(Request made.)	196	24	
17				
18	(Request made.)	227	20	
19				
20	(Request made.)	262	19	
21	(Request made.)	265	22	
23	(negacoc maac.)	203	22	
24				
25				

	1	1	1
A	164:10,11,15 166:3	administrator	aligns
able	271:16	138:12,25 139:5,11,14	100:17 158:4 248:22
41:17 53:19 68:22	active	139:17 182:18	Allen
116:16 181:19 191:3	40:22 55:10	199:20 254:3,13	8:3
235:20 239:3 256:23	actively	255:11,15 259:6	allocable
259:4 266:3	54:16	260:3	234:14
absolutely	activities	advanced	allocated
180:6	56:10 232:18 233:9	256:5	14:22 228:8,11 232:4
Accenture	238:20 240:5 260:2	advised	242:17 243:22
7:12,19,23	activity	252:6	allocation
accepted	240:8	afield	189:19 228:7
155:18 156:14	actual	112:18 152:4	allow
access	11:9 54:17,20 60:17	afternoon	35:21 36:2,25
72:5 210:20	62:15 64:17,21 65:7	142:10	allowed
accompanies	65:16 68:3 71:22	agencies	111:24 152:12 233:11
221:10	92:19 93:19,23	48:11,13 224:10	233:16,22
account	157:20 158:13 159:5	251:22 263:9 264:8	alternate
53:22,25 54:7,8,9,12	204:21 211:10	agency	246:25
54:14,15,18 62:5	add	6:12,13 46:16 48:15	America
72:22 75:22 76:2,4,5	60:20 61:23 62:4	126:14 203:10,19	7:11
76:9,10,11,14,15,21	101:7,12 103:5,24	225:3,4 249:17	amount
79:17 89:13 92:5	105:19 233:23	250:16,21 251:3,11	56:8 60:20 62:16
96:13 101:7 120:6	added	251:13 263:24	65:14 93:5 100:23
183:14,18,20,22,24	136:14 246:10,12	aggregate	103:13,24 104:8,14
189:21 201:20	247:21	213:7 247:12	122:18 134:25
215:10 229:15	adder	ago	149:19 192:2 201:16
accountants	246:17	78:5	223:2,5 228:16,22
111:2	addition	agree	233:23 237:24
accounted	197:13 244:20	30:19 111:23	amounts
11:3 214:25	additional	agreed	42:21 240:17
accounting	5:23 13:24 14:3 21:21	33:17,24 35:25 52:3,4	analog
191:23 201:11,14	244:19 248:8,9 262:6	agreement	49:16
236:22,24	address	83:6 111:20,21 254:11	analysis
accounts	9:12 123:21 124:8	273:17	7:18,18 41:6 83:16
76:18 96:15 231:18	203:15	ahead	86:6 107:14 202:23
accuracy	adjustment	255:16	235:9,19 274:7
264:6	62:18	Ahmed	analyst
accurate	adjustments	92:14 239:10	88:23 100:19,21,23
90:10	212:3	al	120:23 121:6 133:20
accurately	administration	270:1	138:5 159:16,18,20
242:23	237:3	Albany	161:16 169:24 170:3
acronym	administrative	2:9	176:23 178:22
248:13	215:3 220:3 225:19	align	179:23,24,24 180:2
acted	233:14 236:5,10,16	100:14 161:11	181:2 183:6 189:3,10
252:17	237:16 243:20	aligned	190:8,13 194:13
action	246:13	48:20,22 52:15	208:13,21 209:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	1	1	1
258:17 259:25 260:4	55:15 58:17	approximate	123:20 159:25 166:9
267:16 268:9	anticipating	72:3 235:11	166:14 167:8 173:11
analysts	121:5	approximately	175:16 184:5,8
159:19 161:6 193:19	anybody	7:23 11:20 15:3,12	185:10,22 198:24
258:16 260:6,8	68:21 71:20 96:2,5	18:24 19:16 20:6,22	206:4,7,8,13 207:7
analyst/SME	262:25	21:9 24:18 25:19	239:11 270:1
169:19	anyway	118:23 145:6 163:2	Ashmore's
and/or	49:2	189:8 234:18	64:3 72:16 123:16
23:4	AOL	April	171:14 173:8,19
annotation	7:15	5:5 19:3 30:9 34:5	180:16 198:22
267:19	apart	157:22	206:23
annual	85:15 182:10	April-ish	aside
11:5,17 204:14	appear	19:2	14:20
annually	78:9 182:7 239:12	April/May	asked
203:5	appeared	78:21	18:21 41:13,18,19
answer	78:13 133:16	apt	61:9 99:23 110:9
12:2,4,23 13:9,11,19	appears	150:15 151:23	133:11 152:2 167:23
15:20 16:13 18:3,9	132:11	area	167:24 230:2 236:11
18:14,17 21:7 29:16	applicable	82:16	asking
31:21 32:7,11,12	38:24 42:13 125:22	areas	44:12,17 64:16 69:13
33:6,9 36:2,16 47:13	157:14 229:17	218:20	69:16 85:23 97:2
50:17,18 57:17 60:5	application	argument	113:4,7,15 114:17,19
60:10 61:8 65:2	34:14 178:21	265:8	122:25 123:24
68:22 69:9 70:11	applies	arrive	124:23 130:21
80:19 84:8 89:10	128:8	183:12 208:23	131:18 176:21,24
112:17,25 113:22	appreciate	arrived	186:10 187:16 195:7
119:2 120:15 129:13	40:12	5:9 148:17 155:9	210:19 219:14 243:3
130:2 133:9,10	appropriate	162:5 235:8	assigned
141:19 142:2 147:4	82:22 90:10 104:4	articulate	55:22
149:24 150:25	113:21,23 153:13	36:9 48:14	assist
151:10,12 152:8,10	162:5 164:2 209:9	ascertain	28:5
152:17,24 154:10	214:19 228:16	64:22 98:23	associated
187:9 188:23 189:10	233:23	ascertained	79:12 145:16 187:18
190:5 214:9 219:5	approval	99:12	247:3
225:7 238:5 242:23	23:24 29:24 31:16	Ashbrook	assume
257:20 268:24	33:3 75:18,20 77:13	92:14 205:23 206:7,9	4:3 10:25 86:25 98:10
274:14,16,18,20,22	78:3 102:3,4 125:20	206:21 207:6,9,20	131:12 201:16 206:3
274:24 275:1,3,5	225:25 226:3 249:19	210:8,16 211:11	206:20 218:13 219:2
answered	approve	239:10	231:18 268:18
36:18 66:5 195:8	75:23 76:3 102:12	Ashbrook's	assumed
269:3	250:22 251:2,6,8	206:13 209:14	201:20,22 257:14
answering	approved	Ashmore	assuming
150:17	102:6,25 130:7,11	1:3 2:13 3:11 12:14,15	92:20 155:15 207:9
answers	141:16,18 149:13	17:18 40:5 53:23	210:12 219:10
28:5 130:24	approvers	57:7 58:2 75:7 78:11	attachment
anticipated	77:15	95:7 122:7 123:17,18	128:11
	I	I	

attempting	a.m	262:4	beyond
60:9 69:10 132:5	1:10 4:15 75:8	basically	52:6
attention		38:3 87:3 248:16	bias
75:5 116:3 157:17	B	basis	13:3
211:6,9	В	146:5 192:3 202:24	bid
attest	26:8 38:7,8 40:25 41:9	Bates	37:20 38:18 39:4
84:22	258:9 259:17 272:1	83:19 142:14 169:11	42:10 43:6,7 44:10
attestation	Bachelor's	177:13 220:17,21	46:18 49:4 80:13,15
264:5	5:22,24	252:25 272:5,10,15	81:24 134:6,8,19
Attorneys	back	272:20 273:2,7	137:12 153:14 154:8
2:3,8	21:7 24:25 37:21	bathroom	154:11 159:17
attribute	69:23 70:4 78:18	74:21	183:24 195:3 197:15
192:6	79:7 91:8,9 110:6	bears	197:17 228:23,25
audit	116:21 152:21	29:8 142:19	232:15,17 238:13
110:3,16,19 111:2,4	165:23 178:6 181:8	beginning	240:3,7 242:17 255:3
203:10	225:14 228:20	11:10 38:4 102:14	bidding
audited	234:20 243:22 258:2	123:18 125:13	80:24 90:11 133:17
109:20 137:19	259:5 269:4	behalf	134:4,10,14 135:12
auditing	backed	265:3	155:4 158:16,17
110:10,19,24	244:4	believe	229:10 249:20
auditors	backs	14:19 15:2 22:19,24	254:10
110:25 111:2	247:2	28:20 29:13,17 30:17	bids
audits	Baker	60:8 72:20 74:14	12:10
110:22 203:19	255:5	78:20 90:10 103:15	bid/no
August	Baltimore	109:2 123:15 141:12	37:20
1:9 30:8 270:1	9:3,6,7	160:24 168:5 232:24	big
available	band	233:3 236:20,23	162:10
103:17 138:23 168:2,8	100:17 267:20,24	237:2,4,6,8 240:24	biggest
168:12 214:16	base	248:12 251:21	108:19
Avenue	89:25 179:5,10,11,14	253:14 266:15	bill
1:16 2:4,8	199:4,8 200:19	268:16	206:18 233:8 245:5,6
average	209:25 210:17	Ben	245:7,10,10,12,16,17
157:20 158:7 168:16	212:13 228:7,22,23	122:7	billable
168:16 179:22,25	232:11 244:4 246:8,9	benefit	217:4,10,15 234:16
201:25 202:3,4,19	based	218:21 219:4	240:2 245:21
208:6,12,15,18,20,22	8:21,24,25 9:5 10:24	benefits	billed
209:4,6,7,8	14:10 74:19 90:8	218:7	207:24
award	104:3 127:21 128:3,5	Benjamin	billing
149:9 153:9,11,19	128:8,19 136:22	1:3 2:13 53:23	207:12 209:17 211:14
154:5,10,13,17	137:2 139:14,18	Bernardi	212:12 229:9 247:25
awarded	153:21 154:13	239:11	binders
112:14 113:11 149:13	159:21 173:21 175:7	best	238:24
155:16	186:17 195:5 199:21	44:14 135:3,3 162:16	birth
aware	201:10 209:8 233:23	173:22 243:4 260:23	5:4
26:12 31:12 83:19,23	235:16 247:18	better	bit
83:23 134:7 214:20	256:18 257:19 261:4	184:23	30:15 61:9
	l	l	l

blanket	BPR/BPI	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	190:1 191:1,17 192:1
83:6 111:20,21 254:11	116:23	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	192:10 193:1 194:1
273:16	BPS	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1	195:1 196:1,12,17
blend	49:8,8,10,12,14,17,22	68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1	197:1 198:1 199:1
100:22 101:4 161:25	50:5,14 51:3,6,12,20	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1	200:1 201:1,9 202:1
162:4	52:14,20 53:11 63:8	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	203:1 204:1 205:1
blended	76:25 126:9,21 127:6	80:1 81:1 82:1,25	206:1 207:1 208:1,25
101:6 179:22 182:13	141:8 223:11 224:4,9	83:1,2,11,12 84:1,10	209:1,2 210:1 211:1
192:21	224:20 225:3,4,5	84:16 85:1 86:1,7	212:1 213:1 214:1
blending	231:23 232:4 240:23	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	215:1 216:1 217:1
180:25 189:25	241:7,11,22 242:3,13	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	218:1 219:1 220:1,15
blood	break	95:1 96:1,2 97:1	220:16,20,24,25
271:17	74:21 235:23 241:9	98:1 99:1 100:1	221:1 222:1,2 223:1
blow	breaks	101:1 102:1 103:1	224:1 225:1,9,14,16
181:16	249:5	104:1 105:1 106:1	226:1,25 227:1 228:1
bold	brief	107:1 108:1 109:1	228:21 229:1 230:1
82:16	33:2	110:1 111:1,19 112:1	231:1 232:1 233:1
Bond	briefing	113:1 114:1 115:1	234:1 235:1 236:1
1:15 2:7	29:24 30:24 31:10	116:1,4 117:1,23	237:1 238:1 239:1
bonus	34:2	118:1 119:1 120:1	240:1 241:1 242:1
66:17,25 67:2,2,8	briefly	121:1 122:1 123:1	243:1 244:1 245:1
213:17 218:22 219:4	6:22	124:1,11 125:1 126:1	246:1 247:1 248:1
230:19	briefs	127:1 128:1,10 129:1	249:1,16,24 250:1,6
bonuses	34:2	130:1 131:1,4,7,7,15	251:1 252:1,23,24
67:4 212:20,23 213:8	bring	131:21 132:1,8 133:1	253:1,4 254:1 255:1
213:13,18,24 214:16	211:5,9	134:1 135:1 136:1	255:5 256:1 257:1
Booz	brings	137:1 138:1 139:1	258:1 259:1,18 260:1
8:3	234:18	140:1 141:1 142:1,12	261:1,9 262:1,23
Booz-Allen	broken	142:13,18 143:1	263:1,18 264:1,12
7:12	170:4 239:23 242:20	144:1 145:1 146:1	265:1 266:1 267:1
born	Bryson	147:1 148:1 149:1	268:1 269:1,10 270:2
6:23	1:13 3:2,8 4:1,9,18,24	150:1 151:1 152:1,7	271:10 272:4,9,14,19
boss	5:1,10 6:1 7:1 8:1	153:1 154:1 155:1	273:1,6,11 274:1
107:6,8	9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1	156:1 157:1 158:1	Bryson's
bottom	12:23 13:1 14:1 15:1	159:1 160:1 161:1	4:13 5:16 12:12 15:25
73:7 86:17 157:19	16:1 17:1 18:1,2	162:1 163:1 164:1	152:17
167:10 168:15	19:1 20:1 21:1,5	165:1 166:1 167:1	BU
Bowell	22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1	168:1,15 169:1,9,10	54:21
75:8,13 239:12	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1	169:14 170:1 171:1	bucket
box	30:1,16 31:1 32:1	172:1 173:1 174:1,10	215:18 236:14
47:7	33:1 34:1 35:1,10	175:1 176:1,13,14	buckets
BPA	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	177:1,11,12,17 178:1	215:17 230:18
112:3,11,14 113:11	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1	178:6 179:1 180:1	bucks
117:19 118:16,18,20	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1	181:1 182:1 183:1	207:13
118:24 125:10 129:5	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	184:1 185:1 186:1	budget
138:24 146:15	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	187:1 188:1 189:1	41:8 42:4 56:3 59:25
150.21110.15	22.1 23.1 2 1 33.1		

60:14,24 66:21 67:10	buying	80:21 222:23 248:23	137:13,16 159:15,17
70:7	135:3	capable	159:23 160:16 162:6
budgets	B&P	178:16	162:9 166:17,20,21
56:14	228:5,18,25 242:17	capital	166:24 167:4,14,16
build		_	171:5,10 172:9
	B-u-y 26:7	26:7,8	· /
149:5	20:7	card	173:20,25 175:18 176:3,15,22,25 179:7
building 228:13	C	82:13,17,18 99:3,14	
	$\frac{c}{c}$	105:5,6,13 106:3	180:17 186:8,11
builds	2:2 32:25 38:13 42:9	107:18 117:12,17	188:10,21 190:11
148:24	78:3 258:9 259:17	118:11 123:10	198:17 199:18 209:4
buildup	271:1,1	125:16 136:21,24	210:17 253:21
147:16 148:15 249:22	calculate	163:8,16 170:22	254:22 255:3 257:15
built	24:16 25:7 59:20,20	199:12	267:9,11 268:15
74:14	63:19 68:7 101:17	cards	cause
bunch	121:21 158:7 202:18	140:16	211:18
160:21 161:3		care	caused
burdened	222:15 234:15	59:6 173:18	256:10 261:24,25
157:2,3,10,12	247:17	careful	cc
Burger	calculated	12:18	77:10
107:5,19 165:4,16	14:9,10,14 33:12,15	Carragher	Centers
business	34:11,23 37:6 59:10	239:11	225:20 247:8
6:2 14:11,12 45:19,20	59:14 101:24 120:4	case	cents
45:24 49:5,6,8,9,11	128:5 130:7 131:24	18:21 72:16 104:10	234:19
49:14,19,22 50:9	132:3 155:13,19	110:16 158:10	Cerimeli
51:2 54:22 55:2	157:4 168:17 199:7	162:18 177:4 262:7	252:11
57:22 76:23 83:5	213:7 223:17 232:19	270:1	certain
88:23 100:19,21,23	233:18 234:24	categories	15:5 48:10 50:15
120:23 121:5 125:23	246:15	34:24 80:13 81:20	74:13,15 81:20 94:24
126:2,19 127:5,15,17	calculating	97:24 99:16 111:23	95:2 135:13 194:20
127:19 128:25 131:5	63:17 101:23 222:20	130:8 131:2 132:25	201:16 210:14
133:20,22 138:5	calculation	161:3,13,17 163:3	214:24 231:20
159:16,18,19,20,25	22:23 25:3,5 89:3	169:5,24 170:5,13,14	236:21 239:17,18
160:6 161:5,16	210:22 224:2 241:23	170:21,23,24 174:13	244:20,25
169:19,24 170:3	249:7	177:20 181:11	certainly
176:22 178:22	calculations	208:15 236:18	109:12 134:24
179:23,23,24 180:2	224:6 244:7 249:9,12	238:10 243:24 253:8	certificate
180:25 183:5 189:3	249:12	253:24 254:19	267:6
189:10 190:8,12	calendar	257:22 259:7,15	certification
193:2,4,19,21 194:9	18:22	260:15,18,22 263:10	264:5
194:13 208:13,21	call	264:15,22 265:9	certified
209:2 256:6 258:16	8:17 26:4 43:21 74:7	categorized	1:17,18 260:9 271:6,7
258:17 259:25 260:4	92:10 96:25 97:2	178:14 203:25	certify
260:6,8 267:15 268:9	102:20,21 164:6	category	271:9,15
273:15	196:20	52:4 79:4,13,15,21,25	CF
Buy	called	80:8 89:20 99:17	97:15
135:3,4	3:3 31:3 46:12 49:18	129:9,11 133:4	CGI
	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>

1.6 6 7.14 9.14 0.7	204:15	40.2 50.22 60.2 5 0	50.4 105.02 104.14 10
1:6,6 7:14 8:14 9:7		40:2 59:23 60:3,5,9	58:4 105:23 124:14,18
9:17,17 10:12 12:20	Changery	233:16,17 classified	124:19 163:7 179:3,5
14:6 16:4 17:21	57:20		179:6 183:6 185:7,16
32:22 33:24 40:6	changes	157:21 158:3 161:10	200:2,7,18,19,19
47:9 49:13,15,17	204:15 270:2	166:20 168:19 169:4	209:11
50:9 52:3,4,20 56:8	characterization	169:7 172:8 178:18	columns
63:2 67:22 70:23	30:20 33:23	194:4 204:5	58:13 124:12,15 182:7
79:5 80:2,12 84:6	characters	classifies	183:4 200:22,23
87:3,8,14,18,24	78:7,13	194:6	201:2
90:24 92:21 100:13	charge	cleaners	combination
100:18 112:13,15	70:13 76:17,23,25,25	231:15	183:12
113:8 121:4 125:24	94:18,23 96:7 133:20	clear	combinations
126:5 130:3,4,4	133:22 134:25 135:8	66:2 266:10	265:9
131:10 132:9,14	136:7 238:20 239:19	client	combine
134:5,12,13,18	239:19 240:3	39:3 44:10 46:12 54:4	170:6
136:12 138:6,13,16	charged	80:4,6,15 88:13	combined
139:3,7 140:11 141:3	136:25 240:7	108:2,23 109:16	268:7
141:23 142:19 158:3	charges	245:7,11,16,20	combining
167:17 168:9,11	137:25	client's	192:5
169:15 170:5,23	charging	108:2,15 109:7	come
171:5,9 173:10 178:9	134:23 137:24	close	95:10 101:3 102:18
178:14 184:15,19	chart	31:19 52:5 59:3	105:12,22 110:17
185:22 202:13 204:2	37:4 81:14 128:18	closely	121:24 136:7 163:24
223:8,10,11 227:3,4	261:16	95:8 248:22	177:5 178:7 179:20
240:23 245:9 250:12	check	clue	180:24 183:14
251:7 254:4 255:16	38:17 257:7,17 258:11	35:16	189:25 194:22
264:4 266:6 270:1	258:15,20 259:15,21	CM	201:24 226:2 234:8
CGI's	260:10	176:7	235:3 246:21 261:16
5:13,18 37:6 109:18	checked	CMS	269:4
142:3 174:9 225:19	96:16 165:23	225:12,23,25 227:3,4	comes
CGI_ASHMORE	Choice	227:21 247:8,12,18	208:21 246:12
142:15 169:12 177:14	83:14 86:6 117:2,6	249:16 250:13,22	comfortable
220:18,22 253:2	274:4	251:16,19	117:20 175:10 242:22
272:6,11,16,21 273:3		code	244:5
273:8	183:7 194:23 264:22	239:19	coming
chain	choosing	codes	50:12,13 253:16
77:19	86:21 194:22	240:4	command
chances		codifications	163:3
189:21	chose 156:3 259:15	255:20	comment
		coffee	181:15
change 44:13 80:10 88:14	circumstance	109:13	
102:12 122:15 125:2	59:12		commenting
	CIV	coincidental	5:20
204:10 251:19 270:4	1:5	242:10	commercial
270:6,8,10,12,14,16	clarification	college	50:3 51:12 224:7
changed	44:17	6:20 175:4	commission
39:23 46:9 48:21	clarify	column	14:7,13,17,23 15:6,7
	I	1	I

15:15,16 16:18 66:11	compliance	31:14 38:22 127:19	continue
66:12,19 67:8 270:24	102:19 110:2,4 111:8	138:9 184:2,4 185:10	8:23
commissions	111:9 222:18,21	197:14	CONTINUED
215:20	*		4:22
	249:14 252:4,10,16	considering 185:24	
commit	component		contract
38:11	34:22 62:9,13 63:20	considers	39:7 43:14,18,24,25
commitment	91:21 108:24 134:9	39:2	44:21 46:22 55:14,17
56:24 80:22,23	209:6 231:9	consist	63:12,12 80:4 98:19
committing	components	142:19	98:24 99:10,13
40:25 42:19,22 43:2,4	33:20 34:20 64:4	consistent	111:22 112:14
43:8,11	221:24 228:10	15:25 88:10	125:12 126:13,14,15
communications	comprehensive	consists	129:9 130:10 131:2
19:13	213:24 214:18	42:17 44:2	133:4 135:11 148:10
comp	computer	consult	148:12 149:3,15,16
237:9	23:8 102:22 222:5	65:20 103:22,23,25	149:17,18 151:9,13
company	230:23 248:14	127:24 139:6 140:14	155:16,24 156:6
6:15 8:18 10:11 42:19	concerned	164:25 165:7,9,15,20	203:10,14 224:13,17
42:22 43:3,4,8 46:17	137:23	168:9 170:19 197:5	226:21 237:3
110:25 209:17	concerns	197:10 198:9 213:23	contracting
214:13,15 224:19	165:8	268:4	110:17 225:19 247:10
232:6 240:18,19	confident	consultant	247:14 251:3
company's	63:22 97:25 237:13	10:12,13,15,16,20,22	contractor
242:6	confidential	11:7 129:9 133:24	97:9 121:20,25 210:13
compared	73:7	137:13	214:22 219:11
242:3 260:20	confined	consulted	235:14
compelling	126:22	19:4 24:7 27:19,25	contractors
113:25	confirming	28:11,17 140:12	251:13,18
compensation	117:20	162:21 166:2 171:24	contractor's
7:22 8:6 10:14,19	confused	172:3,12 184:16	106:5 208:3 251:12
11:20 12:5,13,20	59:24	consulting	contracts
13:6,8,17,24 14:3	confusion	6:16,18 7:2,21 8:10,11	102:18 110:7 151:3
16:10 66:9,17,23	69:5,7	8:19 9:16 53:11 83:5	153:4,5 203:20,21
162:13 175:12	conjunction	273:16	224:10 225:22
204:24 205:11,16	106:11,13	contact	231:15
216:4 217:22,25	Conklin	91:3 225:15	contribution
218:16	89:7 91:15 92:12	contain	119:19
competitors	239:10	266:25	controller
235:13	Conklin's	contained	8:17 67:23 75:11
complete	90:18	33:18 34:18 37:10	96:12 214:6 232:8
97:20	connection	130:9 249:24	conversation
completely	115:6 144:11	containing	20:9,10,14,15,19
110:21 150:4	consider	123:9	conversations
completes	60:7 185:13 190:10,15	contains	19:11,17
71:24	considerations	27:14	copied
completion	137:9	context	77:15
44:5 93:15	considered	55:6	copiers
	l	l	_

	1	1	1
231:15	226:18 228:15	13:3	124:17,19
copies	244:13,23 251:10,15	Crigler	deal
22:20	costs	111:12 252:19	82:11 92:23 153:15
copy	34:25 44:4 56:12 62:4	cross	deals
100:9 123:2,9 177:17	108:14 109:4,18,19	53:8	146:8 154:9 183:25
copyright	109:20 120:5,6 128:6		197:15,17
142:20	137:20 218:4 232:21	6:24	Deb
corner	233:24 234:8,11,12	current	47:15
86:13 142:20 143:24	234:14 243:22 244:3	13:6,7 196:25 197:3	debate
corporate	244:14,17 247:2,16	customize	30:21 52:9
215:12,13,15 237:7	247:22	153:21	decide
correct	cost-reimbursable	cut	38:11 68:6 266:14
73:12 79:23 87:20	225:22	5:8 73:9 122:19	decided
88:23,24 91:19,19	Cott	124:13,14 262:2	226:20
96:22 105:25 124:9	5:14 18:6 30:7 34:3,4	C-r-i-g-l-e-r	decides
132:15 139:23	36:7,23 152:5,12	111:12	14:21
141:10 148:11 159:5	counsel	C.R.R	deciding
167:22 172:13	265:25	271:25	14:23
200:17 227:5 246:22	County	C.S.R	decision
259:20	6:24 271:4	271:25	34:4 211:4 226:7
correctly	couple		dedicated
167:19 178:14	147:22 245:25 261:18	D	242:7
correlates	course	D	Defendants
117:21	5:23 97:10 202:5	38:20,21 44:9,9 258:9	1:7 2:8
correlation	court	data	defense
206:18	1:2 16:2 30:9 34:5	91:6	203:10,14,20
correspond	35:25 114:3 268:25	database	deferred
57:13 253:10	courtesy	61:14,15 90:20,22	216:4 218:16
correspondence	77:12	92:21	define
22:19 23:20,21,23	courts	datapoint	185:19
cost	17:10,20	199:16	defined
27:4,7,9,14 43:13,17	cover	date	167:5,15,17 185:15
44:16 60:22 98:22	127:7,9 221:10 234:11	5:3 18:23 29:6,9 32:24	definition
108:6,10 124:12	covers	143:23,25 144:6	88:10
135:6,18 142:25	209:23	253:20 270:1	definitively
143:10,17 144:11,18	create	dated	38:11 57:17 117:10
145:12,15,18 147:8	112:12 167:3 170:11	29:5	degree
147:14,15 148:3,4,15	186:4,5	day	5:22,24 6:7,20 267:6
148:24 149:15,17,19	created	36:22 162:25 269:13	deliberate
149:23 151:5,9 153:4	100:22 115:14 149:10	270:20 271:21	211:4
153:5,7,8,9,17,18,18	186:20 257:5	days	deliver
153:19 154:25 155:7	creates	32:23	81:6 88:14
156:3,9,11,16,20,24	118:17	DCAA	delivering
156:25 179:11,13	creating	203:7 225:15,24	81:3 82:7
206:19 208:10	100:20 226:24	DCM	delivery
209:16 226:5,13,14	credibility	119:11,15,18 120:4	54:16 193:2,4 194:10
			Í

	I	I	I
delta	156:13	166:16 170:20	143:15 145:22 146:4
235:14	deriving	171:17 172:4 173:7	146:22 147:7,22,25
denominated	104:13	174:17 175:2,3 179:2	148:2 153:4,12,14,15
159:4 160:9	describe	188:11 199:22	158:19 160:22 161:3
denominators	156:7 208:19	213:23 228:16	161:12,17,18 166:24
248:17	described	229:16 257:9 260:22	167:4 169:23 212:19
departed	28:16 133:3 157:5	determined	214:15 215:7 218:20
64:15	162:6 232:13	70:8 106:11,13,24	224:8 243:8 248:18
department	describes	209:23 233:5	250:13 251:18
17:12 53:2 83:7	27:12,13 147:15 155:8	determines	253:24 265:9
102:19 110:4 111:16	157:9 258:3	79:3,13,24 171:8	differently
165:5 203:20 214:14	describing	268:25	109:17
252:16 273:18	105:12 244:6	determining	difficulty
departments	description	56:23	266:16
222:18	79:11 90:8 139:12	develop	diligence
depend	162:10 173:20,21,21	90:9 100:5,6 139:9,10	59:4
110:3 216:18 217:2	174:25 186:16	240:14	direct
depending	198:17,18 199:19,21	developed	12:22 13:10 16:13
27:16 54:6 104:6	256:9 259:23,24	89:24 139:17	18:16 33:8 34:25
110:5 119:16 216:23	266:20 267:9,10,25	developer	44:4 67:22 75:5
261:21	272:3	178:22	101:6,9 103:5 105:20
depends	descriptions	developing	109:19 119:18 120:5
43:6 44:11 76:14	97:24 99:17 170:6	27:13 138:10	129:25 156:20
108:23 110:8 115:21	214:18 248:19	development	157:14,16,19 158:9
136:18 187:6 239:7	253:21 264:24	27:3 58:21 159:9	208:2,5,10 216:17,17
deposition	265:14,15,21 266:19	229:4 233:2 240:11	216:21 217:13,17,20
1:13 4:13,14,16 5:12	266:21,23,24	243:18 247:4,16	217:22,23,24 228:5
5:17 12:10 17:17	designating	Development's	228:15,23 230:10
18:2,6 23:18 28:8,11	87:2	83:8 273:20	232:12,20 234:7
29:6 30:12 33:9 34:8	designation	deviating	241:20 244:13,14,18
34:15 35:12 36:10	81:8	52:6	244:21,23 245:2,5,6
37:3 40:8 52:7 75:4	desktop	device	245:7,17,18 246:15
78:19 93:9,16 98:3	73:23	112:11	246:18
123:16 151:15	detail	devising	directed
152:13 270:1 271:11	145:25 146:2,19	140:15	104:7,10
271:13	149:12 155:8 221:11	differ	Directing
derivation	detailed	148:5 153:17 246:8	116:3
105:4	85:21 146:6	difference	direction
derive	details	119:23 149:14 244:24	214:11
90:14 93:20,25 138:4	156:12 244:6 248:9	differences	directly
158:9 161:24 175:8	determination	154:24	77:16 229:8 232:4
derived	93:11 162:8	different	238:20 245:11,16
12:12 35:13,21 89:13	determine	26:5 39:14 49:4 50:8	director
89:17 92:4 121:16	103:23 104:23 106:2	54:9 58:11,13 67:3,5	107:13,15 111:9 196:3
129:21 136:12,24	121:22 137:17	91:15,16 103:6	205:18,19,24 206:5
146:2 149:9 155:5	162:15 165:23 166:3	110:21 137:15	206:21 207:10
	I	I	I

directors	168:17 201:18	234:17,17	Early
207:14	division	dollars	21:11
director's	45:19 110:21	43:12 136:25 184:20	earn
206:25	document	184:21	192:4 205:2
disagree	22:25 27:11 29:12,17	door	earned
33:22	29:20,21 30:6 31:7,8	254:21	260:7
disclose	45:7 53:18 69:3,13	doubt	easier
136:10	78:10,10,14 82:12	99:8	77:17 182:8,16
discount	83:3,13 84:14 100:24	Dowdy	easily
106:3,9 108:7 234:24	113:5 120:17,19	86:16 88:20 89:6	265:7
235:4	123:6,6,14 124:4	91:15 92:12 128:23	eBuy
discreet	130:16 131:6,8,10	133:23 239:10	26:4,10
196:15,16	132:8,11,25 142:21	Dowdy's	economics
discretion	142:24 143:5 152:9	90:15	6:3
14:15,19 162:4,19,22	154:2 155:6 156:12	dozen	education
163:12,15 174:21,23	157:6,7,9,18 172:18	19:19 25:15	128:21
183:12,15 189:25	174:2 176:10 177:6	draft	effective
195:24 196:6 265:2,6	177:21 181:4,5,23	261:19	179:16
268:14	191:21 196:15,16,18	draw	effort
discretionary	211:20 213:22	206:17	239:24
103:21	214:12,20 220:12	drifting	efforts
discuss	221:2,7,8 225:9	51:25	17:5
163:24	250:4 253:5,15 259:9	driven	either
discussed	262:11,17,25 263:11	36:10 49:20,21,21	19:22 68:3 94:17 97:8
213:4	265:24 273:12 274:2	dropped	102:10 107:18
discussing	documentation	68:11	109:18 121:25 132:9
229:19	28:18 81:7	due	214:13 217:18
discussion	documents	32:24 59:4 144:6	264:14
22:3	22:14,16 27:18 28:4	253:20	elect
disseminated	28:10,15 33:16 42:12	duly	210:24
107:21	47:11 78:6 83:21	3:4 4:20 271:12	elected
distinguished	84:5,20,22,23 85:15	duties	195:6,12 233:7 256:19
49:12	97:7,19 98:9 101:3	26:23,24 31:24 52:13	electronic
distinguishes	112:22 123:19,22	52:19 54:2,6,8 71:8	24:6 123:23
224:19	131:22 133:7 135:23		elements
distinguishing	135:25 142:9 197:21	E	66:23 103:16 183:13
48:12	213:22	\mathbf{E}	244:8
DISTRICT	Doe	2:2,2 26:7,8 37:18	eligible
1:2,2	260:11	39:6,7 44:20 271:1,1	79:14
divide	doing	272:1	email
60:19 61:20 201:19	9:23 43:14 52:19	earlier	22:19 23:20,21,22
234:6	54:19 72:2 162:25	18:22 56:21 64:16	75:6,17 77:19 78:11
divided	181:4 207:19,21	65:18 76:13 118:16	95:11,12,16,19
91:23 121:23 223:5	217:3,4 225:2,3	136:23 139:19 143:2	117:21 123:9,20
233:19 234:3 246:20	235:20 240:4 245:25	148:9 158:3 212:21	164:3 211:24
dividing	dollar	213:4 235:5 264:13	emailing
			I

96:8	equated	188:10 202:11 208:6	29:23 30:24 31:4,10
emails	184:3	everybody's	32:2,25 45:8 46:13
95:24 104:23 105:4,10	equipment	61:17	47:25 54:21,22 55:2
164:14 165:23	109:15 222:5 230:23	exact	78:2 163:19 237:9
211:25	248:14	18:23 76:7 131:5	executives
employed	especially	226:6	33:2 54:5
40:6 70:21 111:13	12:17 30:16 114:10	exactly	exempt
241:10 252:20	118:20 239:17	13:21 66:19 70:2	168:19 191:16,18,25
employee	ESQ	93:12 106:14 108:12	192:4 201:17 202:5,7
65:22 166:10 191:11	2:5,10	183:19 215:18 216:6	202:20 216:24
202:13	essential	218:25 235:7 237:13	exercise
employees	35:12	244:7 248:13	166:15 174:23 183:15
45:18 79:4,25 80:12	essentially	EXAMINATION	195:23 196:5
138:6,9 157:21	33:4 112:11 152:6	3:7 4:22	exercises
168:19 191:18,19	234:7	examined	210:2
202:20 220:2	established	3:6 4:20	exhaustive
employment	148:21 227:22	example	213:20
6:11	establishing	43:5 51:13 73:8,23	exhibit
encompass	148:13	76:24 89:19 90:4	12:11,11 28:21,24
52:14	estate	100:19 112:6 120:22	29:4,7 30:13,14
encompasses	108:18,20,21,24 109:5	142:25 146:16	33:19 34:9,19 35:4
260:2	109:14 231:9,12	159:15 169:18	35:13 36:8,12 37:4
endeavor	estimate	176:17,18 177:3	37:11 52:2 75:3 77:3
83:25	25:18 56:7 62:14	185:13 187:22 189:4	81:17 82:25 83:2,11
engage	64:24 65:5,13,19,22	228:14 239:20,21	83:12 86:12 89:5
158:23 245:5	145:9,13 189:8	241:20 243:8,16,17	99:5 114:5,7,9,13,15
engaged	234:12,13	263:12 267:16	114:16 115:4,9
158:19 164:23	estimated	examples	131:25 132:17
ensure	42:4 58:6 65:15 74:18	159:2	142:12,13 158:12
80:12	128:6 233:24 263:10	Excel	163:8 169:9,10
enter	estimates	24:20 181:24	172:19 177:11,12
39:2 44:9	27:6	exception	185:5 210:9 220:15
entire	estimating	41:7	220:16,20 247:23
58:10 159:20	62:23 196:9,14,21	excessive	249:3,10 250:3
entirely	223:3	137:21	252:23,24 253:11
236:13	et	exchange	263:16 272:4,9,14,19
entirety	270:1	95:20	273:1,6,11 274:1
25:22	evaluated	excludes	275:7,11
entitled	118:4,22 268:11	245:2	Exhibits
13:5 16:11 37:9 114:6	evaluation	excluding	115:7
130:17 135:12 150:6	115:25	246:23	exist
150:15 151:6 213:10	event	excuse	237:11
Environmental	137:18	4:10 161:7	expect
6:2	everybody	execution	206:22,25 210:6 223:6
equals	61:6 77:18 163:25	44:21	expected
42:4 199:11	168:25 178:14	executive	56:25 58:6,17 80:25
	l	l	

81:23 119:21 121:9	explaining	43:19 84:11 140:3	179:18 180:22
121:13 122:7 124:25	42:18	251:4,5 268:19	192:21 198:8 201:25
		far	
223:8,12 240:3,19	explore		210:10 234:3,4
expecting	130:24	5:21 40:21 70:22,24	figured
56:9	extensive	79:14 135:21 137:23	255:16
expedition	23:6 24:2,11 25:12	152:4 249:23	figures
35:23 152:7	261:21	fashion	120:21 122:21 136:22
expense	extent	181:14 198:2 215:5	262:24
223:3 230:12 231:8	35:14,18,22 37:14	fast	figuring
233:14,25 234:19	89:21 152:23 175:13	187:11 265:10	211:15
238:9 240:11		federal	file
expenses	F	1:6 9:17 48:25 49:13	24:3,6,11 102:23
108:14 220:4 222:5	\mathbf{F}	49:15,17,25 50:13	files
233:21 236:5,17	1:17 2:10 3:5 271:1,6	51:13,16 63:2 67:22	22:18 23:2,3,6 26:4
237:17 238:22	271:25	80:2,12 112:13,15	fill
241:25 243:19	facilitate	113:9 126:5,14	71:20 207:6,8 235:20
244:10	115:24	127:15 130:4 223:8	filling
experience	facilities	223:10,11 224:3,5,10	72:14
54:14 104:3 128:20	235:11	225:2,4 240:23	fills
175:6 187:17 188:5	facility	250:16 251:2	202:13
188:12 258:7,13	222:6 231:8	fee	final
259:16 260:12	fact	103:13,21,24 104:8,14	33:3 38:17 44:14
experienced	12:17 48:13 84:22	136:16 149:19,20	104:14 105:23
260:7	99:4 109:5 132:23	153:8,8,9,10,11,11	249:22
expert	227:3 229:18 257:16	153:18,18,19 154:4,4	finalize
72:8 122:8 160:8,20	factor	154:5,9,10,11,12,13	104:5
160:23 161:8,13,15	62:20,21 175:13	154:15,16,17 156:22	finally
162:2 167:7,10 172:7	184:25	200:11,25 219:12	235:8
173:5,10,12 176:3,4	factors	237:17	finance
180:15 182:21,25	174:22 183:13,20,21	feedback	53:2 110:7 214:4
183:25 185:12,17	fair	262:5	222:18,19 236:23
186:15 190:19	38:25 48:9 86:25	feel	· ·
192:10 199:25 208:5	87:16 89:2 116:7		246:7 249:13
	117:9 127:20 153:23	117:20 163:21,22 175:9 242:22 244:5	financial
208:11 255:20 256:2	194:11 204:23		7:17 9:23 41:2,6 42:12
256:22 257:17,21,23	253:25 254:7	feels	42:20 43:3 107:13
expertise		137:20 163:25	financially
186:19 256:5 257:10	Fairfax	fees	42:18
experts	8:22,24,25 9:5	157:15	financials
160:12 184:15,19	fairly	fell	248:23
185:21 194:5 195:4	63:22	55:9 186:8,11	find
256:15	faith	felt	40:4 68:8 81:8 83:25
Expires	60:8	118:23 164:24 261:7	99:18 102:16 116:16
270:24	fall	Fewer	132:2 168:24 187:21
explain	167:8 183:5 223:23	19:21	188:3,4,13 191:25
32:4 36:11 113:12	267:21,23	figure	195:13 265:18 266:3
184:22	familiar	91:9 121:15,24 124:13	fine
		l	l

27.9 40.14 47.14	25.11 10	102.17 100.0 16	62.15 20 22 62.10 19
	35:11,18 focused	183:17 188:8,16 192:15 194:2 197:9	62:15,20,23 63:10,18 63:19 64:3,9,12,19
0	240:2	198:25 202:22	64:20 65:5,14 66:11
	folks	203:23 204:4 205:3	66:18,20,24 67:6,10
	51:20 87:4 110:17	205:12 206:16,24	67:18 73:17,19 120:5
93:9	134:23 232:9	207:16 208:9 209:20	215:23 216:9,11,13
	follow	211:23 212:9 213:2	218:13,15,21 219:3,4
	36:17 182:17,25 183:4	214:2,8 215:5 217:8	222:5 230:12 249:6
	following	218:24 219:8,23	fringes
	227:22 258:7 270:2,3	223:18 224:11,15,23	211:16 213:6 243:7
	follows	227:15 232:2 236:19	full
,	3:6 4:21	237:19 239:6,15	9:24 10:6 88:12
*	footnote	241:16 243:15	178:19 202:4,7
	74:17	248:20 261:2 263:4	254:16
	forecast	264:10 267:2	fully
,	233:24	format	3:18 64:12 157:2,3,10
	forget	123:23 181:24	157:12 219:24 263:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	84:3	former	full-time
	forgot	166:10	191:22 201:10,13
* * *	9:11	forth	function
	form	36:11 131:24 161:8	31:23 52:25 204:18,18
	7:5 13:19 14:2 23:16	211:17 271:11	204:25 207:18
fiscal	24:5,9 26:15 32:7	forties	252:18
25:8 102:11 128:7	36:12 45:10,22 48:5	7:25	functional
fishing	48:17 50:20 52:22	forward	53:8 129:2 193:6,8,9
35:22 152:6	63:15 64:7 65:2,11	148:18 149:11 155:15	193:12,21 194:10,22
fit	65:24 66:15 68:25	found	194:23 195:14
112:2 184:5,8	71:16 72:19 79:10	167:19	funky
five	80:18 81:11 82:2	four	78:7
33:5 39:9 175:6	85:19 87:7,22 88:4	19:24 24:12 55:19	further
187:20 221:7,9,9,16	89:9 91:18 94:6 98:7	57:8,11,16 58:11,13	4:21 5:20 12:5,24 16:2
221:18 222:23 250:7	101:21 103:8 106:7	143:15 145:18,19	36:20 47:13 142:2
250:8 262:20	108:9,17 109:9	147:7,13 189:15,23	181:15 271:15
fix	110:12 116:13	190:20 259:13	future
50:22	117:16 118:15 119:8	fourth	146:20 251:19 254:23
fixed	120:3 125:4 126:3,8	73:4	
43:7 98:22 136:2	126:25 127:12	frame	$\frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{G}}$
153:8,11,18 154:4,12	132:10,20 133:6,8	78:21	G
154:15,16	134:16 135:15 136:5	framework	128:11
flag	137:6 139:24 144:14	77:22	Gail
211:18	145:8,21 149:4 154:7	frankly	1:16 3:5 271:6,25
flags	156:19 158:15 159:7	178:13	gap
211:21 212:2	160:4,18 163:18	Friday	235:20
flexibility	166:12 170:8 171:2	77:7	gate
254:18 268:8	173:17 175:21	fringe	31:14 38:17
focus	180:19 182:15	60:20 61:24 62:2,3,13	gee
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

general	203:16	95:15 98:12 99:14	115:25 251:2
20:25 53:3 62:19	gentlemen	101:2,18 113:20	graduate
152:14,16,20 184:18	36:24	120:11 122:11,14	5:23 175:4
205:14 215:3 220:3	getting	123:8 129:25 131:19	granular
233:13 236:5,9,16	52:5 77:16 95:9	133:19,22,25 134:12	176:15,16 248:4,6
237:16 243:19	151:20	135:4,24 138:6,12	249:23
246:12 251:3 263:23	give	142:17 148:18	granularly
generally	14:23 25:18 30:5,15	149:10,25 150:16,24	249:6
11:16 31:13 32:8,13	31:21 35:9 37:15	152:10 154:2 155:15	grateful
32:15,17 40:23 41:3	93:14 103:3 108:7	164:13 172:22 176:5	40:10
41:21,25 42:17 44:2	114:20 118:9 120:19	178:6 190:23 192:19	Graves
44:22 45:6,18 46:15	184:10	196:22 205:2 206:2	120:25
50:6 54:2,3 59:13	given	207:6,8 230:15,25	great
62:18 72:9 95:11	45:17 90:3 130:25	234:20 254:5 258:2	155:8
109:16 110:4 112:2	161:23 175:14	259:5 265:20 266:8	greater
115:20 154:17	271:14	269:3	25:15
158:17 161:21	gives	good	
165:11 205:5,6,10	199:3,5 248:24 254:17	3:8,9 60:8 66:6,8	ground 39:24 147:24
207:3 209:22 211:24	268:8	123:7	
216:16 220:10 240:2		Gosh	groundrules 39:11
	go 5:21 6:8,20 26:5 59:21	25:21	
generate 177:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		group 1:6 130:4 232:8 270:1
	61:10,12 68:8 69:22	gotten 125:14 254:15	
generated 34:22 89:4 105:10	74:11 91:8,9 102:16		grouped
121:7 130:6 131:10	113:16,19 116:21	government	161:16
	143:7 156:16,17	6:17 7:21 8:10,19 9:16 25:9 29:25 30:2	groups
131:12 132:9,12 163:16 172:19,20	170:23 171:10,11 174:22 181:8 197:16	31:16 32:20 38:10	32:21 GSA
177:23 214:13	221:13,15 255:19	46:16 47:8 48:13	
generating	263:23 265:14	51:17,19 97:8 101:25	250:25 251:3,4,5 263:23
56:13	goals	102:2,5,12,24,25	
generation	104:6	103:18 106:4,10	guaranteed 154:14
27:5 164:16	Godleski	108:3 109:21 110:16	
generic	107:10	111:24 112:2,14	guess 8:16 9:4 11:9 106:11
7:17 35:15 60:25		115:21 121:20 122:2	111:8 145:11 147:19
63:18 64:10,14 65:9	goes 13:2 15:23 35:5,5	137:17,19,25 143:19	177:2 184:23 190:25
65:12 68:3,4,6,7	161:21 208:3 222:21	143:21,25 144:4	203:5 234:19 236:15
69:17 70:8 71:12,21	226:2 239:22	146:18 148:16 149:7	241:9 257:19
71:21 72:6,13 74:2,9	going	155:4 167:6,20	guide
74:12 147:19 148:5	3:16 4:12 5:19 12:3,22	170:10 173:3,4,9,14	69:11
155:21 156:4,5,24	15:21,22 16:12 17:23	173:25 186:14 203:8	guidelines
159:16 256:21	17:24 18:3,16 30:4,5	224:3 233:6 234:23	187:11
generically	30:21 31:20 33:7	235:11,15 249:16	
155:7 267:4	36:20,24,25 37:15	250:16 263:8 264:7	guys 123:5
genericized	51:23 61:10 68:5,24	governmental	G&A
61:7	69:22,23 72:10,15	48:11	103:11,20 108:25
gentleman	87:4,25 92:10,18,25	government's	120:6 200:8,21
8	,	8	120.0 200.0,21

221:19 233:13	Health	164:13 166:6 169:8	6:7
236:24 238:9,12	218:12 247:13	172:22 177:10	hold
239:20,21,22 240:6	hear	181:10 182:11	25:19 32:25
241:25 242:21	60:10 105:15 192:18	190:22 192:17	holiday
243:22 244:8,9	heard	196:19,22 220:14	62:6,7 64:23 216:10
246:15,23 247:2,16	3:18 4:3 141:14 266:2	227:18 235:22 250:2	230:15
	hearing	252:22 262:16,20	home
H	30:9 34:6 36:6	265:20 266:8,11	9:4,9,12 21:16,18
Н	heart	268:21	222:6 231:16,19,21
272:1	197:8	Herbst's	237:7 240:25 241:3
half	held	40:2	244:9
19:19 20:25 21:10,20	1:14 38:8,21 40:20	hereinbefore	Homes
Hamilton	Helms	271:11	19:7
7:13	19:8,9,12,20 20:3	hereunto	honestly
hand	27:20	271:21	38:21 106:18 127:14
77:16 250:5 271:21	help	Herndon	hoped
handle	41:17,19,21,25 56:22	203:17	125:9
246:3	59:14,16,19,20 60:3	he'll	hour
handles	83:24 164:24	266:3	20:24,25 21:10,10,20
109:25	helped	HHS	44:2 58:9 59:8 72:10
handling	79:21 143:3,4 162:15	63:12 247:12,18 250:9	88:15 133:25 137:2
247:20	helpful	250:22 262:25	137:14 202:16 209:5
happen	74:2 158:22 221:16	high	261:22
51:10 83:19 207:9	helps	100:14 163:21 266:22	hourly
250:12	175:3,8	higher	35:3 74:18 130:8
happened	Herbst	139:22 154:11,17	139:20 179:6,11
225:6	2:3,5 3:7,10 4:8,11,23	183:8 191:24 205:15	199:4 209:14,16
happening	5:18 12:6 13:2,12	206:21,23 207:2,13	hours
21:25	16:7,14 17:13,19	241:23 242:2	41:23 42:3 44:3 54:17
happens	18:8,18 19:14 21:6	highest	56:25 58:4,5,7,18,20
251:16	24:24 25:10 27:23	183:8	58:25 59:3,7 60:19
happy	28:9 30:3,19 31:18	highlighted	61:21 121:10,17,24
84:8 105:15	32:9 33:13 34:12	82:15	122:12 191:22 192:3
hard	36:4,25 37:8 40:7	highly	199:5 201:10,19,19
22:20 123:2 187:11	50:22 52:8 60:2 69:6	27:15 256:16	201:21 202:9,19
265:10	69:16,22 70:3 71:6	hire	261:19 263:11
hardship	82:24 83:10,18,22	158:18 190:11 258:25	housing
181:13	84:7 92:10,18 93:4	hired	83:8,14 86:6 117:2,6
HCV	93:13 95:13,23 96:14	7:13 166:22 171:9	256:7 273:19 274:4
53:11,12 116:24	98:10 105:3,9 112:19	245:23 264:14	HR
head	112:24 113:7,14,18	267:14	32:23 61:14,15 90:22
104:16 171:16 206:11	114:4,12,17 122:25	hiring	91:3 158:6 161:10,14
headed	123:7,24 124:9,22	66:25 171:4 264:14,21	166:21,24 169:4,7
73:11 86:19,20	130:12 131:17 132:4	265:3 267:7 268:3,12	204:6,8 214:14 232:9
heads	142:5,11 150:5,12,21	268:13	264:14 265:19
31:22	151:5,14,19 152:19	history	268:12,17
	I	I	I

HTV	identical	148:3 212:7 213:9	158:11 259:22
116:23	154:2	214:22 215:4,6 218:6	individual's
HUD	identification	219:18,20 220:9	171:7
23:19 24:16 25:24	83:3,13 142:14 169:11	221:11,22 222:14	inexpensive
26:2,13,18 27:2	177:13 190:24	230:6,13 236:13,24	257:2
46:21 48:3,8,24 49:3	220:17,21 252:25	237:21 238:12	infer
49:3 51:13 54:10,12	272:5,10,15,20 273:2	includes	128:16
63:11 85:4,9 87:17	273:7,12 274:2	33:18 148:15 156:20	information
87:25 117:18 126:13	identified	157:13 188:22	12:20 16:4 27:15
136:10,13 137:3	37:23 80:13 87:19	201:21 212:13	33:18,21 34:18,21,21
155:12,16 166:10	173:11 175:17	228:23 229:22,24	35:15 37:10 41:24
167:16 223:16,20,21	identify	232:11 253:7	42:8 61:3 72:5,15
226:2,12 263:12,14	71:7,19 101:18 189:2	including	73:25 90:25 91:6
263:15,19,22 264:2	191:3 197:25	34:23 64:3 130:5	97:13 100:4 125:25
HUD's	identifying	213:13	136:10 140:10
83:9 273:20	266:17	incorrect	141:11 146:7,18,19
human	III	246:2	148:2,16 149:6
236:18 247:13	83:4,15 86:7 131:8	increases	155:20 158:6 162:12
hundred	273:13 274:5	261:4	162:15 167:2 188:14
136:25 233:20	illustrative	incur	188:18 197:16
hundreds	115:15	223:4,6,9,12 240:19	210:21 225:15
198:7,10	imminently	independent	235:13,16 249:23
hybridize	38:15	229:3 232:25 240:10	257:18
147:21	impact	243:18 247:3,15	informed
	44:16	indicate	89:11,17
<u> </u>	imperfect	36:5 267:5,19	infrastructure
ICE	265:5	indication	222:6 230:24 231:4,5
24:22 248:11	implied	81:22 118:10 242:5	initial
ICF	195:5 199:5,6,11,12	indirect	37:24 94:8,11 262:2,3
87:8,10,12,16,24	199:15,22	22:23 25:3,4,7 34:25	initially
97:14,16,16,19 98:15	inappropriate	101:8,13,15,16,19,23	78:18 139:6
99:20 131:10 132:9	163:22	101:24 102:17 103:4	initiative
132:12 133:15,19,21	incentive	105:19 109:20	83:9 85:8 86:2 112:7
134:6,9,13,19,21	153:7,10,18 154:4,9	110:20 111:4 156:21	117:19,24 273:21
135:7 136:10,21,24	include	157:15 203:11	initiatives
137:9,25 138:12 139:20 140:7 146:17	64:2 97:17 104:8	215:10 216:16,21	85:14 240:14
147:4 167:6,16,20	108:22 127:4 178:23	217:11,16 219:16	input
, ,	212:16,19 213:4,5	224:24 226:17,22	96:17,20 104:13
253:7 254:5,12 255:8 255:14 263:6	214:17 219:12,25	237:12 244:18	134:19
255:14 205:0 ICF's	229:25 230:19,21	245:13,15,22 251:9,9	inquired
139:25 168:3	231:14 236:17	251:12	140:6
idea	238:23,23,24 244:15	individual	inquiring 140:9
25:17	244:16,17 247:15	89:14 100:16 210:25	
ideally	included 62:13,14 66:11 77:10	260:10,17 individuals	inspections
267:21	77:11 81:13 115:22	81:19 89:14 92:6	49:23 50:4 126:23
207.21	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	01.17 07.14 72.0	127:4,10
	•	•	•

instruct	investigations	231:23 232:4 234:25	judge
36:15 141:25 150:25	127:14	231.23 232.4 234.23 235:4 240:18,23	5:13 12:18 18:6 30:7
instructed	investment	241:7,11,14,17 242:2	34:3,3,3 36:6,22
254:25 268:24	42:21,24 43:9 241:21	242:8,10 250:19	129:17 152:5,12
instruction	investments	issue	Judging
12:2 13:9 15:20 18:14	242:11	33:25 112:3,7,9	206:12
33:6 112:17 113:22	invited	137:22 150:20 151:4	judgment
129:13 141:19	40:21	154:23	90:7 166:16
149:24 274:14,16,18	invoice	issued	June
274:20,22,24 275:1,3	137:17 245:20,20	118:18 242:13	21:11 143:22 263:21
275:5	invoicing	issues	junior
insurance	80:4,15 246:3	152:12 165:8	268:10
62:6,8 64:23 218:12	involve	item	200.10
218:14 230:16	48:10 71:13 85:25	129:18 230:17 238:16	K
Intellectual	224:10	items	Kathleen
240:16	involved	66:18 101:18 124:7	70:20
intend	26:20 29:15 31:25	156:16,17 164:10,11	keep
36:13 268:22	41:9 42:2 43:23	164:15 166:3 212:7	77:17 89:23
intended	44:22 45:2,3,14	220:9 221:21 222:8	keeping
62:4 127:6 234:10	47:11 51:7,15 54:16	222:23 224:6 230:2	192:6
intent	54:19 56:13 57:2	230:20 237:24	Kelly
40:2 229:7	68:16 71:3 85:4,8,17	248:10 268:5	1:13 3:2 4:1,18 5:1 6:1
intention	85:21 96:3 100:11	iterations	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
64:8 255:2	101:22 106:15,17	261:20,23 262:10,17	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1
interchanged	109:14 130:15	262:18	16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1
78:2	158:11 222:20 226:7	I-C-E	20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1
interested	235:18 252:8	248:11	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1
39:20 271:18	involvement		28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1
interfering	55:10	J	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1
151:22	IP	Jeffrey	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1
intermediate	240:14,15	255:5	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1
240:25	irrelevant	Jersey	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1
internal	160:14,15 186:9	6:24	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1
23:24 60:22 100:14,24	irresponsible	job	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1
125:19 168:18 169:2	186:5	10:4 162:25 184:18	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1
170:5,12,13 174:9	IR&D	185:20 259:2 260:13	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1
177:19 198:18 217:4	228:6,24 229:3	Joe	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1
259:23	ISIT	72:7 260:11	68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1
interrupt	49:19 50:14 51:6,15	John	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1
4:13	52:12,14,15,17,25	252:11 260:11	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1
interrupted	62:24 63:10 77:2	joining	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
154:22	125:21,23,24 126:6	19:22	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1
interrupting	126:12 127:21,21,25	joint	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1
69:8	128:4 130:4 139:15	222:19	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1
intricate	141:2,8 223:10,17,23	Joyce	96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1
244:6	224:20,24 225:2	57:20	100:1 101:1 102:1
	l	l	l

103:1 104:1 105:1	241:1 242:1 243:1	81:10,25 83:17 84:4	100.16 106.12 15 17
105:1 104:1 105:1	244:1 242:1 243:1 244:1 244:1 245:1 246:1	85:18 87:6,21 88:3	180:16 186:13,15,17 know
100.1 107.1 108.1	247:1 248:1 249:1	89:8 91:17 92:23	3:22 13:5 17:22 18:11
112:1 113:1 114:1	250:1 251:1 252:1	93:8 94:5 98:6	18:12 19:23 20:23
115:1 116:1 117:1	253:1 254:1 255:1	101:20 103:7 106:6	21:24 28:2 30:22
118:1 119:1 120:1	256:1 257:1 258:1	108:9,17 109:9	36:14 37:15 39:17
121:1 122:1 123:1	259:1 260:1 261:1	110:11 112:18,20	40:7 45:19,19 47:3
124:1 125:1 126:1	262:1 263:1 264:1	113:4,12,15,24 114:7	47:20 48:12,14 52:18
127:1 128:1 129:1	265:1 266:1 267:1	114:14,20 116:12	53:7,9,12,15 55:11
130:1 131:1 132:1	268:1 269:1,10 270:2	117:15 118:14 119:7	57:22 60:2 62:15,16
133:1 134:1 135:1	271:10	120:2,11,15 122:20	66:20 67:12,20,21
136:1 137:1 138:1	key	122:23 123:5,12	70:11,22,24 71:2,5
139:1 140:1 141:1	46:21,24 47:7 48:2	124:6 125:3 126:3,8	72:7,8,9,15 73:13,17
142:1 143:1 144:1	80:21,25 81:5,9	126:24 127:11	73:19,20,20,24 74:3
145:1 146:1 147:1	86:21,23 87:2,18	129:14 130:12	74:23 76:7 77:12
148:1 149:1 150:1	88:7,10 128:11,18	131:14,19 132:10,19	84:5 88:16 90:2
151:1 152:1 153:1	132:25 158:18 159:4	133:5 134:15 135:14	91:13,22 104:14
154:1 155:1 156:1	159:17 255:11	136:4 137:5 139:24	107:14 109:6,13,24
157:1 158:1 159:1	kind	141:20 144:13 145:7	110:9 111:7 115:13
160:1 161:1 162:1	7:17 43:9 45:7 60:25	145:20 149:4,25	116:9,11 118:19
163:1 164:1 165:1	109:8 184:21 195:23	150:10,16,24 151:10	121:19 126:14
166:1 167:1 168:1	210:3 212:23 222:19	151:16,17,24 152:23	127:17 128:14
169:1 170:1 171:1	231:6 244:9 248:18	154:6 156:18 158:14	133:24 135:22,24
172:1 173:1 174:1	267:20	159:6 160:3,17 161:5	136:22 139:25
175:1 176:1 177:1	kinds	163:17 166:12 170:7	145:10 146:25,25
178:1 179:1 180:1	110:22 212:20 213:13	170:25 173:16	152:24 158:10 160:5
181:1 182:1 183:1	214:15	175:20 180:18	161:20 166:9,14
184:1 185:1 186:1	King	181:22 182:14	171:15 172:3 175:25
187:1 188:1 189:1	1:15 2:7	183:16 188:7,15	181:16 184:11,12,14
190:1 191:1 192:1	Klein	192:14 193:25 197:9	184:17,18 185:20
193:1 194:1 195:1	2:10 4:6 5:6 7:4 12:3,8	198:25 202:22	186:7,10,13 187:14
196:1 197:1 198:1	13:10,18 15:21 16:12	203:22 204:4 205:3	187:19,25 188:17,17
199:1 200:1 201:1	17:14,16,23 18:15	205:12 206:6,15,24	188:19,20,23 189:6,9
202:1 203:1 204:1	19:6,8,10,17,22 20:2	207:15 208:8 209:19	190:14 191:15
205:1 206:1 207:1	21:3 23:15 24:4,8	211:22 212:8,25	194:16,17,24 197:7
208:1 209:1 210:1	26:14 27:20 28:2	213:25 214:7 217:7	197:11 198:6,11,15
211:1 212:1 213:1	30:3 31:18 32:6,12	218:23 219:7,22	198:16 203:24
214:1 215:1 216:1	33:7,22 35:9 36:19	220:13 221:3 223:18	205:22,25 206:10
217:1 218:1 219:1	37:14 39:25 40:9,14	224:11,15,22 227:15	207:10,10,13,20
220:1 221:1 222:1	45:9,22 48:4,16	231:25 236:19	210:16,19,20 211:13
223:1 224:1 225:1	50:20 51:23 52:22	237:18 239:5,14	213:6,20 214:3,6,9
226:1 227:1 228:1	59:22 63:14 64:6,25	241:16 243:14	214:12 216:7 218:6
229:1 230:1 231:1	65:10,23 66:5,14	248:20 260:25 263:3	219:9 220:24 224:12
232:1 233:1 234:1	68:24 69:12,18 71:7	264:9 266:5 267:2	224:16 225:6 226:6
235:1 236:1 237:1	71:15 72:18 74:20	knew	227:9 230:5,17 232:9
238:1 239:1 240:1	78:4 79:6,9 80:17	42:6 138:11 172:4,6	235:9 236:10 237:11
	l l		

238:3,4 242:24 244:2	99:1 100:1 101:1	237:1 238:1 239:1	9:13
251:24 252:5,7	102:1 103:1 104:1	240:1 241:1 242:1	lanes
259:22,25 260:4,5,7	105:1 106:1 107:1	243:1 244:1 245:1	58:12
260:13 262:4 264:3	108:1 109:1 110:1	246:1 247:1 248:1	
			language
265:17,23	111:1 112:1 113:1	249:1 250:1 251:1	247:14
knowing	114:1 115:1 116:1	252:1 253:1 254:1	laptop
256:20	117:1 118:1 119:1	255:1 256:1 257:1	109:11 242:13
knowledge	120:1 121:1 122:1	258:1 259:1 260:1	laptops
35:17 53:5 56:18 72:2	123:1 124:1 125:1	261:1 262:1 263:1	231:2 242:12
90:8 91:4 135:18	126:1 127:1 128:1	264:1 265:1 266:1	large
139:14 162:24 243:5	129:1 130:1 131:1	267:1 268:1 269:1,10	41:4 54:8,18 76:15
250:24 263:2,7	132:1 133:1 134:1	270:2 271:10	241:21
264:11	135:1 136:1 137:1	labeled	largely
knows	138:1 139:1 140:1	50:23 131:8	126:22 242:9
132:2	141:1 142:1 143:1	labor	larger
Kyprianou	144:1 145:1 146:1	34:25 44:2,3,3 54:17	159:22 181:23 230:18
74:4 239:11	147:1 148:1 149:1	79:3,12,15,24 80:13	Laura
Kyprianou's	150:1 151:1 152:1	90:9 97:24 99:16,17	57:19
205:21	153:1 154:1 155:1	100:20 101:6,9,15,19	LAW
	156:1 157:1 158:1	109:18 111:23 129:9	2:3
L	159:1 160:1 161:1	129:11 130:8 131:2	layer
L	162:1 163:1 164:1	132:24 133:4 137:13	231:22,23 241:3
1:13 2:5 3:2 4:1,18 5:1	165:1 166:1 167:1	156:21 157:14,19	layman
6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	168:1 169:1 170:1	158:9 159:15,16,23	248:25
11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	171:1 172:1 173:1	162:6,9 163:2 167:3	lead
15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	174:1 175:1 176:1	167:14,16 169:5	51:2 207:24,25
19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	170:4 173:20,25	leader
23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	176:21 177:19,19,20	71:25 73:3 94:18,22
27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	179:7 190:11 191:23	96:7
31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	198:17 199:18	leader/architect
35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	201:10,13 208:2,5,10	
39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	216:17 223:5 228:5,5	
43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	228:6,15,19,23,24,24	47:15
47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	232:12,16,17,20	learn
51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	233:2,4,5,7,8,25	18:20
55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	234:8 241:20 244:21	learning
59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	246:16,18 253:8,20	40:23
63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	253:24 254:18,22	leaves
67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	255:3 263:9	254:21
71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	laid	leeway
75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	219:1 220:1 221:1	5:14	30:6,15 35:10 37:16
79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1	222:1 223:1 224:1	Lampe	114:21
83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1	225:1 226:1 227:1	57:19	left
87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	228:1 229:1 230:1	Landers	7:25 93:6 250:5
91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	231:1 232:1 233:1	70:20	259:10
95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1	234:1 235:1 236:1	Lane	
70.1 70.1 71.1 70.1	43 1 .1 433.1 430.1	Lanc	left-hand
		1	1

105:23 143:24	35:10 37:16 127:3	76:9	63:17 65:8 84:21
		lives	90:7 116:17 139:13
legal	135:13 152:11,13		
32:23 111:16 237:5	line	66:19,20,21 216:6	175:3,4,5 176:8,19
length	31:19 34:13 52:6	219:2	182:17 186:14,17,18
80:23	53:10 120:23 129:15	loaded	186:21 187:2 194:25
letter	150:2 168:14,14	64:9,12 219:24 263:5	205:20 209:13,24
34:2 102:25 103:17	192:10 230:17	local	211:10 221:25 222:2
221:10 225:10,11	239:25 270:3 272:3	50:2 51:12,21 126:15	239:3 247:24 250:4
227:2,3,6,8,19,21	lines	locate	264:12
249:15	157:18 167:11 256:3	175:17	looks
let's	list	located	29:14 77:7 107:5
29:11 57:3,7,25 61:8	41:22,23 42:15 43:10	203:15,17	118:4 143:22 207:23
74:20 82:24 84:10	44:25 45:17 46:15	Location	lose
93:4,6,17 138:4	71:9 92:15 93:10,14	191:13	210:24
145:5 178:2 181:8	100:13 111:23,25	long	loss
199:24 212:6 220:8	112:12 124:7 141:5	6:25 8:3 9:15,20 20:6	27:5 31:13 42:14,25
235:22 255:19	155:4 159:10 169:15	20:11,16 39:19 68:15	lost
262:20	170:11,12 176:11	230:3 261:8,15	30:22
level	213:21,24 214:18	look	lot
49:25 50:3 56:24 72:4	230:3 254:17 262:3	44:24 46:20 50:16	38:23 48:8,25 49:3,24
100:14,16,20 146:19	listed	60:18 61:11,12 68:8	51:11 61:3 137:8
149:12 175:2 183:8	35:3 45:6,25 46:25	84:10 86:7,11 88:20	145:24 148:15 163:2
189:11 190:9 193:19	47:15 57:9,12,13	89:18,22 90:21 92:7	178:15,20,23,24
208:7 213:7 215:12	59:7 60:17 73:2	98:16 110:18 116:21	196:11 241:10 242:6
260:11 266:22 268:6	81:19 88:22 104:15	123:17 128:9 130:12	242:7 243:17 265:2
268:10,10	121:2 122:5 134:11	132:7 134:10 140:10	lots
levels	138:17 160:13,19	146:22 154:2 167:24	147:21 194:8
161:18,19	167:10 170:21 176:7	171:19 173:13	love
leverage	228:20 243:23	174:24,25 175:24	135:7
100:16	255:10,20,25 259:17	176:5 188:9 195:13	low
Lexington	260:3	195:17 197:21,22	7:24,25
2:4	listen	199:17,21 209:2,21	lower
licensing	135:2 190:3	210:7 221:5 225:9,13	86:12 120:7 136:17
231:2	lists	234:20 235:10 239:9	142:20 143:23
life	55:21	246:16 250:22 255:4	154:20 211:14
125:12 218:14	little	257:11 259:6 262:9	257:21
light	26:7 30:15 59:23 61:9	262:15	
12:17 93:5 95:3	89:16 114:21 118:11	looked	M
likewise	181:18 182:16	22:16 28:17 84:20	magnitude
76:17	248:25 249:6	90:15,17 91:10 92:16	229:16
limit	live	92:17 98:18 104:25	maintain
135:20	66:18,23 67:6,7,18	162:23 163:5 174:6	28:6 30:7 151:24
limitation	219:16,17 237:14	186:12 190:2 198:14	major
34:24 130:5	239:21 243:6,8,19	235:7,12 260:17	221:23
limited	260:14	looking	majority
5:11 18:5 30:5,11 34:7	lived	29:14,19 42:24 46:16	51:16,20 144:22

145.15 151.05	20,12 02,10 142.5 11	62.12.70.7.100.0	51.10 54.00 55.0
145:15 151:25	28:12 83:10 142:5,11	63:13 72:7 122:8	51:19 54:23 55:6
156:10 196:17	169:8 177:10 220:14	160:8,11,20,22 161:7	130:21 153:25 158:2
223:22,24 224:4	250:2 252:22	161:13,15 162:2	179:15,16 219:2,9
230:14	marked	167:6,9 172:7 173:5	228:25 242:25
making	28:22,25 29:4,7 75:3	173:10,12 176:2,3	meant
10:25 206:14 257:3,22	82:25 275:8,12	180:15 181:20	88:18 161:19 179:9
258:13	market	182:21,24 183:25	247:12
management	90:9 139:16	184:15,19 185:11,17	measure
9:23 14:15,18,21	marketplace	185:21 186:15,19	119:22,24
70:16 71:4 77:21	163:4	190:19 192:9 194:5	Medicaid
164:8	marks	195:4 199:24 208:4	225:21 247:8
manager	78:8	208:11 255:19 256:2	medical
10:5 11:7,12 52:21	marriage	256:15,21 257:16,21	62:6,7 64:23
53:22 54:15 68:15	271:17	257:23 271:19	Medicare
71:14 72:17,21,22,23	Mary	ma'am	225:20 247:9
73:2 76:11 96:21	111:12 252:18	132:8	meet
106:12,16 107:3,4,22	Maryland	mean	260:23
107:24 160:2,6 165:2	9:14	11:15 21:15 42:20	meeting
165:5,6,10 166:23	match	43:12 47:7 53:20	20:8,14,24 21:10,14
171:6,7 193:2,4,7,8	170:15 173:22 174:12	55:4 58:14 67:2	21:21 40:20
193:10,13,20,22	174:15,16,18,18	68:15 74:17 76:5	meetings
194:10,10,22,23	198:19	77:23,25 84:25 85:10	20:7,23 21:2,18,21
195:14,16 196:2	matched	85:12,20 89:16	22:5
205:17,24 206:2,3,9	174:7	108:22 109:11,16	members
206:22 264:14,21	material	110:21 115:20	79:15 109:10
265:3 268:3,12,13	97:12,18 102:11 159:8	116:20 118:25	memo
manager's	materialize	119:25 134:21 135:6	221:10
54:2 207:2,12	125:11	137:8 138:23 140:9	mention
managing	materials	144:8 145:19 147:23	238:13
58:18 171:4	40:22 43:13,17,21,22	148:23 157:25 160:5	mentioned
manual	43:23,25 44:4 97:5	161:20 162:20	30:23 51:11 107:19
196:21,25	97:22 98:15,16,21,24	175:23 176:16	109:19 118:16 143:2
map	99:2,5,9,13,19	179:14 183:19	147:3 155:21 158:2
162:16 170:13	135:11,20 147:20	184:14,22,24 185:2,8	179:10 212:20
mapping	148:6,10,12,20,24	188:18 189:9 194:4	213:16 219:19
100:10,12 167:3 175:8	149:2,16 150:9 155:2	208:18 213:9 221:15	230:20 235:5 238:11
186:20 197:12	155:17,22,24 156:4,6	223:19,24 226:5	Meridian
198:19 199:3 209:9	156:15,23 159:10	228:12 232:5 237:10	9:13
256:20	196:5 226:9	241:20 242:24 245:6	merit
maps	math	248:7 257:14 263:25	11:5
177:18	41:22,25 42:2 56:23	264:3 267:15,18,21 268:19	met
margin	57:4 79:22 234:15		3:14 21:5 47:20
104:6 119:19,20,24	matrix	meaning 98:20 231:21	Methia
120:7,10,20 mark	100:9 128:12 133:2 146:12	98:20 231:21 means	239:12
тагк 13:12 16:14 18:18	140:12 matter	30:13 34:10 37:5	method 27:12
13.12 10.14 10.10	matter	JU.13 J 1 .10 J/.J	21.12
		•	•

	1		I
methodology	142:3 168:3,5,11	27:7,9 143:2 144:11	15:14
27:13 92:4 145:25	modernizes	144:19 145:24	netted
146:6 147:16 156:8	112:8	146:21	244:2
196:10,11,14,21	money	narratives	never
201:9 224:8	42:21 56:8 206:14	27:4,8 143:10 145:12	3:14 41:11,15 48:24
metric	210:23,24 240:13	145:16,18 147:8	62:15 84:13 113:6
35:2	monies	narrow	114:11 123:25 133:6
mid-eighties	238:21	35:7	140:18 167:19,23,24
11:2	month	nature	229:20 234:21
mid-sixties	18:25 115:12 116:8	51:13 78:9 93:3	New
8:8	117:13	129:24 207:23 224:7	1:2,16,16,20 2:4 6:24
million	months	231:3 244:18 256:18	271:2,4,9
55:16,16 67:3 118:5,8	7:10,15 125:14 179:17	necessarily	nine
118:23 119:4 125:2,7	202:6 254:14	42:23 72:24 73:16	115:12 116:8 117:13
222:14 223:7,12	morning	82:7 135:6 138:15	242:3
228:22 233:18,19	3:8,9	158:24 161:22	nonexempt
244:23 245:3 246:11	motion	175:19,25 204:24	191:19 216:24
mind	30:22	213:10 240:6 254:7	non-salaried
89:24 99:9 229:20	move	267:15	66:22
234:21	112:10 156:14 166:23	necessary	non-salary
minimal	193:20	32:22 33:14 38:23	66:17
226:4	moved	44:5 146:3,8,20	Nora
minute	9:22 86:15 102:10	149:7 155:20 253:23	120:25
22:4 74:21 131:17	multiple	262:6	normally
225:16	182:4	need	60:6 94:15 206:22
minutes	multiplied	31:12 44:13 60:4	Notary
20:12,18,22 21:2	199:9 210:10	93:12 149:11 165:20	1:19 3:4 270:24 271:8
164:8,10,11,14 166:3		171:19 175:10 182:6	notation
261:22 262:21	N	197:12 234:16	119:15
missing	N	needed	notational
34:12	2:2	155:12 156:7 164:24	265:11
misspeak	name	182:2 184:5 238:8	notebooks
243:10	3:10 17:9 47:24 48:6	256:23	44:7
mix	89:22 116:22 179:8	needs	noted
119:16 260:23 261:7,9	185:11 191:8 254:2	155:5	269:6
mobile	270:1,2	negotiate	notes
112:10	names	112:15 114:24	104:22
MOBIS	26:5 57:16 81:18 82:5	negotiated	notice
127:22,25 128:4 129:9	89:12 99:25 163:9	82:20	1:14 5:12 82:11
129:11,23 130:3,9,11	183:23 184:11,11,25	negotiates	122:19 129:19
130:14,22,25 132:24	185:4,8,15,16 197:14	113:9	178:21 241:25
133:4,13,18,23 134:3	210:5 263:10	negotiation	noticed
137:2 139:21,25	Nancy	38:22 115:5	191:17
140:4,7,11,15,21,22	86:16 88:20 128:23	negotiations	notified
140:23 141:2,4,4,9	133:23	39:3 44:9	102:6,9
141:12,15,21,21,23	narrative	neighborhood	notional
111.12,13,21,21,23			11011011111

		İ	ĺ
264:23 265:13,21	145:7,20 149:4	office	one-to-one
266:21 267:9,25	150:17 154:6 156:18	70:17 109:4 164:8	170:15
number	158:14 159:6 160:3	203:7,8 222:7 225:20	Online
36:18 44:7 52:19 58:9	160:17 163:17	231:16,19,21 237:7	7:11
61:21 63:18 66:16	166:12 170:7,25	240:25 241:4 244:9	open
67:5 73:6,21 76:18	173:16 175:20	officer	254:21
86:12 103:4 104:3,6	180:18 182:14	110:17	Operation
106:13,21 129:18	183:16 188:7,15	offices	77:21
130:18,20 168:19	192:14 193:25 197:9	1:14 9:7	opinion
176:14 191:11	198:25 202:22	official	175:10
194:15,17 206:13	203:22 204:4 206:15	10:12 41:12 166:11	opportunities
218:19 259:12 261:4	207:15 208:8 209:19	252:16 255:8 265:23	26:13,19 27:2 49:3
264:19 267:19	211:22 212:25	officially	51:6 52:21 85:13
numbers	213:25 214:7 217:7	41:15	144:12 145:4
34:10 37:5 42:5 60:21	218:23 219:7,22	oftentimes	opportunity
83:20 103:6 130:10	223:18 224:11,15,22	90:2 125:10 138:21	16:21,22,23,24 17:7
182:9 192:15 206:12	227:15 231:25	262:2	17:10,11,21 18:13
264:22,25 267:18	236:19 237:18 239:5	Oh	23:18,19,25 24:17
numerators	239:14 243:14	11:11 147:4 227:5	25:24,24 26:2 27:2
248:17	248:20 260:25 263:3	242:14	29:15,25 30:18 31:11
NY	264:9 267:2	okay	32:18 37:23,25,25
2:4,9	objecting	3:20,24 4:5 5:2 27:22	38:5,12 40:24 41:4
	133:8	46:11 47:14 50:19	42:6,16,17 45:4,15
0	objection	57:6 65:7 71:11	46:17 50:23 51:14
oath	18:15 40:10 51:24	73:10 87:23 105:18	53:16 55:24 56:10
4:25 74:24 236:2	60:7 151:22 192:18	107:24 115:18	60:24 70:7 71:24
object	205:3,12 206:24	116:21 117:4 123:24	72:21 73:3,11 75:20
7:4 13:18 15:22 17:24	212:8 241:16	124:24 138:3 185:8	79:5 80:2,16,24
23:15 24:4,8 26:14	objections	193:5 201:8 208:17	84:19,21,24 85:5,11
32:6 33:8 34:17 45:9	150:14	227:21 229:20,21	85:16,17,25 88:18
45:22 48:4,16 50:20	obligated	234:21 236:7 253:13	94:17,22,25 96:6,20
52:22 63:14 64:6,25	134:22	261:8	103:10 106:23
65:10,23 66:14 68:25	obstructing	OMF	107:22 115:17
71:15 72:18 79:9	151:15,18,21	77:12,20	116:22 131:4,6
80:17 81:10,25 85:18	obviously	once	132:16 133:16,17
87:6,21 88:3 89:8	61:4	15:21 17:24 19:10	140:20,21 141:7
91:17 94:5 98:6	occasion	38:8 51:25 79:20	144:8,19,21 145:2
101:20 103:7 106:6	66:23	80:2 100:4 101:5	153:22 155:10
108:9,17 109:9	occasionally	102:4 131:14 133:6	158:24 159:2,25
110:11 116:12	56:22 59:16,18	149:9 150:3 156:13	160:2,6 164:17,24
117:15 118:14 119:7	occasioned	208:23 209:7	165:18 184:16
120:2,12 125:3 126:3	144:3	ones	185:25 223:16,20,21
126:8,24 127:11	offer	51:17 70:13 143:15	250:15 254:15
132:10,19 133:5	44:15	150:19 184:17	opportunity's
134:15 135:14 136:4	offered	190:20 223:22	55:8
137:5 139:24 144:13	106:8	245:17 251:16	opposed
		l	I -

opposed	198:21,23,23 211:2	73:6,10 75:5 81:17	62:10,12,16 64:24
35:24 71:22 76:20	212:11 256:12	86:11,19 99:4 115:8	65:20,21 66:10,13
106:4 108:15 109:7	overhead	116:22 128:9,22	212:17 230:16
126:15 150:8 183:9	35:2 103:10,20 106:9	132:7 143:24 157:17	particular
191:18 208:17	108:25 109:3 120:6	163:8 167:10 182:2,6	23:14 65:22 81:6
242:21 245:12	125:21,22 126:9,12	182:6,7 201:8 203:16	82:11 88:17 134:20
267:16	127:21 128:5 133:12	210:3,8 212:12	144:7 150:7 153:13
options	200:2,5,18 209:11	225:14,17 234:21,22	157:21 158:8 159:24
67:17 214:21,25	211:16 212:6,7 213:6	247:23 248:5,22,24	168:18,25 169:3
oral	215:3 220:6,9,10	249:2,3,5 255:4,5,21	177:23 203:16
83:4 131:8 273:13	221:19,22,23 222:4,9	255:21 258:3 259:5	239:23 260:24 267:5
order	222:11,12 223:3,8	270:3 272:3	268:14
16:2 30:8,12 34:9 60:5	227:25 228:17	pages	particularly
83:14 112:3,5,8,9	229:22 231:16,17,18	23:11 24:12,18,22	41:4 256:22
114:3 116:5 117:6,14	233:10,21,24 234:7	25:16,20,22 142:19	parties
117:22 118:7,12	234:13,19,25 235:4	182:4 227:2 245:25	271:16
117.22 118.7,12	235:12 237:22,25	249:9	partner
146:22 148:19 162:8	241:14,18,22 242:15	paid	132:14 137:11
170:20 181:25	242:21 243:21,23,24	210:16 215:11	parts
213:23 274:3	246:10,11,16,19	Panos	240:22
ordered	overheads	74:4	
18:6	126:6	paragraph	pay 202:21 215:12,13
orders	overly	229:6 245:24 255:25	216:8,10,12,14,15
112:16 113:10 114:25	235:18	257:10	217:6 218:10
	overtime		Pension
115:6 118:17,19		part 6:15 8:18 10:4 11:16	218:3
125:10,11	192:2,5,7,8 201:17,20 201:22 202:8,19		
org 81:14	*	31:24 41:11,13 45:7	pensions 218:8
	216:14,15 overview	46:13 49:4,6,19 52:12 58:7 81:13	
organization		83:4 88:6 90:7 97:23	Pentagon
52:13 203:18	31:12		203:12,19
oriented	owner 54.22 22 55.2	109:2 111:17 115:16 115:22 127:16 131:8	people
10:11	54:22,22 55:2		45:2,3,14 46:25 47:4
originally	P	184:17 185:20	57:9,12,13 60:16
21:13 133:12	$\frac{1}{P}$	204:13 220:25 221:6	73:22 81:23 82:5,21
outcome	2:2,2	240:19 259:2 260:13	87:2,18,24 89:20
271:18	package	267:25 273:13	92:14,20 94:21 96:17
outside	97:21 249:14,18,19	partially	106:14 107:18
267:23	251:22	124:14	109:17 122:5 134:11
outsourced		participant	134:12,20 135:8
127:10	packages 251:17	40:23	138:22 158:18,19,23
outsourcing		participate	158:25 159:3,4,22
49:24 50:6 126:23	page	41:13 44:16,18	161:9 164:20 166:20
127:2,6,9	29:14 34:4 36:5,23	participated	167:21 169:6 170:21
overall	44:25 45:2 46:11	17:2	172:7,24 176:4,6
88:6 117:24 118:13	50:16,18 56:2,6,7	participation	178:15,20,24 184:4,7
119:5,9 139:15 184:2	57:5,12,14 71:18	14:7,9,25 15:10 27:4	187:4 188:6 189:7
	I	I	I

191:25 192:3,7 195:2	226:11	230:8 238:3 252:5	1:15 2:3,7
191.23 192.3,7 193.2	permissible	Phase	1.13 2.3,7 plug
204:5 208:13 209:3	5:16 152:5	83:15 86:7 274:5	42:4 72:10
204.3 208.13 209.3 209:24 210:5,5 232:3			
*	permission	photocopies	plus
232:6 238:19 239:17	88:13	238:25	52:16 135:19 143:17
239:18,25 241:10	person	pick	147:14 148:4,25
242:12,12 243:6,12	20:20 21:5 26:20	204:3	149:15,17,19,23
243:21 256:14,24	43:12 47:18 52:19	picked	151:6,9 153:4,5,7,8,9
257:8,11,21 258:6,24	54:3 66:12 67:4	192:13,16	153:17,18,19,19
258:25 259:3,7,14,16	71:23 72:13 80:9	piece	154:25 155:7 156:3
260:13,18,20,21	81:3,4,6,8 88:15,21	112:5,8,10 118:12	156:10,11,17,22
261:6 262:5 265:8	88:22 89:19 90:5,6	place	157:14,15 226:5
267:20,22	94:18,23 95:20 96:7	166:19 196:10	246:16,18
people's	107:19 121:4 138:13	placed	PMO
239:4	138:13,16,19 139:3,3	79:4,20,25 166:18	70:12,15 164:7
percent	139:7 158:13 159:14	257:15	PMP
52:16 62:20 64:21	159:21 171:4 176:19	places	260:8
65:4 119:11,16 136:3	180:12 185:23 186:6	162:14	point
136:8,14,17 154:18	186:19,22,25 189:22	placing	9:18 10:6,25 38:10,14
183:2,3,3,9,10	190:8,9,13,18 195:25	79:14	40:24 88:15 92:15
189:20 192:24 193:6	202:5 207:19 216:19	plaintiff	122:9 123:7 130:22
193:9,12 200:5,9,14	238:7 239:7,8 252:17	1:4 2:3 30:10 34:6	148:22 151:20
200:18,22,25 201:2	258:12	Plaintiffs	153:21 155:24
219:12 228:2,8,14,18	personal	29:7	214:10
233:11,16,17,20,21	123:20 209:16	plaintiffs's	pointing
233:22 234:2,9,24	personally	29:4	163:7
235:4,8,17 246:18	173:18	Plaintiff's	points
percentage	personnel	28:21,24 33:19 34:13	137:15
14:16,20,21 62:3	42:7 47:9 80:22 86:21	34:19 35:4 50:12	policy
63:10 135:13 136:2	86:23 87:2,18 88:8,9	75:3 81:17 89:5	202:17
246:17	88:11 89:12 90:3	132:17 158:12	pool
percentages	99:24 128:11,19	172:19 210:9 229:19	159:22 222:12,12,23
183:2	133:2 134:13 162:11	239:9 249:10 253:11	222:25 223:4 228:21
perform	175:15 201:17	275:7,11	229:22 236:10
81:24 82:6 87:4	255:11	plan	246:12
performance	persons	158:23	poor
154:14 179:17	47:15 239:9	planned	177:2
performing	person's	99:24 139:4	portion
49:23 80:3 178:16	55:3 61:10 64:3 89:23	planning	32:3 154:12 243:20
233:8	267:7	9:24 107:13 255:14	portions
period	perspective	9.24 107.13 233.14 please	153:15
10:16 15:23 16:6 40:5	37:7	13:14 26:6 32:4 60:11	position
46:6	pertain	142:6 205:8	5:13,19 10:7,9,11
periodic	95:24	pleased	70:25 107:11,12
202:24	Pfost	136:13	129:6 173:15 205:21
		PLLC	207:6,7 254:5,22
periodically	67:25 75:8,11 214:5	FLLC	207.0,7 234:3,22
	I	I	ı

255:14	69:15 143:3,4 144:18	31:23 32:22 44:12	process
positions	145:13 238:21	52:21,24 53:3,4	11:17 29:24 30:24
255:17	249:14 261:16	77:11 99:6 106:12,16	31:4 32:2,5,15,17
possession	prepared	107:3,4 110:7 112:16	33:5,10 39:13,20
123:14,22	38:16 117:13,18 199:3	113:10 115:5 164:20	41:14 42:10 49:11,22
possibilities	249:13	165:5,7,11,14 172:6	56:19 58:8,10 78:3
215:7	preparing	172:10 196:2,3 253:8	80:5,7,9 96:3 98:13
possibility	26:20 40:22 47:11	268:7	100:10,12 105:11
117:11	56:11 78:19 143:8	primarily	126:20,22 127:5,19
possible	preponderance	9:4 27:3 39:19 45:21	129:2 144:20 163:20
224:12 237:23	225:21 251:14	48:22 58:2	204:14 256:6 265:5
Possibly	present	prime	268:20
96:10 216:25 226:10	2:12 19:23 39:18	23:4 80:6,8 87:12 97:8	produce
post	presentation	97:16 104:7,10	12:21 16:5 17:21
44:10	83:5 131:9 273:15	131:11 132:13	33:24,25 122:14
post-award	presently	133:15 134:5,22	123:2,8,25 266:14
110:19	252:10	137:10 140:20 254:4	produced
Post-retirement	president	print	4:10 18:13 27:24 28:4
218:7	55:7 68:13 71:14 76:8	24:21 25:16 182:4	95:14 123:5 124:2
potential	76:11 96:13 232:5,7	printed	266:9
13:3 38:4	presidents	25:21	produces
potentially	75:16	printing	201:5
160:11	presumably	56:12 78:12	producing
practice	91:12 212:5	prior	181:23
6:17,18 7:2 8:20 9:16	pretty	21:12 106:24 229:14	production
136:16 251:23	10:3 155:8	248:4 262:11,17	83:20 84:6
254:24	previous	private	professional
practices	57:14 70:4 75:4 147:4	110:24	162:3,19,22 163:11,15
256:6	previously	privileged	166:16 174:20
precise	4:19 28:22,25 275:8	19:12	183:11 189:24
235:18	275:12	probabilities	195:23 265:6
predicate	pre-award	190:16	profile
33:14	110:15	probability	46:12 50:23 73:11
predominantly	price	117:12	profit
231:11 251:10	27:14 43:7 98:22	probably	14:6,8,25 15:10 27:5
preliminary	112:12 115:25	9:21 15:17 20:17	31:13 35:2 42:14,25
82:17,18	118:17 137:15,24	24:22 29:5 54:18	62:10,12,15 64:24
premium	141:5 163:21 268:9	67:3,22 95:6 104:24	65:19,21 66:10,13
217:6	prices	111:11 114:22	119:20,22,24,24
preparation	12:11 35:20	145:14 159:11 198:6	120:7,10,19 134:2
22:14 28:18 41:9	Pricewaterhouse	215:22 217:9,10,15	135:11,20 136:2,8
78:15,23 97:11 98:3	7:3	252:7 261:18	137:21 149:20,22
227:12 261:9 262:12	PricewaterhouseCo	problem	151:8,12 152:25
preparatory	6:14	181:22	153:3,14 212:16
41:5	pricing	procedures	219:6,12,16,17
prepare	9:24 10:2,3,5 11:7,11	80:11 113:8	230:16
	I	I	I

profits	239:23 240:4,8	32:21 42:7 143:10	4:17 269:6
150:18	242:18 245:25	261:19	4.17 207.0
program	249:20	purchase	Q
70:16 71:4 83:15 86:7	proposals	83:6 111:20,21,25	qualification
117:3,7 274:5	23:14 48:8,23 146:22	146:4,11,13,14	128:12 260:24
programmatic	197:22 198:4,9,14,16	254:11 273:17	qualifications
53:11	propose	purely	79:12 128:20 133:2
project	80:7 104:2	127:3 225:4	173:13,14,19 174:7
8:17 44:6 70:16 71:4	proposed	purpose	253:23 255:24
72:17 79:16 88:12,16	81:4 115:16 130:7	31:6,8 35:19 47:6 56:5	258:21 260:19
104:2 117:24 118:13	proposing	60:23 115:18	266:25
119:6,9 121:6,10	80:25 155:12		qualified
122:9 138:11,25		purposes 60:24 75:18 103:9	137:12 259:3
139:4,11,13,16 164:7	proprietary 61:4	229:11 249:20 268:7	qualifies
, , ,	- ·		176:19
182:17 189:22	provide	pursuant 1:14 5:11	qualify
199:19 245:19 254:3	31:9 41:17,22,24		159:23 194:8
254:12 255:10,15	79:21 96:21 98:5	pursue	quarter
259:6,24 260:3,5	99:14 145:5 149:11	37:9 38:12 117:14	88:9
projected	220:2 249:18 254:5	268:23	
128:6	262:5 264:4 266:19	pursued	query 158:5
projects	provided	250:15	
150:19	89:21 98:11 99:19	pursuing	question
promise	105:13 109:11	79:5 140:19	3:18,22 4:4 13:11
88:14	113:25 125:17,19	pursuit	18:17 19:15 29:10
promised	142:9 227:7 254:2,12	38:4 41:2,8 55:21 56:3	32:14 37:12 39:18
87:25	254:15,16,20 262:25	56:9,14 57:14 58:7	50:21 60:6,11 65:4
promising	263:6,11	58:10 59:25 60:14	68:22 69:10,13,20,21
87:24 88:5	provides	70:7 71:24 72:21,23	69:24 70:2,4,6 78:8
promotion	56:7 102:2 145:24	72:25 73:3 77:18	84:4,9 88:25 104:17
10:24 11:4,9,13,14,15	providing	94:17,22 96:6,21	113:2 114:18,19,23
prompt	28:5 82:12 99:3	107:22 173:11	119:2,13 120:16
84:2	provision	pursuits	122:18 124:24
property	213:12	47:25 48:10 141:24	131:18 133:11 148:8
240:16	provisional	142:4	150:22 151:2 152:15
proportion	227:22 229:9 247:25	put	152:16,20 190:4,5
241:19,24 246:15	250:11	4:7 5:9 30:4 37:24	205:7 210:15 242:23
proposal	PSA	51:24 73:17,20 92:25	250:14 260:16
22:18,25 23:14 30:2	161:10	99:24 106:3 121:9	questioning
31:15 32:19,24 38:16	public	131:19 139:2 171:4	4:9 129:15 150:3
44:15 56:11 58:21	1:19 3:5 140:9 141:11	192:21 202:9 265:3,8	questions
81:13 115:23 118:3	141:12 168:6 235:13	268:14	3:17 12:4,24 13:13
118:10 147:25	236:25 256:7 270:24	puts	28:6 35:11,17,19
148:19 167:15 174:3	271:8	264:15	36:3,14,17 40:3,13
197:18,19 228:24,25	publisher	P&L	40:15 44:12,18 64:15
229:10,15,18 232:15	73:24	115:11,19	77:14 83:18 111:19
232:18 238:14,20,22	pull	p.m	113:5 129:18 130:2
	l		l

142:2 150:18 151:25	121:17,18,21,25	132:3,23 133:13	199:18
152:8,10 219:15	121:17,16,21,23	134:8 136:12,21	ready
238:5 268:23 269:2	134:5 136:21,24	138:10 139:9,20	138:22
	134.5 130.21,24	140:2,4,7,15,23,24	real
quit 190:13	140:16,22 141:22	140.2,4,7,13,23,24	
	140:16,22 141:22	141:2,4,3,23 142:3	108:18,20,21,24 109:5
quote		148:13,21 149:8,12	109:14 210:4,7 231:9 231:12
30:10 34:7,8 83:4	155:9 157:2,3,12,13 157:19 159:21 162:5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
131:9 253:6 273:14		155:4,12,14,18 156:9 156:10,10,11,12,13	really
R	162:16 163:8,15,22 168:16 170:22 175:8	156:21 157:10	30:13 34:10 37:5 42:3 44:11 47:12 49:24
R	184:3,6,9 186:4,5,15	159:10 163:25	55:5 57:12 76:14
2:2 271:1	195:5 199:12 201:6	164:16 167:20 195:2	91:20 110:8 119:4
raise	203:11 206:18,19	199:4 210:24 215:10	
11:4,13,16	,		147:24 150:14
raised	207:12 208:2,4,5,11	216:16 219:16,18,20 219:25 222:15	151:23,23 181:13
6:23	209:14,18,23,25		204:17 207:18
raises	210:13,17 211:14,14	224:24 225:13	Realtime
10:24	212:12,13 214:23	226:12,14,16,17,23	1:18 271:7
	216:17,18 217:11,13	227:23 229:8,9,16	reason
ran 70:18	217:16 219:11 224:2	234:10,23 246:14	53:6 113:25 124:22
	224:6 225:5 226:24	247:25 248:16	165:20 226:6 241:13
range 13:23 15:5 20:17	228:2,14 235:12,15	249:22 250:9,11,17	243:5 270:5,7,9,11
	235:15 237:12	250:19,22 251:2,6,9	270:13,15,17
145:14 151:9,12 152:25 153:3 154:3	246:21 247:2,6,17,20	251:10 253:16	reasonable
187:13 256:24	247:21 249:9,22	254:17 255:16,16	68:13 117:11 136:16
267:24	251:12,17 254:20	261:17 262:3,24	199:23 261:7
	257:5	263:5,9 264:6	reasons
ranges 149:22 153:12,14	rates	ratio	67:5 257:5 270:3
	24:16 25:7 27:4,14	208:16	recall
188:5,5	33:11,15 35:3,13	rationale	13:21 15:13 18:23
rarely	42:3,16 43:10 56:22	86:20	22:12 26:16 48:6
126:10	57:4 59:15,17,19,20	Raymond	68:17 84:15 86:9
rate	60:14,17,25 61:7	107:10	104:11,12,15,18,21
22:20,23 24:14 25:3,5	64:9,10,12,14,17	RCF	106:19 115:13
41:24 44:3 59:7,10	65:9 68:3,4,5,10	146:24 147:2,3	116:20,25 140:9
60:22 63:23 64:2,21	69:17 70:9 71:12,21	reach	165:19 187:3 235:6
68:6,7,14 73:14	71:22 72:6 74:2	110:6 175:11	262:8
74:10,12,18 82:13,17	79:22 89:4,5,11 92:2	read	receive
82:18 89:24,25 90:9	92:3,4,8 94:4 95:21	21:6,8 24:24 25:2,11	11:12 13:23 29:24
90:14 91:22 93:18,21	95:25 96:9,22 97:3	36:19 37:13 60:12	31:16 32:18 33:3
93:25 99:3,14 100:21	98:5 100:5,7 101:8	69:25 70:3,5 79:6,8	44:14 67:4 102:4
101:6,9 102:24 103:4	101:13,15,16,19,23	139:12 150:23	149:9 249:15
103:5,10,11,17	101:24 102:3,5,13,17	152:21,22 173:19	received
104:13,18,19 105:5,6	102:17 103:2 105:5	179:4 181:12,17,19	11:8 14:6 15:16 38:9
105:13,23,24 106:3,4	105:19,20 110:20	191:21 198:16,17	217:21 253:7
106:5,10 107:17	111:3,4,24,25 129:20	reading	receiving
117:12,17 118:11	130:8,15,23 131:3,24	36:21 180:22 181:13	23:24 137:21
	I	I	I

	1 202 24 25 202 24	1.02.20	105 15 115 22
recess	202:24,25 203:3,4	192:20	105:17 115:23
4:15 74:22 142:7	refreshes	relocated	123:11 124:5 164:14
235:24 262:22	130:19	9:3	164:18 166:7,8
recognize	refuse	relocation	167:15 172:23,25
3:12 4:24 29:18 57:15	12:4 35:25	213:5,17	174:3 191:4 196:19
57:19 128:14	regarding	rely	196:23,24 227:18,20
recollection	12:24 34:14 142:3	53:2	251:21 253:6 262:16
16:3 82:4,10 84:19	152:9	remember	262:19 265:21,22
85:3,7,15,24 86:4	regardless	17:9 18:7 85:16 94:9	275:15,17,19,21,23
93:19,24 94:21 95:4	65:15 172:5 215:2	104:9 106:14,22,25	275:25 276:2,4,6,8
130:19 198:12	regular	114:22 162:17,20	276:10,12,14,16,18
recommended	193:17,24	172:12 195:9,11	276:20,22
83:16 86:5 274:6	regularly	197:24 236:8	requested
record	106:20	remove	21:8 25:2,11 37:13
4:7,12 5:10 17:13,16	regulations	235:10	60:12 69:25 70:5
21:3,8 25:2,11 30:4	247:10	repeat	77:13 79:8 94:4 98:5
37:13 51:24 60:12	reimbursable	3:19,23 25:10 150:21	119:10 124:7 150:23
69:25 70:5 79:8 93:2	98:22 226:13,14,18	166:6 205:7	152:22
113:16,19,20 131:20	reimbursed	Reporter	requesting
142:18 150:23	149:18 192:8	1:18,18 18:10 271:7,8	93:13 266:13
152:22 164:6,9	relate	repository	requests
266:10,12 271:13	84:23 133:12 156:9	197:18,19,23 198:5,10	92:24 93:10 148:2
recover	221:19	represent	266:7
234:16	related	3:11 106:9	required
recruiting	15:24 114:9 126:18,19	representation	12:21 16:4 75:20
162:13 175:12	126:19,20,22 133:14	266:6	170:12 257:10
red	165:7,12 229:8	representative	261:24 264:4 266:15
211:18,21 212:2	271:16	82:5 89:22 99:23	requirement
reengineering	relates	138:8,19 158:21	27:16 170:9
256:6	114:4 116:8 118:11	162:11 175:14	requirements
refer	128:23 132:17 151:2	180:13 183:23	80:21 88:8,17 116:2
70:12 111:11 129:12	relating	184:25 185:4,11,14	258:23 260:24
197:12 225:13 238:6	84:20 105:4 164:15	194:25 197:14	requiring
referenced	relations	represented	12:19
185:4,6	236:25	34:11 161:23	reread
referencing	relationship	representing	69:24
23:19	47:10 48:2 54:4 205:9	68:12,14 87:17	research
referred		represents	229:4 232:25 240:11
125:24	relationships 46:21,24 47:7	186:6	240:14 243:18 247:3
referring	relative 100:17	request	247:16 reside
28:3 56:21		28:9,12,13,14 32:19	14:11
reflect	relevant	44:14 60:15 71:6,9	
17:14,17 21:4	12:7,9,16 141:24	71:10 92:11,19,22	resolved
reflected	146:7 223:23,25	94:8,10,11,13,16	154:23
215:16	227:17	95:15,16,18,24 96:4	resource
refreshed	relief	96:18 97:5 105:3,9	80:7
	1	I	I

T		_	
resources	retrieve	64:18 67:14 71:14,17	254:14
37:24 38:12 41:2,23	172:17	72:17,23 73:14,15,17	Rob
42:5 236:18	revenue	74:4,6,16 75:3,9	75:8,13 78:4
respect	121:12,15,23 122:15	86:15,16,17,21,23	ROBERT
19:5 23:13,17 26:25	124:25	87:12 88:2,25 91:6	2:5
36:7,18 50:11 63:23	revenues	91:11,16,22 98:11	role
81:16 167:21 262:23	128:7	99:6,10,11 105:7,20	9:21 39:8,12,14 40:18
respond	review	108:3,6,8 117:9,24	42:9 44:8 45:13 55:3
51:18	23:21 31:4,14 32:2,25	118:9 120:23 121:2	74:19 75:19 76:7
responding	33:2,10 35:15 37:20	122:2,5,15,17 124:13	77:18 79:18 90:11
51:21	37:22 38:2 42:11	125:2,18 128:15,22	100:24 138:21
	45:8 46:13 61:5 78:3	128:23 129:3,6	157:19,22 158:4
response 115:23 117:18 227:6	78:14 162:7,11	131:11,11 132:14,18	160:9 167:7 168:18
	163:20 188:11	134:11,13,14 136:3	168:20 169:2,3 170:5
responses 92:24			,
	203:11 204:14 225:12,25 251:17,22	138:7,13 139:6,7 147:9 160:2 163:9,10	170:24 171:11,14,18
responsibilities 26:24 31:25 41:12	253:20 268:17	167:5 168:20 169:19	172:4,5,8 176:4,11 176:20 178:9,17,22
76:16	reviewed	169:25 172:13 173:5	178:23 180:6 188:20
responsibility	22:13 28:16 97:12,13	178:9 180:17,22	194:4 198:18 204:2,6
79:16 81:5	97:22 98:2,2,4,14	185:18 189:10,13,16	204:14,21 205:13
responsible	99:16 102:6 135:23	192:11 193:24	208:2,5,7,14 216:18
41:16 55:7 72:14	135:25 155:17	201:11 202:8 209:11	222:17,19 255:2
responsive	204:13 227:11	211:6,17 212:4,14	259:23 264:19
106:20	249:18	213:14 214:10	266:20 268:6
rest	reviews	215:23 216:2 218:3	roles
122:21	35:24 47:25 102:2	219:13,21 220:4	45:25 99:25 100:14
restate	203:9 251:11,20	226:9 227:23 228:5	158:8 162:4 169:5,6
3:23	revisions	229:11 233:11,14	169:7,15 171:12
restroom	44:15 262:6	236:2,6 238:7,16	173:23 174:9 176:15
109:12	RFP	240:20 241:7 242:3	177:19,19 178:23
result	38:9 51:19 97:7,18	242:15,18 246:21	179:25 193:20,22,22
147:8	144:5 147:25 167:3	250:4,10 255:6,8,21	264:24 266:23,25
resultant	170:14 174:4 177:19	255:21 256:2,7 258:7	267:3
153:25	RFPs	258:18 259:11	roster
results	51:21	261:10,11,13 264:18	171:20,21 172:17,17
14:12	rherbst@herbstlaw	rights	172:23 188:25 191:2
resumed	2:6	136:11	191:5
4:17,19	Richard	right-hand	rosters
resumes	54:25	55:12 73:5 81:19	257:8
188:19	right	86:13 142:20 163:7	route
resumé	10:3 11:21 24:13	170:22 185:7,16	61:11
188:11	30:25 38:5 40:18	risk	row
retain	45:2,8 46:2,22 47:22	154:13	182:19
91:5	51:3 55:22 56:3 57:4	risks	ruled
retention	57:9,25 58:25 59:4	31:11	30:9 34:5
67:2 213:5,17	59:18 61:21 63:13	road	ruling
, .			

13:13 16:15 18:19	16:19 56:10 66:21	224:21	142:23 152:16
35:8 142:6	67:10 215:22,23	Schmitz	155:12 157:23
run	238:13	54:25	169:18 172:16
107:17	sample	Schoeneck	176:11 182:25 183:4
running	83:14 90:4 115:9,12	1:15 2:7	189:12 191:21
31:19	115:19,22,24 116:4,8	school	195:17 199:19
	116:10 117:5,13,14	5:21	228:21,22 234:22
runs 252:10	117:21,22 118:7,12	Schorr	235:14 239:3 244:3
Rutgers	117:21,22 116:7,12	1:17 3:5 271:6,25	246:9 258:3,11,15
6:21	129:5 274:3		259:7,16 260:18
R&D		scope 5:15 12:9 18:2,5 28:7	262:9
246:23	save 102:23	,	seeing
240.23		34:14 52:2,7 82:20 82:22 85:22 110:3,6	61:2 243:9
S	savings		
$\frac{z}{S}$	108:6,10,13	112:6 126:17,17	seen
2:2 272:1	saying	184:6,9 224:25	29:11,17 47:24 77:3
salaries	40:17 46:7 87:3,8,10	score	84:13 113:6 114:11
60:18 61:12,13 65:8	134:18 171:10	198:13	114:12,15,15 131:22 133:7 142:21
90:3,21,25 91:10,14	201:15 211:13 224:9	Scott	
92:8,11,19 157:20	234:2 255:13	67:25 75:8 230:7,8	segment
158:13 159:5 162:23	says	238:3	222:4,12 229:22
163:6 168:17,25	28:10 34:13,17 46:21	second	231:19 236:9 243:7,8
174:24 209:22	53:11,22 54:21 55:12	20:13 44:25 47:18	segmented
	58:3,4 73:7 74:18	75:5 81:17 88:22	222:11
244:13,15	82:12,19 86:23 99:5	168:14 175:10	selected
salary	99:6 113:5 117:25	212:12 238:16	128:19 193:21 259:8
12:25 13:16,17,22	118:8 119:15 129:8	secondary	261:6
15:25 16:3 61:6,11	168:15 188:21 200:5	87:14 131:11	selecting
61:18 62:4 65:13,13	206:2 227:21 229:7	Secondly	45:13
65:16,16 72:5,8,15	229:13 234:23	133:15	selections
73:14,25 74:5 89:23	249:17	section	193:15
89:25 90:15,18 91:21	scenario	82:15	sell
92:16 101:7,10	154:15 156:15 210:4,7		135:4,5
105:20 139:5,8	234:17	48:21 75:23 76:2,20	selling
162:12 179:13 183:9	scenarios	76:24,25	43:11
184:2 191:6 195:4	187:22	sectors	send
198:21,23 199:5,6,11	schedule	48:19	262:2
199:12,15,22 201:18	127:22,25 128:4,8	sector's	sending
206:23 207:2,3 209:5	130:22 133:18,23	48:23	261:25
210:21 211:10,15	134:3 137:2,10	see	senior
212:13 228:15	139:21 140:11,15,22	18:4 45:24 47:16	10:13,15,22 11:6
232:21,23 233:2	141:4,9 146:3,10,14	50:17,24 61:6 73:6	68:14 71:14 72:7,9
234:12,14 244:20,22	147:18 149:10 168:4	73:10 75:6 77:6	88:22 138:4 186:18
267:8,20,24	168:12 203:6	81:18 86:16 96:16	186:22,25 187:4,15
sale	schedules	112:2 114:9 116:4	187:25 192:9 193:16
58:18	129:23 130:3,6,9,11	118:6 119:17 123:3	193:17,24 195:3
sales	130:14 141:15 168:6	128:10 137:19	205:2,4,10,15,17
	I	I	I

256:18,20 257:23	Shift	122:2 208:4 210:13	solicit
268:10	217:6	214:22 219:11	162:12
seniority	short	234:23 235:12,15,15	solicitation
72:3 100:18 175:2		sits	27:17 38:9 42:13 97:5
	235:23		
261:4	shorthand	231:22,23,24 232:10	97:12,18,21,22
sense	1:17 77:25 271:7	situation	solid
95:6 159:20 175:14	shortly	124:21 176:2	39:24
sent	93:15	situations	solution
77:9 78:11 123:20	show	147:21 267:22	32:21 49:20 58:20
136:21 263:13,15,19	29:3 61:5 81:2 98:12	six	83:16 86:5 88:6
sentence	100:25 113:2 142:8	20:7,23 125:14 159:18	274:6
201:15 225:18	142:17 169:14 177:7	187:16 254:13	solutioning
separate	181:5,20	sixties	38:15
63:20 144:25 192:6	shown	8:2	solutions
221:3,7,8,12 268:5,6	28:22,25 229:17 259:8	size	125:25
separately	275:8,12	54:7 76:14	somewhat
112:15 113:9 114:24	shows	skill	186:18 256:17
170:4 223:14 242:21	95:16 128:18,25 181:4	180:14 267:5	sooner
268:11	258:6	skills	93:6
series	shrink	260:14 261:6	sophisticated
3:17	182:3	sklein@bsk.com	235:21
service	Sick	2:10	sorry
80:23 231:15	216:12	slide	26:8 29:8 37:21 65:25
services	side	73:4,4 86:20	66:6 92:3 114:14
49:11,23 50:7 53:12	48:25 55:12 73:5	slightly	119:12 206:8 233:15
83:6 116:23 127:19	81:19 170:22 242:13	248:3	234:21 247:11
225:21 247:9,13	signature	small	sort
263:23 273:16	206:2	54:9,12,15 165:18	64:14 207:24 221:13
set	significant	237:23	sorts
14:20 64:15 102:13	108:6 134:9	smaller	108:13
131:24 141:3 180:14	significantly	76:18 182:8	sources
271:11,21	139:22 242:2	SME	162:21 235:7
seven	signing	72:9 166:17,20 169:24	SOUTHERN
187:15	39:7 213:17	170:4 180:2 183:6	1:2
Severance	similar	189:11 190:8,13	speak
218:10	183:25	193:16,17,22 194:4,7	137:7 183:19 222:13
shared	single	194:11,13 208:13,21	223:20 224:13,17
23:4,5 222:19	186:6 230:17	209:3 259:25 264:17	229:23 248:25
shed	sir	267:16	speaking
95:3	74:25	SMEs	60:7 158:17 169:16
sheet	sit	189:3 193:23	192:18 207:3
55:20 118:17 122:19	82:9 93:20,24 137:15	snapshot	specialists
122:21,23 123:4	site	115:11	53:4 176:7
202:10,11,14	105:24 106:4,5,10	software	specialized
202:10,11,14 sheets		44:6 49:21 112:9,10	256:17
	108:2,2,3,15,16	126:18 230:25	
26:21 239:4,13	109:17 121:20,21,25	120.16 230:23	specific
	1	1	1

•			
27:17 33:20 34:20	255:14 263:10	40.10 41.2 0 42.0	185:21 186:15,19
41:19 42:7 43:20	staffing	40:19 41:3,9 42:9 44:9,20 45:8 46:13	190:19 192:9 194:5
48:19 60:16 61:10	94:19,23 96:8 107:24	47:25 61:5 78:2,3	195:3 199:24 208:4
	94:19,23 90:8 107:24 255:2	•	208:11 255:19 256:2
69:2 76:19 79:14		144:20 163:20	
81:18 82:20,21	stage	steps	256:15,21 257:16,20
144:15,17 166:17	59:25 80:14	33:5 37:17 39:9 93:25	257:23
172:9 184:10,11,17	stamped	209:13,15	submission
187:17 196:15	142:14 169:11 177:13	stipulation	25:8 38:17 44:10
198:15 224:3 229:15	220:17,21 252:25	247:9,14	81:14 203:11 221:14
249:11 253:22	272:6,11,16,21 273:3	stock	225:24 250:12
256:19 258:23	273:8	67:17 214:21,25	submissions
260:12 265:2	stand	straight	203:9 264:7
specifically	49:10 55:13 70:15	39:11 168:16 208:6,18	submit
5:14 43:19 48:7 63:20	77:20 116:24 221:17	208:20,22 209:4,6,7	29:25 31:17 33:3
85:21 86:10 89:6,13	240:15	straightforward	38:16 42:10 43:6
92:5 94:7 97:23	standard	165:18	143:20 225:12,23
106:23 107:2 129:16	136:15 191:24 203:2	strategy	226:12 250:12
151:3 152:8 185:23	251:23	54:19	submitted
187:3 190:7 195:10	stands	strict	39:4 101:25 102:24
195:12 217:2 222:13	53:12 119:18 248:13	88:11	141:16 143:19,25
229:24 232:9 239:22	start	structure	146:16,17 148:14,25
240:7 244:19 249:7	110:5 146:5 156:9,11	237:12	250:9
256:14 257:12	230:7,9	Stuart	submitting
258:20	started	2:10 124:23	31:15 43:7,10 144:4
specificity	5:7 7:24 8:16 100:5	stuff	Subscribed
68:18,23	starting	59:15 73:18 110:18	269:12 270:19
specifics	147:24 153:20,24	165:12	subsequent
197:24	155:23	subcategories	262:11,18
specified	state	160:22	substance
174:2	1:19 4:11 40:11 50:2	subcontracting	39:15
speculate	51:12,21 126:15	247:22	substantive
243:2,4	247:10 271:2,9	subcontractor	22:2
spell	statement	118:21 245:10,13,18	substantively
26:6 89:15	42:15 131:20 194:12	245:22 247:20	39:16 204:15
spend	254:8	subcontractors	suggested
56:9 57:2 58:18	statements	245:3,4,15	104:19
spent	27:6	subject	suggestion
232:17 238:21 240:4	STATES	33:25 55:8 72:7	104:20
spread	1:2	110:23 122:8 160:8	suggests
182:9	stay	160:11,20,22 161:4,7	130:16
spreadsheet	9:15	161:13,15 162:2	sum
181:25	stayed	167:6,9 172:7 173:5	60:21 200:22 201:2
SS	9:21	173:10,12 176:2,3	summary
271:3	step	178:8 180:15 182:20	198:23
staff	31:4 32:2,25,25 33:10	182:24 183:24	summer
210:6 242:7 254:18,21	35:15,23 38:23 39:2	184:15,19 185:11,17	9:2 21:11
	, 		
T			

	1	1	1
super	T	118:7,12,17,19 119:5	89:19 91:20,25 93:18
268:19	$\frac{1}{T}$	120:21 124:11 125:9	94:3,12,20 95:9,21
superseding	271:1,1 272:1	125:11,17 129:5	96:24 98:14 134:22
197:4	table	146:21 148:19 274:3	135:7 177:15,17,25
supplemental	134:6	tasking	187:14 196:5 212:2
221:9	tabs	82:21	221:21
support	24:20 25:14,15 28:3	tasks	telling
83:7 256:23 257:3	take	41:19 115:22,24	134:19 173:2
273:18	4:14 21:17 29:11	tax	tells
supposed	30:10 34:6 37:2,21	215:13,15	120:14 177:22 182:12
58:3,17 221:6	45:12 50:16 57:7	TCV	191:5 228:4
sure		55:13	temp
20:23 39:22 40:16	74:20 86:7,11 88:20 93:17 100:8 116:21	team	6:12,13 7:2,6
46:10 55:5 59:11,12		14:22 31:10 32:18	template
62:11 63:9 65:3	128:9 133:25 164:8,9 166:25 178:7 182:24	55:21,21 56:24 57:14	29:22 68:10 70:14
66:18 67:19 76:7		79:17 88:7 104:2,5	71:19 72:12 74:15
78:20 94:7 106:18	183:14 189:20	104:13,20 162:13	143:18 145:23 146:4
107:13 108:11 111:6	199:24 209:13,15 210:8 212:6 221:4	167:7 173:11 207:24	147:12,15,18,20
116:14,15,19 119:3		207:25	148:5,6,14,20,24,25
121:19 124:20	228:14,18 229:14 235:22 261:15	teams	149:7 150:7 151:6
127:15 155:25	262:20	249:14	153:16,20,25 154:25
171:25 187:21	taken	technical	155:2,7,10,22
209:16,22 213:19	4:15 74:22 142:7	73:23 83:4 131:9	templates
214:10 215:18 216:5	183:22,23 235:24	176:18,20,21,25	71:13 143:9 145:22
218:3,5,17,25 222:15	262:22	178:2,5,7,8,17,18	147:7,17,23,23
227:16 229:21	takes	179:2 180:5,6,11,13	tend
230:10,21 233:17	59:6	181:8 207:22 245:24	154:11 191:25 193:20
236:13 237:10,15,20	talk	258:2,4,12 273:14	256:17
238:8 240:9 244:11	57:3,25 133:3 191:22	technically	tends
248:12 259:3 266:3	220:8 238:7	9:5	242:10 251:13
266:13	talked	technologies	tense
suspect	20:3 21:23 90:22	125:25	39:18
61:2	97:23 231:9	technology	term
swim	talking	49:20 109:15	43:20 55:13
58:12	9:17 30:14 32:8 35:14	telecon	terminated
sworn	59:24 60:13 69:2,3	21:15	12:16
3:4 4:20 269:12	112:23 161:25	telecons	terms
270:19 271:12	173:24 189:2 198:22	21:22	6:6 42:25 56:23 70:6
system	199:2 236:4	telephone	88:18 89:2 109:15
158:6 161:10,11,14	talks	20:8,10,14,15,20,21	118:25 127:9 164:19
166:21,24 167:2	225:14	95:10 164:6	187:23 198:13
169:4,7 191:23	task	telephony	212:11 219:15
201:11,14 204:6,9	83:14 112:3,5,8,9,16	231:6	test
213:12	113:10 114:25 115:6	tell	150:6
systems	115:9,12,19 116:4,8	18:24 29:20 50:11	testified
231:6	117:6,13,14,21,22	53:19 57:11 63:25	3:6 4:21 7:5 16:9,16
	I	I	l

27:25 31:24 68:2	124,24 124,20 127:2	142.0 147.10 140.5 0	ton
69:14 71:12 85:12	134:24 136:20 137:3 139:20 140:8 142:8	142:8 147:19 148:5,9 148:11,14,20,23	top 50:18 58:14 104:16
129:20 130:13	150:5 153:23 160:7	149:2,2,15 150:8	
131:15,21,25 136:23	165:17 166:13 173:3	154:25 155:3,11,22	171:15 206:10 225:19 231:23
139:19 141:21 148:9			234:22 241:3 250:5
215:25 264:13	192:15 194:11,19	155:24 156:4,5,14,23 159:8,10 161:23	
213:23 204:13 testify	206:6,17 213:16 236:12 238:11 252:8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	topic 37:9
•		164:19 171:20,24 172:18 178:19	
18:21 129:22	254:7 258:16 267:11		topics
testifying	thinking 243:12	183:21 185:24	33:17 34:16
13:4 16:8 65:18 90:12	third	196:10,14 197:2	total
testimony		198:2 202:4,7,10,11 202:14 203:4 216:2	23:12 55:14,18 58:9
19:4,5 20:4 28:19	1:15 20:19 77:16		60:21 118:2,8 119:14
47:21 78:15 97:11 123:15 126:12	249:2,2,5	226:8,22,23 235:9,25	124:25 125:7 168:17
	thought	238:19 239:4,13,16 239:18,21 240:4	168:24 200:15 201:5
130:20 167:18 194:21 212:21	21:12 65:17 66:7 138:19 161:2 219:14	241:2 269:5,6	222:22 223:2 244:12 244:14 246:10,18
227:12 262:13 266:2	263:22 264:12	times	Towson
271:13	thousands	38:23 42:3 121:17	9:14
Thank	198:7,10	199:4	TO/staff
147:4 182:23 218:2	three	tiny	119:16
269:4	9:22 12:14 19:24	181:12 231:22	Tracey
thing	24:12 46:25 103:16	title	107:5 165:4,4,15,20
43:3 100:8 109:8	143:14 145:17,19	10:8,11,12,24 11:8,9	track
122:4 182:13 194:7	147:6,12 157:18	11:13,14,14 71:19	182:6
221:5 231:7	167:11 221:6,8,11,16	85:11 107:15,16	tracked
things	221:17,18 249:8	117:5 204:18,25	188:18
17:18 44:7 62:5 66:25	250:8,8,11 256:2	205:4,10,15 248:25	tracking
78:8 108:22 109:14	tied	265:24	188:21
127:5,8 158:20	11:18 16:18,20	titled	trained
178:24 194:8 215:9	till	83:3,13 273:13 274:3	195:19
215:11,14 219:19,25	11:15	titles	training
231:2,14 237:11	time	71:13 84:25	195:22 196:4 218:18
238:24 244:19	3:18,21 5:20 6:17,18	titling	transcript
248:23,24 256:19	9:24 10:6 15:23 16:6	248:9	36:6,22
260:5	21:4 26:13 29:23	today	transcripts
think	40:5 43:21,22,23,25	3:17 13:4 21:4 39:14	36:21
3:15 5:7 7:5,24 10:5	46:5,6 48:18,19	78:24 152:2 236:2	transformation
13:2,5 16:11 21:23	62:19,24 63:16,21	268:22	49:21 85:8,13,25
51:25 52:5 53:7	65:6 67:2 68:16 76:8	told	112:7 117:19,23
64:13 67:13,15 68:4	78:21 80:22 88:12	126:21 137:13 167:7	126:18
69:4,6 71:11 82:8	91:2 92:25 93:5,6	tolerate	Transformative
91:7 93:12 105:14	96:16 98:4,21,24	24:23	83:9 273:21
106:22 112:21,24	99:2,5,9,13 106:8	tomorrow	transpired
113:14,20,21,24	107:5,9 111:10,17	190:12	40:4
116:18 122:20	118:24 121:6,12	tools	travel
126:21 130:17	125:18 135:10,19	66:17	44:6 56:12 238:23
		l	l

Treasury	154:10 158:4 217:3	147:11 148:17 149:8	102:3,5,15 109:6
17:12 18:13	225:2,4 226:22	154:24 155:5,13	136:16 139:4,8
treat	238:14 251:11,15	156:2 158:22 167:18	140:21,24 141:8
109:16	types	172:11,13 182:11	142:3 143:10 147:7
treated	67:4 109:13 127:13	190:4 199:20 213:11	148:19 155:23 156:3
223:14	146:8 147:22 153:5,6	224:18 253:19,22	158:13 162:3 178:11
trend	158:22 183:21 195:2	265:25	180:7 182:9 192:3
7:18	209:23 210:5 213:3,8	understanding	195:6,10,12 224:5,8
trouble	256:14 260:2 261:5	31:9 51:18 52:23	224:24 225:5 226:22
189:18	typical	64:11 72:12 78:6	227:23 240:13 247:5
true	46:4,7,12 51:11 54:14	82:3 98:25 116:2	247:6,19 249:8,11,19
33:4 66:3 92:13 120:7	139:16 151:8 152:25	123:13 182:5 189:19	249:24 253:15,18
120:9,19 122:4 125:6	153:3 154:3 207:4	245:14 254:4 256:13	256:10,19
135:10,19 138:14	254:24	261:5 263:25	uses
248:15 271:13	typically	understood	69:17 141:23 224:20
try	38:8 43:20 51:10 55:6	3:22 4:3 40:16	224:20
3:23 64:22 84:8	56:15,16 71:23 76:22	unit	usually
170:20	77:11 81:12 95:12,22	14:11 45:20,25 49:9	51:14 59:14 104:2
trying	102:13 106:17	49:14 51:2 52:20	240:3 256:15
46:17 69:9 106:20	108:18,21 109:10	54:22 55:2 76:23	utilization
130:23 132:22 146:9	111:22 124:15	125:23 126:2 164:20	229:14
147:5,11 150:13	149:23 192:4 203:19	164:21	utilized
154:23 156:2 224:18	245:8 256:16 258:22	UNITED	229:10
turn	259:2	1:2	u-y
27:19 207:11 248:17	T&M	units	26:9
turned	226:18,23	50:9 57:23	U.S
207:12	,	unpack	17:11
twice	U	30:12 34:9 37:3 61:8	
21:24	ultimate	unquote	V
two	82:22	30:11 34:7	v
7:11 9:22 12:13 13:13	ultimately	unrelated	270:1
17:9 22:4 24:20	7:7 106:21 134:8	17:25 152:11	vacation
26:13,18 50:8 57:15	222:21	unsure	62:5,7 64:23 216:8
57:18 74:20 79:2	umbrella	164:25	230:15
89:14 91:14,23	55:9	unusual	value
107:18 133:10 138:5	unallowable	207:17	55:14 118:2,8 119:14
138:23 192:6 221:12	233:4,6,8	unwarrantedly	125:7,7 260:7
227:2 244:24 261:22	underneath	35:7	variable
tying	200:4	upset	13:25 27:15
23:23	understand	137:4	varies
type	13:20 35:20 39:19	Urban	42:16 164:4
49:24 50:6 98:18 99:6	40:3 52:11 62:12	83:8 273:19	variety
100:15 104:4 111:22	65:3 85:23 90:13	use	143:9,13 145:18
126:17 127:2 135:19	95:3 98:13 108:11	42:5 60:16,25 64:18	162:14
136:19 143:18	124:3 126:11 135:21	64:20 65:4,14 68:6	various
147:14 153:14 154:9	138:3 146:10 147:6	71:21 74:9 90:6	213:13
	I	I	

	I	I	1
vary	65:19 168:3,9 173:14	42:24 43:7,10,11	112:25 130:2,13
54:6 88:8 90:4 110:13	wants	44:13 51:17 62:17	132:6 141:25 150:25
varying	137:19	77:15 90:11 101:2	151:11 220:11 270:2
76:16	Washington	110:22 112:18,19	271:10,14,20 275:9
version	2:8	114:5 118:21 129:14	275:13
124:3 197:4	wasn't	133:19 135:23	won
versus	11:4 138:16 197:3,4	137:23,24 140:20	80:2,16 81:24
51:19 54:17 65:16	203:5,6	141:20 149:25 155:3	word
154:4 189:23 190:20	waste	158:17 159:9 172:10	77:24 163:12
217:4	5:19 93:4	172:22 192:19	words
vetting	way	209:24 228:13	58:16 185:9 244:22
37:24 38:2 80:6	52:25 92:13 93:18	249:17 268:21,22	245:9 267:13
vice	107:25 122:19	we've	work
55:7 68:13 71:13	150:13 157:5 178:5	21:23 37:23 38:14	5:23 6:6,8,25 8:4,12
75:15 76:8,10 96:13	181:3,10,16 191:20	64:14 82:19 102:10	9:4 32:20 48:10,23
view	211:8 232:20 246:14	112:22 146:16,17	49:2,4,24 51:12,16
33:14 35:6,8 246:25	248:15,23 271:18	229:19 233:7	52:16 54:20,20 58:6
248:4	ways	WHEREOF	62:24 63:3,8 73:22
Virginia	133:11	271:20	80:3 81:24 82:6,20
8:22 9:2 203:17	week	whichever	87:5 88:2 100:15
virtue	22:10 32:23	264:7	104:4,5 126:17,18
226:21	weeks	whispered	127:2,16 135:19
volume	79:2	17:14	136:19 138:7 154:11
148:3	weigh	whispering	158:5,20 191:24
Voucher	163:23 164:21	17:18	192:2 202:16 217:3,4
83:15 86:6 117:2,6	weighs	wide	217:5,15 223:21
274:4	163:14	256:24	224:3,4,5,25 225:2,4
VP	weight	widely	226:5,9,15,18,19,23
76:17,20,21,24,25	183:8	90:4	238:14 242:6,8,10
VPs	weighted	win	245:21 246:2 247:18
75:23 76:2,16	179:25 208:12,14	118:20 146:15 158:20	251:11,15
	209:8	winning	workbook
W	welcome	16:20,23,24	22:24 24:21 25:4,4,5,6
wait	163:23	wish	25:13
19:15 131:17	went	270:2	worked
want	8:12 10:15 11:6 160:7	wishes	6:13 7:10,11,12 48:7
4:7 5:9 15:4 31:21	230:2 261:12 263:22	37:2	49:18 95:7 195:25
39:25 40:15 52:9,11	264:2,2,8	withdrawn	201:17
59:22 61:4 62:11	weren't	16:17 63:24 173:8	working
75:2,4 113:18 135:5	48:19 49:2 158:16	229:20	45:21 73:21 153:22
138:24 152:14	we'll	witness	189:22 190:19
157:16 173:4,10	4:11 92:23 93:14	3:3 5:9 13:4 16:8	249:17
206:20 218:2 221:5	124:7 191:2 227:18	17:15 18:16 28:23	works
242:25 243:10 258:6	we're	29:2 31:20 32:10	32:5,16,18 135:9
266:9,12	4:12 10:10 30:13	35:16 36:15 60:3	245:19
wanted	36:20 37:9 39:23	69:9,24 105:11	worksheet
	l		1

70:8 104:15 177:18	72:20	\$2	11.21
		l '	11:21
179:7	year	118:8,23 119:4	10170
worksheets	6:4 7:8,12 8:5 11:16	\$3,000	2:4
22:21 24:14,15	13:25,25 14:24 18:22	15:4	105
workstations	25:8 60:19 62:17	\$60,000	11:24 276:2
109:7,8	78:5 88:12 101:25	175:5	11
world	102:11,14 128:7	\$66.52	1:5 29:9 142:19
210:4,7	141:16 179:5,10,11	208:22 209:5	169:23 174:13 189:3
worth	179:14,15 191:24	\$70	189:11 258:12
118:25	199:4,8 200:19 223:4	133:25	264:17 276:4
wouldn't	223:6 225:12,23	\$8.6	11/12/13
42:23 63:12 64:5 68:9	267:14	55:16	29:5
73:16 127:3 140:6,22	years	\$8.7	11/17
146:7,20 149:5	7:11 9:22 12:13,15	55:16	77:7
155:20 158:12	55:19 62:22 128:20	\$80,000	11:15
159:19 175:17	138:23 175:6 187:17	90:5 257:2	1:10
217:11,17 219:17	188:12,22,23	\$85,000	11:17
224:5 226:11 240:6	year's	175:7	75:7
245:9 250:13 254:14	65:21		11:18
254:19	yesterday	0	4:15
writer	22:7 142:10	09	110.14
73:23 176:18,20,21,25	York	10:16,17	192:22
178:2,5,8,17 179:2	1:2,16,16,20 2:4 271:2		111
180:5,6,12,13 181:9	271:4,9	1	2:8
245:24 258:3,4,12		1	112
writers	\$	130:18 132:7 142:12	274:24
178:18	\$101,000	142:13,18 168:15	12
written	258:13	190:23 191:17	9:13 29:9 174:13
53:21 72:12 196:4	\$110.14	196:12,17 200:23	179:16 187:5,6,20
253:21 256:13,22	192:13	201:2,9 225:14,17	188:2 190:23 194:19
267:4	\$120	259:5 262:23 263:16	202:5 209:3 264:18
wrong	137:14	263:18 272:4	272:19 274:1,14
105:16 141:14 243:11	\$120,000	1st	12:15
wrote	90:6	143:22 263:21	4:17
245:24	\$125	1.46	120
243.24	63:23 64:2 72:10	118:5	201:21
X	\$146,000	1.466	12210-2280
<u> </u>	122:15	124:25	2:9
1:3,8 43:11 272:1	\$146,333	10	123
	209:5	10:16 136:3,16 154:17	276:4
Y	\$150,000	174:13 179:24 180:3	124
Y	257:4	200:14,25 201:2	
43:12	\$17	219:12 258:17,19	276:6
Yashchin's	222:14	260:18,21,23 264:17	125
4:14	\$180	267:17 272:9 275:17	59:7
Yeah	133:24	100	127
_ ~~~	133.44		244:23
	I	I	I

129	257:24 272:14	29:14 45:2 125:7	2015407
275:1	164	130:20 167:10 169:9	169:12 272:11
13	276:8	169:10,14 174:10	2015410
174:14 187:5,8,20	166	176:13,14 178:6	169:13 272:12
256:10 272:4 274:16	276:10	200:23 201:2,8	2015411
275:1	169	208:25 264:12 272:9	142:15 272:6
136276.56	272:9	273:11 274:14	2015421
121:13	17	2,080	142:16 272:7
121.13 14	28:21 29:5,11 30:14	191:24	2015422
174:14 181:2 183:6	33:19 34:19 35:4	20	253:2 273:8
186:8,22 187:12	36:8,12 37:11 44:25	23:11 58:4,18,25 59:3	2015428
188:6 190:22 208:14	50:12 257:8 274:24	157:22 187:7,10,20	253:3 273:9
208:21 256:10,10	275:7 276:2	187:20 193:6,9 273:1	2015429
		274:18 276:18	
257:8,24 274:20 275:15	172 276:12	2/4:18 2/0:18 2002	220:22 273:3 2015430
275:15 140.11	177	6:5	2015430 220:23 273:4
90:14 93:17	272:19 18	2006 7:13	2015431
141	-		220:18 272:16
275:3	12:11,12 28:24 29:7	2008	2015433
142	30:13 33:19 34:9,19	13:22	220:19 272:17
272:4	35:4,13 37:4 75:3	2009	2080
149	81:17 89:5 99:5	13:15,23 14:24 26:25	201:19
275:5	114:5,7,9,13,15,19	39:13,20 70:18	21
15	115:4,9 131:25	2009/2010	275:7
174:14 181:2 183:6	132:17 134:10	10:9 16:6 52:12 62:22	21st
186:8,22 188:6	158:12 163:8,16	68:20	75:7 77:8
189:15,23 190:20,22	172:19 185:5 205:20	2010	21286
208:14 223:12	207:5 209:14 210:9	10:18 13:15 15:9,17	9:14
234:24 235:4,8,17	212:13 229:20	15:23 26:25 39:13,21	22
256:10 257:8,24	234:20 239:9 249:10	70:18 75:7 77:8 91:2	275:19 276:22
274:18	253:11 254:3 274:20	111:10 143:22 145:5	220
15.12	275:11,21,25 276:8	145:13 157:22	272:14 273:1
210:10	19	164:19 197:2 252:12	2200
1512	275:3 276:20	252:14,16	60:19 61:20 191:22
121:10,17	191	2011	192:3 199:5,10
154	276:14	9:2 10:5 11:12,19	201:10,19
246:20	193.66	15:17 16:10	227
155.79	201:6 206:14 207:7	2012	276:18
206:13 207:5 209:15	208:4,11	11:23 15:18 16:10	235.54
16	196	2013	210:11
161:21 169:21 181:2	276:16	11:10,13,15,25 12:13	24
183:6,8 186:8,23	1980	2014	273:6 275:5,11 276:16
187:23 188:6,21	5:5	1:9 30:8 269:13 270:1	25
189:13,13,22 190:9	2	270:20 271:22	145:15 276:12
190:13,18,23 193:7,8		2015406	252
208:14 256:11 257:9	2	177:14 272:22	273:6
	I	I	I

26th	33:15 34:16 73:7	600	58:23
5:5 30:8	83:14 116:5 117:22	1:15	81
262	177:11,12,17 190:23	66	228:2,8,18 233:11,16
276:20	192:10 203:16 209:2	36:23	233:17,21,22 234:2,9
265	225:14,17 261:10	30.23	234:19
276:22	272:19 274:3 275:23	7	81.11
270.22 28	276:14	7	200:5,18 228:14
	40	82:25 83:2 84:10	, and the second
1:9 270:1 275:7,11,15		111:19 114:16,18	234:18
3	25:22 145:11 147:8	115:7 117:23 128:10	81.287
$\frac{3}{3}$	183:3,5,9 189:20		244:22
	207:13 208:16	131:4,7 132:8 161:8	83
33:15 34:16 144:20	41	161:21 174:13 255:5	273:11 274:1
201:3 210:9 220:15	245:3	264:17 273:11	8611
220:16,25 221:4	420	70,000	1:5
222:2 228:21 234:22	2:4	257:22 267:14	87.05
249:24 272:14	45	71	177:5
30	119:11,15 120:8	275:17	89
20:12,17,22 21:2 22:4	46.05	72	86:11 233:19
25:22 136:7,14	178:12	223:7 228:22 233:18	89397
145:11 147:8 183:2,3		246:11	234:3
183:5,5,9 189:19,19	5	72.90	
192:24 193:10,12	5	199:25 208:12	9
195:16 208:16,16	33:16 34:4,16,17	72507	9
30(b)(6)	36:18 37:9 52:2,4	234:3	179:24 180:2 258:17
5:12 18:5 28:7 30:11	187:7 220:15,20,24	7290	258:19 260:18,20,22
34:8 37:2 129:19	221:4 225:9 227:2	180:21,24	264:17 267:16
152:13	249:16 273:1 276:6	75,000	274:16
32.77	5:57	13:16,17,22	90
179:18	269:6	75-ish	11:21 52:16
33	51	10:21	90.13
62:20 64:21 65:4	242:3 246:20	756	133:20
193:3,4,13 255:4	57	122:11	92
274:22	36:5	77.62	275:19
33.34	58.65	139:10	95
200:9,21 246:17	210:18	139.10	275:21
34	210.16	8	96
	6	$\frac{3}{8}$	
194:23 195:6,10,12,14	6	83:11,12 84:16 86:8	275:23,25
35	161:8 169:21 174:13	86:12 100:20 114:16	97
145:15	187:10,20 252:23,24	114:18 115:7 116:4	189:11
37117523	253:4 259:18 264:17		98
230:12		129:19 161:8 174:13	11:21
39	273:6 274:22	179:23 180:2 258:17	
128:9	6/12/13	258:19 260:18,20,22	
	29:8	264:17 267:17 274:1	
4	60	276:10	
4	58:20,23,24	80	
	l	I	I